Post Office appropriation bill; to the Committee on Appropriations.

10469. Also, petition of Donald N. Dockrell Post, Veterans of Foreign Wars, Newington, Conn., opposing the Bratton amendment; to the Committee on Appropriations.

10470. By Mr. McFADDEN: Petition of 40 engineers, firemen, and trainmen of the Lehigh Valley Railroad Co., transportation department, Sayre, Pa., favoring a 5-day week, which they feel will be no additional cost to the railroad company, but will mean an equalization of work among the employees; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

10471. By Mr. MILLARD: Petition presented at the request of residents of Westchester County, N. Y., urging legislation for the revaluation of the gold ounce; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

10472. Also, petition presented at the request of the members of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union at Mamaroneck and Larchmont, N. Y., protesting against the so-called beer bill and the repeal of the eighteenth amendment; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10473. Also, petition presented at the request of the members of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Suffern, N. Y., protesting against the so-called beer bill and the repeal of the eighteenth amendment; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10474. By Mr. RUDD: Petition of Queens Chapter, No. 26, Disabled American Veterans of the World War, Flushing, Long Island, N. Y., protesting against any legislation proposed or anticipated that will affect veterans' benefits; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

10475. By Mr. SHREVE: Petition of J. H. Anderson and 14 other citizens of Eric County, Pa., asking for the passage of the stop-alien-representation amendment to the Constitution of the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10476. By Mr. SWICK: Petition of Mrs. E. W. Morrow, 208 North Walnut Street, New Castle, Pa., president, and Mrs. Ellis White, Harlansburg, secretary, and members of the Croton Woman's Christian Temperance Union, New Castle, Lawrence County, Pa., indorsing Senate bill 1079 and Senate Resolution 170, providing for a Federal motion-picture commission to regulate and supervise the motion-picture industry; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

10477. By the SPEAKER: Petition of Samuel Dickstein, requesting an investigation of the conduct of George Z. Medalie, United States attorney for New York, and his office; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

SENATE

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 1933

(Legislative day of Friday, February 10, 1933)

The Senate met at 12 o'clock meridian, on the expiration of the recess.

Mr. BLACK obtained the floor.

Mr. FESS. Will the Senator from Alabama yield to me for the approval of the Journal and to suggest the absence of a guarum?

Mr. BLACK. I yield to the Senator from Ohio for that purpose.

THE JOURNAL

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent for the approval of the Journal for the calendar days of Wednesday and Thursday, February 15 and 16, 1933.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection? The Chair hears none, and it is so ordered.

CALL OF THE ROLL

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll, and the following
Senators answered to their names:

Ashurst	Dickinson	La Follette	Shipstead
Austin	Dill	Lewis	Shortridge
Bailey	Fess	Logan	Smith
Bankhead	Fletcher	McGill	Smoot
Barbour	Frazier	McKellar	Steiwer
Barkley	George	McNary	Stephens
Bingham	Glass	Metcalf	Swanson
Black	Glenn	Moses	Thomas, Idaho
Blaine	Goldsborough	Neely	Thomas, Okla.
Borah	Gore	Norbeck	Townsend
Bratton	Grammer	Norris	Trammell
Brookhart	Harrison	Nye	Tydings
Bulkley	Hastings	Oddie	Vandenberg
Bulow	Hatfield	Patterson	Wagner
Byrnes	Hayden	Pittman	Walcott
Capper	Hebert	Reed	Walsh, Mass.
Caraway	Hull	Reynolds	Walsh, Mont.
Clark	Johnson	Robinson, Ark.	Watson
Coolidge	Kean	Robinson, Ind.	White
Costigan	Kendrick	Russell	
Couzens	Keyes	Schuyler	
Davis	King	Sheppard	

Mr. WHITE. I wish to announce the unavoidable absence of my colleague [Mr. Hale] owing to the death of his brother.

Mr. SHEPPARD. I desire to announce that my colleague the Senator from Texas [Mr. Connally] is necessarily detained from the Senate by illness. I will let this announcement stand for the day.

Mr. WALSH of Montana. My colleague [Mr. Wheeler] is absent owing to illness. I ask that this announcement may stand for the day.

Mr. NORRIS. I desire to announce that my colleague the junior Senator from Nebraska [Mr. Howell] is detained on official business of the Senate.

Mr. FESS. I wish to announce that the junior Senator from Wyoming [Mr. Carev] is detained on official business. I ask that this announcement may stand for the day.

Mr. WAGNER. I desire to announce that my colleague [Mr. Copeland] is necessarily absent from the Senate because of the death of his father. I ask that this announcement may stand for the day.

Mr. SHIPSTEAD. I wish to announce that my colleague [Mr. Schall] is unavoidably absent. I ask that this announcement may stand for the day.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Eighty-five Senators have answered to their names. A quorum is present.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House of Representatives by Mr. Chaffee, one of its clerks, announced that the House had passed without amendment the bill (S. 4576) to authorize the Secretary of Commerce to grant an easement for railroad right of way over and upon a portion of the heliumgas bearing lands of the United States of America, in Potter County, in the State of Texas.

The message also announced that the House had passed the bill (S. 1705) for the relief of Samuel C. Davis, with an amendment, in which it requested the concurrence of the Senate.

The message further announced that the House had passed the bill (S. 567) to authorize the Secretary of War to sell to the Philadelphia, Baltimore & Washington Railroad Co. certain tracts of land situate in the county of Harford and State of Maryland, with amendments, in which it requested the concurrence of the Senate.

The message also announced that the House had passed the following bills, in which it requested the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 637. An act to relinquish the title of the United States to certain lands in the county of Los Angeles, State of California;

H. R. 1169. An act for the relief of the Monumental Steve-dore Co.;

H.R. 2601. An act for the relief of William Mathew Squires;

H.R. 2803. An act for the relief of John S. Stotts, deceased;

H. R. 3969. An act for the relief of John P. Leonard;

H. R. 4395. An act for the relief of Harry C. Anderson;

H. R. 6933. An act for the relief of Zoe A. Tilghman; H. R. 7174. An act for the relief of James J. Meaney:

H. R. 7986. An act for the relief of William N. Fishburn;

H. R. 8879. An act for the relief of Joanna A. Sheehan; H. R. 10070. An act for the relief of Beryl M. McHam;

H. R. 11150. An act for the relief of G. C. Vandover; and H. R. 11980. An act authorizing the President to make a posthumous award of a distinguished-flying cross to Glenn H. Curtiss, deceased, and to present the same to Lua Curtiss,

mother of the said Glenn H. Curtiss, deceased.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following joint memorial of the Legislature of the State of Idaho, which was referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency:

STATE OF IDAHO,

STATE OF IDAHO,
DEPARTMENT OF STATE.

I, Franklin Girard, secretary of state of the State of Idaho, and
legal custodian of the original enrolled copies of all acts passed
at the various sessions of the Legislature of the State of Idaho,
do hereby certify that the annexed constitutes a full, true, and
complete transcript of the original enrolled copy of Senate Joint
Memorial No. 4, enacted by the twenty-second session of the Legislature of the State of Idaho, and filed in this office the 13th day
of February, 1933. of February, 1933.

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the great seal of the State. Done at Boise, the capital of Idaho, this 13th day of February, A. D. 1933.

FRANKLIN GIRARD Secretary of State.

IN THE SENATE.

Senate Joint Memorial 4 (by Kirkpatrick and Donart) joint memorial to the honorable Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

Received and filed February 13, 1933.

FRANKLIN GIRARD Secretary of State.

IN THE SENATE.

Senate Joint Memorial 4 (by Kirkpatrick and Donart) A joint memorial to the honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled

We, your memorialists, the Legislature of the State of Idaho,

We, your memorialists, the Legislature of the State of Idaho, respectfully represent: That—
Whereas by reason of the great depreciation in the price of farm products and the inability of farmers to market the products of their farms in an amount to equal the cost of production, irrigation districts, duly organized and existing in conformity with the laws of the State wherein such districts are situate, and which districts were organized at the request of, and under contracts made with, the Secretary of the Interior for the purposes of management and for the purposes of collecting and paying the costs of mane with, the Secretary of the Interior for the purposes of management and for the purposes of collecting and paying the costs of constructing irrigation works by the Department of Reclamation of the Government of the United States, are unable to collect the assessments for the operation and maintenance of such irrigation district canals and diversion works and to pay the costs incurred in the diversion and distribution of the water to farms in such districts; and districts: and

Whereas by reason of said inability to collect such assessments and the inability of the farmers in said districts to pay, from the sale of the products of their farms, the costs of such operation

sale of the products of their farms, the costs of such operation and maintenance, such districts have been forced to issue and sell warrants of the districts, legally issued for such expense for the year 1932, which are outstanding and unpaid; and

Whereas it will be necessary, in order to pay such operating expenses, so that the farmers within such districts may be able to carry on their farms, for such irrigation districts to issue warrants for the payment of such expenses during the year 1933, which warrants can not be paid until the crops of 1933 are marketed; and

marketed; and
Whereas said warrants are a general lien upon the lands and water rights of such irrigation districts together with the interest thereon and are a first lien on said lands and said water rights subject only to the lien of the Department of Reclamation of the Government of the United States for construction purposes and will be paid as soon as the price of farm products is increased above the cost of production and the 1933 crops can be marketed;

Whereas it is necessary that money be obtained for the opera-tion and maintenance of said districts and to pay the cost for the distribution of water to said farms for the year 1933 if crops to be produced on said lands; and

Whereas under the act of the Congress of the United States, approved July 21, 1932, known as the emergency relief and construction act of 1932, and the act of which it was an amendment, loans can not be made to such irrigation districts for the purposes herein provided; and

Whereas the interests of the thousands of farmers in said irrigation districts require that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation may make loans for such purpose and accept the warrants of such districts as securities: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate of the State of Idaho (the House of Representatives concurring), That we most respectfully urge upon

the Congress of the United States of America to immediately broaden the terms of said act, by amendment thereto, under which loans by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation may be made so as to include loans to such irrigation districts for such purpose, accepting as security therefor the warrants of said districts legally issued and registered in the manner provided by law, the pay-ments of which, together with interest thereon, shall be guaranteed by assessments duly levied, or to be levied, as provided by law; be it further

Resolved, That the secretary of state of the State of Idaho be authorized, and he is hereby directed, to forward certified copies of this memorial to the Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States of America, and to the Senators and Representatives in Congress from this State.

This senate joint memorial passed the senate on the 6th day of February, 1933.

GEO. E. HILL President of the Senate.

This senate joint memorial passed the house of representatives on the 9th day of February, 1933.

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

I hereby certify that the within Senate Joint Memorial No. 4 originated in the senate during the twenty-second session of the Legislature of the State of Idaho.

M. J. HAMMOND, Secretary of the Senate.

The VICE PRESIDENT also laid before the Senate telegrams in the nature of petitions from Stuart L. Adams, Katherine and Edna McCollam, Pocahontas M. Smith, Mrs. Mary S. Stanton, Alice Labouisse, Anna Monroe Lyons, Eva L. Page, Rhetta Lyons, Anna E. Many, Eva J. Lyons, Florence Ambrose Smith, May Bernard Allen, Mrs. C. L. Eshleman, Mrs. Gustaf Westfeldt, Mrs. Joseph E. Friend, and Bertha Lataue, all dated the 16th instant, New Orleans, La., praying for a continuance of the investigation of the Louisiana senatorial election, 1932, by the special committee of the Senate to investigate campaign expenditures of the various presidential, vice presidential, and senatorial candidates in 1932, which were referred to the Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate.

One of the telegrams was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, and it is as follows:

NEW ORLEANS, LA., February 16, 1933.

The VICE PRESIDENT AND THE SENATE,

Washington, D. C .:

Can not too strongly urge in interest of good government that your senatorial investigating committee continue its work here until our political situation is purged of fraud. Kindly read this in Senate and publish in Congressional Record.

Mr. GEORGE presented the following resolution of the Legislature of the State of Georgia, which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

Whereas in 1918 the Federal Government embarked in the cotton-duck manufacturing business by building a mill for the employment of prisoners at the Atlanta Penitentiary; and

Whereas the inroads made by the product from this mill into the markets of the free mills manufacturing cotton duck have been and are to-day very serious, it appearing from the records as compiled by the Cotton Duck Association, the Association of Cotton Textile Merchants, the American Cotton Manufacturing Association, and the National Association of Cotton Manufacturers that this cotton mill has a total of 516 looms, of which 440 are wide-duck looms, making up the largest single producer of wide duck in the United States; and

Whereas the total production of over 5,000,000 pounds of wide duck per year constitutes over 25 per cent of the wide-duck production of all free mills combined—the output for the year 1931 amounted to 38 per cent; and

Whereas this concentration by the prison mill on wide duck to the extent of over 25 per cent of the total of free production constitutes a definite violation to the spirit and letter of the law under which the mill is operated (Public, No. 271, H. R. 7412, 71st Cong.), which specifically states in the first paragraph that Federal-prison employment shall reduce to the minimum competition with private industry and free labor; and

Whereas the present Superintendent of Prisons, Mr. Sanford Bates, in a public hearing before what is known as the Shannon committee on September 22, 1932, stated that if the prison mill were not now in existence he would not favor building such a mill, and added further that "the suggestion from your committee that we do not concentrate on one industry and that we be permitted to diversify would be a welcome suggestion to our bureau"; and bureau"; and

Whereas in view of the efforts being made for relief of the un-employed throughout the country, over 25 per cent of such un-

employment in our cotton mills being directly attributed to the operation of this enterprise at the Federal prison; and

Whereas full authority in respect to this activity rest by act of Congress (Public, No. 271, 71st Cong., H. R. 7412) with the Attorney General of the United States: Therefore, be it

Resolved by the General Assembly of the State of Georgia, That the Attorney General be requested to review the facts submitted before the Shannon committee on September 22, 1932, and that action be taken to bring about such diversification of such activity

By Mr. JOHNSON: action be taken to bring about such diversification of such activity at the Atlanta Penitentiary, in accordance with the laws, as will substantially and permanently decrease the manufacture of duck

at this institution; be it further

Resolved, That the act of Congress approved July 10, 1918
(U. S. C., sec. 794, title 18), if necessary to prevent this unfair competition, both with one branch of the industry and as a whole, competition, both with one branch of the industry and as a whole, be amended so that the total manufacture of cotton duck shall be limited to an amount not in excess of 500,000 pounds per annum, except during such time as the United States Government may be at war: Be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent our two Senators and the different Members of the House of Representatives

from Georgia.

Mr. KEAN presented a concurrent resolution of the Legislature of the State of New Jersey favoring the making of sufficient appropriations to carry out the provisions of the national defense act of 1920 and its accompanying legislation, which was referred to the Committee on Appropriations.

(See concurrent resolution printed in full when presented by Mr. Barbour on yesterday, p. 4214, Congressional Record.)

Mr. BLAINE presented a joint resolution of the Legislature of the State of Wisconsin memorializing Congress to impose higher tariff duties on dairy products, which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

(See joint resolution printed in full when laid before the Senate by the Vice President on yesterday, p. 4213, Congres-

SIONAL RECORD.)

Mr. BLAINE also presented a joint resolution of the Legislature of the State of Wisconsin memorializing Congress to enact legislation for the nomination of candidates for President and Vice President in nation-wide primary elections, which was referred to the Committee on Privileges and Elec-

(See joint resolution printed in full when laid before the Senate by the Vice President on yesterday, p. 4313, Congres-SIONAL RECORD.)

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas presented a letter from E. P. Moore, of Helena, Ark., suggesting the adoption of a "backto-the-land" movement in the present economic situation, with sufficient support from the Government to finance first crops and homes and to supply cows, hogs, and work animals, instead of the continuance of present relief plans operating through the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, which was referred to the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry.

Mr. TYDINGS presented the petition of the Chamber of Commerce of Bethesda, Md., praying for the balancing of the Budget by the adoption of a sales-tax plan, which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

He also presented a resolution adopted by the Bethesda (Md.) Chamber of Commerce, protesting against reductions in the salaries of Federal employees, whether made directly or accomplished through the medium of a furlough plan, which was ordered to lie on the table.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

Mr. ODDIE, from the Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads, to which was referred the bill (H. R. 11270) to amend section 2 of the act entitled "An act making appropriations for the service of the Post Office Department for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1913, and for other purposes," reported it with an amendment and submitted a report (No. 1243)

Mr. McNARY, from the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry, to which was referred the bill (S. 964) authorizing and directing the Secretary of Agriculture to investigate all phases of taxation in relation to agriculture, reported it without amendment.

Mr. SHORTRIDGE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to which was referred the joint resolution (S. J. Res. 255) authorizing the Secretary of the Navy to sell surplus

By Mr. JOHNSON:

A bill (S. 5651) to amend sections 361, 392, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, and 412 of the United States Code relating to the construction and inspection of boilers, unfired pressure vessels, and the appurtenances thereof; to the Committee on Commerce.

By Mr. CLARK:

A bill (S. 5652) to provide for the appointment of an additional district judge for the eastern and western districts of Missouri; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BARBOUR:

A bill (S. 5653) granting a pension to Minnie Wild; to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. ODDIE:

A bill (S. 5654) for the relief of W. L. Nygren; to the Committee on Claims.

A bill (S. 5655) giving the name "Lake Nevada" to the body of water resulting from the construction of the Hoover Dam; to the Committee on Public Lands and Surveys.

(Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas introduced Senate bill 5656,

which appears under a separate heading.)

By Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts:

A bill (S. 5657) for the relief of Joanna A. Sheehan; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. ROBINSON of Indiana:

A bill (S. 5658) granting a pension to Flora B. Parker; to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. GEORGE:

A bill (S. 5659) authorizing the State of Georgia to construct, maintain, and operate a toll bridge across the Savannah River at or near Lincolnton, Ga.; to the Committee on Commerce.

RECONSTRUCTION FINANCE CORPORATION LOANS

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. Mr. President, I ask leave to introduce a bill and have it referred to the appropriate committee. I will make just a brief statement about it. The bill repeals that provision of law which requires or provides for publicity respecting loans by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation.

The bill (S. 5656) to amend subsection (b) of section 201 of the emergency relief and construction act of 1932 was read twice by its title and referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

TAXATION OF CERTAIN NONINTOXICATING LIQUOR-AMENDMENT

Mr. McNARY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill (H. R. 13742) to provide revenue by the taxation of certain nonintoxicating liquor, and for other purposes, which was ordered to lie on the table and to be printed.

RELIEF FOR THE UNEMPLOYED-AMENDMENT

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to Mr. WAGNER'S amendment to the bill (S. 5125) to provide for cooperation by the Federal Government with the several States in relieving the hardship and suffering caused by unemployment, and for other purposes, which was ordered to lie on the table and to be printed, as follows:

On page 6, line 5, after the word "markets," to insert "(including markets for the purpose of buying, selling, warehousing, or storing of agricultural commodities and livestock)."

HOUSE BILLS REFERRED

The following bills were severally read twice by their titles and referred as indicated below:

H. R. 637. An act to relinquish the title of the United States to certain lands in the county of Los Angeles, State of California; to the Committee on Public Lands and Surveys.

H. R. 1169. An act for the relief of the Monumental Steve-

H. R. 6933. An act for the relief of Zoe A. Tilghman;

H. R. 8879. An act for the relief of Joanna A. Sheehan;

H. R. 11150. An act for the relief of G. C. Vandover; to the Committee on Claims.

H. R. 2601. An act for the relief of William Mathew

H. R. 2803. An act for the relief of John S. Stotts, deceased;

H. R. 3969. An act for the relief of John P. Leonard;

H. R. 4395. An act for the relief of Harry C. Anderson;

H. R. 7174. An act for the relief of James J. Meaney;

H. R. 7986. An act for the relief of William N. Fishburn; H. R. 10070. An act for the relief of Beryl M. McHam; and

H. R. 11980. An act authorizing the President to make a posthumous award of a distinguished-flying cross to Glenn

H. Curtiss, deceased, and to present the same to Lua Curtiss, mother of the said Glenn H. Curtiss, deceased; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

CORRECTION IN ENROLLMENT OF HOUSE BILL 7522

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 49), which was read, as follows:

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the Clerk of the House is hereby authorized and directed in the enrollment of the bill (H. R. 7522) to provide a new civil code for the Canal Zone and to repeal the existing civil code, to omit Senate amendments numbered 15 to 23, inclusive.

Mr. WALSH of Montana. This concurrent resolution is merely for the purpose of correcting errors in the enrollment of a bill that passed the other day which was inadvertently misreported. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate concur in the concurrent resolution.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the resolution of the House is concurred in.

SAMUEL C. DAVIS

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill (S. 1705) for the relief of Samuel C. Davis, which was, on page 1, line 10, after the figures "1930," to insert a colon and the following proviso:

Provided, That no part of the amount appropriated in this act in excess of 10 per cent thereof shall be paid or delivered to or received by any agent or agents, attorney or attorneys, on account of services rendered in connection with said claim. It shall be unlawful for any agent or agents, attorney or attorneys, to exact, collect, withhold, or receive any sum of the amount appropriated in this act in excess of 10 per cent thereof on account of services rendered in connection with said claim, any contract to the contrary notwithstanding. Any person violating the provisions of this act shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be fined in any sum not exceeding \$1,000.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. I move that the House amendment be concurred in by the Senate.

The motion was agreed to.

BRIDGE ACROSS THE SAVANNAH RIVER NEAR LINCOLNTON, GA.

Mr. VANDENBERG. From the Committee on Commerce I report back favorably, without amendment, the bill (S. 5659) authorizing the State of Georgia to construct, maintain, and operate a toll bridge across the Savannah River at or near Lincolnton, Ga., and call it to the attention of the Senator from Georgia [Mr. George].

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the report will be received.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of the bill.

The Senate, by unanimous consent, proceeded to consider the bill, which was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That in order to promote interstate commerce, improve the postal service, and provide for military and other purposes, the State of Georgia be, and is hereby, authorized to construct, maintain, and operate a bridge and approaches thereto across the Savannah River, at a point suitable to the interests of navigation, at or near Lincolnton, Ga., and between Lincolnton, Ga., and McCormick, S. C., in accordance with the provisions of the act entitled "An act to regulate the construc-

tion of bridges over navigable waters," approved March 23, 1906, and subject to the conditions and limitations contained in this act.

SEC. 2. There is hereby conferred upon the State of Georgia all such rights and powers to enter upon lands and to acquire, condemn, occupy, possess, and use real estate and other property needed for the location, construction, maintenance, and operation of such bridge and its approaches as are possessed by railroad corporations for railroad purposes or by bridge corporations for bridge purposes in the State in which such real estate or other property is situated, upon making just compensation therefor, to be ascertained and paid according to the laws of such State, and the proceedings therefor shall be the same as in the condemnation or expropriation of property for public purposes in such State.

SEC. 3. The said State of Georgia is hereby authorized to fix and charge tolls for transit over such bridge, and the rates of toll so fixed shall be the legal rates until changed by the Secretary of War under the authority contained in the act of March 23, 1906. SEC. 2. There is hereby conferred upon the State of Georgia all

tary of War under the authority contained in the act of Marcol. 23, 1906.

Sec. 4. In fixing the rates of toll to be charged for the use of such bridge the same shall be so adjusted as to provide a fund sufficient to pay for the reasonable cost of maintaining, repairing, and operating the bridge and its approaches under economical management, and to provide a sinking fund sufficient to amortize the cost of such bridge and its approaches, including reasonable interest and financing cost, as soon as possible, under reasonable charges, but within a period of not to exceed 20 years from the completion thereof. After a sinking fund sufficient for such amortization shall have been so provided, such bridge shall thereafter be maintained and operated free of tolls, or the rates of toll shall thereafter be so adjusted as to provide a fund of not to exceed the amount necessary for the proper maintenance, repair, exceed the amount necessary for the proper maintenance, repair, and operation of the bridge and its approaches under economical management. An accurate record of the cost of the bridge and its approaches, the expenditures for maintaining, repairing, and operating the same, and of the daily tolls collected shall be kept and shall be available for the information of all persons interested.

SEC. 5. The right to alter, amend, or repeal this act is hereby expressly reserved.

REPEAL OF THE EIGHTEENTH AMENDMENT

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD at this point a short editorial appearing in to-day's Washington (D. C.) News, entitled "Repeal."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The editorial is as follows:

[From the Washington Daily News, Friday, February 17, 1933] REPEAL

Thirteen years of good intentions gone wrong—and now relief is in sight. When the Senate yesterday voted for prohibition repeal, 63 to 23, it prepared the last rites for the no longer noble experiment. The American voters gave their mandate last November. The Senate has merely registered the popular will. That the House of Representatives will follow the Senate, and that the necessary State conventions will ratify repeal, seems certain—unless tricky politics delays.

unless tricky politics delays.

We Americans learn slowly, but we learn.

We have learned that prohibition is the reverse of temperance.

We have learned that morality can not be legislated, that speakeasies are worse than saloons, that a government which invades the liberties of citizens degrades itself in lawlessness.

Prohibition is not being abolished by drunkards but by patriots. No repeal is needed to get a drink, or too many drinks. Repeal is essential to restore respect for law, to take profit out of wholesale crime, to turn the Federal Government back to its rightful tasks and restore its legitimate revenues, to save ourselves from snoopery and hypogrisy, and to teach our children that there is no evil in and hypocrisy, and to teach our children that there is no evil in

beverage or food except intemperance.

Repeal will help. We need not be naïve enough to think it will solve the liquor problem. We were never a temperate people

But, with national prohibition out of the way, we can at least start to face the continuing problem of liquor control honestly and intelligently.

NOTICE OF MOTION TO SUSPEND RULE XVI

Mr. HAYDEN. Mr. President, I offer for printing in the RECORD a notice in writing of my intention to move to suspend paragraph 4 of Rule XVI of the standing rules of the Senate for the purpose of proposing an amendment to the legislative appropriation bill.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the notice will be printed in the RECORD.

The notice is as follows:

Pursuant to the provisions of Rule XL, I hereby give notice in writing of my intention hereafter to move to suspend paragraph 4 of Rule XVI of the standing rules of the Senate for the purpose of proposing to the bill (H. R. 14562) making appropriation for the legislative branch of the Government for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, and for other purposes, an amendment to the legislative pay act of 1929 concerning certain positions and rates of compensation under the jurisdiction of the Secretary and the Sergeant at Arms of the Senate, and particularly at an appro-priate place in the bill, the following amendment:

"The provisions of the legislative pay act of 1929 are hereby amended so as to correspond with the changes made by this act in the designations and rates of salary of certain positions in the Senate. This paragraph shall be effective from and after March 15,

REORGANIZATION OF FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT OFFICES

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to print in the Record a survey or appraisal of the so-called Doak reorganization of Federal employment offices made under the auspices of the University of Chicago and published in the Survey. This exceptionally thorough investigation justifies the criticism I made of that system and the prediction I made as to what would happen when the appropriation for the Employment Exchange Bureau in the Department of Labor was under consideration. This reorganization followed when the President vetoed the bill introduced by me and passed by Congress providing for a coordinated system of State and Federal employment offices. The report shows that the appointments were made purely upon a political basis and as political rewards, irrespective of the qualifications of this individual for the technical work involved.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection?

Mr. SMOOT. Mr. President, was not the same report printed in the RECORD a few days ago?

Mr. WAGNER. No; I am sure it was not. Mr. SMOOT. There was a report similar to this one printed in the RECORD. Is the Senator sure it was not this one?

Mr. WAGNER. I will assure the Senator that it was not this report.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The report is as follows:

[From Survey Graphic, March, 1933] INSTEAD OF A SYSTEM!

By Ruth M. Kellogg

More than 2,000,000 men and women were connected with jobs by the United States Employment Service and its cooperating offices last year, according to the annual report of the Secretary of Labor, given out in December. Mr. Doak viewed this as "no mean accomplishment in these times of reduced work opportunities." On the surface it would seem that such a showing is matter

The so-called Doak reorganization of the Federal employment system was announced after President Hoover's veto of the Wagner bill in March, 1931. That bill, which is again before Congress, outlined a scheme and provided an appropriation for a system of Federal-State or Federal-State-city employment offices. Such a forward step, taken in England in 1909 and in Germany since the war, has repeatedly been urged in this country as essensince the war, has repeatedly been urged in this country as es tial to any plan for dealing with unemployment in long-range terms. It becomes of even greater importance as we move toward compulsory unemployment insurance, since such measures depend in part on adequate public-placement services.

The Doak plan, we soon learned, was a strictly Federal plan with

the entire staff freed of civil-service requirements and with no provision for integration with the established State services. A director was appointed for each State and for the District of Columbia. Statistics were soon available, purporting to show the placements made by the reorganized service and month by month the figures mounted to the impressive total announced for the

past fiscal year.

spite of this encouraging news, skeptics continued to question the soundness of the United States Employment Service as reorganized by Secretary Doak. One heard that the new offices duplicated existing public agencies, that their location was determined by political consideration, that they were staffed by persons untrained in employment techniques, that supervision was

sons untrained in employment techniques, that supervision was inadequate, that methods of placement and record keeping were careless and ineffective. Their figures were called in question and they were charged with making political capital of the human misery of unemployment. Defenders of the reorganization insisted that an honest and effective job was being done.

The present article brings together facts on the actual working of the scheme gathered in a 12-week field study that took the writer into 16 States between August and November, 1932. An associate gathered first-hand material in the Northwest in September. In addition, a wealth of information from reliable sources in the other States is still accumulating. While in the field we visited the employment offices, talked with staff members, with the State directors and with representatives of State labor depart-State directors and with representatives of State labor departments, organized labor, employers' associations, city governments,

social agencies, the press, and other informed persons. The study, directed by H. A. Millis, Paul Douglas, and B. M. Squires, of the economics faculty of the University of Chicago, was made possible by a grant from the social science research committee of the university. A detailed report of our findings will be published later. The present article attempts to cover only the high spots of our experience and a summary of our conclusions.

The survey was an unbiased effort to determine the strengths and the weaknesses of this attempt to organize the labor market.

and the weaknesses of this attempt to organize the labor market and to give to the employer, the employee, and the community an effective clearing house for workers and for jobs. If our report carries little commendation and less to sustain Mr. Doak's claim of 2,000,000 workers placed, it is because no unbiased observer could fail to be dismayed by the lack of performance, the waste of public money, the inefficiency, even the bad faith, to be found in most of these offices at a time when there is special need for the kind of service the public was led to believe would be supplied.

the kind of service the public was led to believe would be supplied. We must look at the Doak reorganization against the background of the country's brief experience with a Federal employment service. A small beginning was made in the panic year 1907 within the Bureau of Immigration. The job of the bureau vanished with the beginning of the war, and it was decided to convert the immigration offices into employment agencies. A swift expansion of the employment service followed during this period, but when the war ended the hopeful beginnings vanished. Only a skeleton of the Federal service was left, although numer-Only a skeleton of the Federal service was left, although numerous offices were continued by the States, a few of the salaries for which were paid from Washington. Between 1920 and 1930 the Federal appropriation for employment services was \$200,000 a year, compared to \$5,500,000 for the fiscal year 1918-19. The only employment offices actually operated by the service were the farm-labor offices, originated during the war to recruit and distribute harvest hands. The number of these offices has varied; there were 18 in 1930, 20 early in 1932, but the number recently dropped to 13. In 1930, 23 veterans' employment offices were opened by the United States Employment Service in various parts of the country. Their number was increased to 30 by the end of of the country. Their number was increased to 30 by the end of June, 1932

June, 1932.

In addition to the independent Federal agencies, the United States Employment Service includes the cooperative offices. In these a member of the staff of a local public-employment office is put on the Government's pay roll as a dollar-a-year man (or woman). The United States Employment Service then extends the franking privilege to this office and supplies it with record forms if they are desired. In return, the local office turns over to the Federal service its figures on registration, employers' orders, placements, and so on. This is not a new arrangement, but in the past has been confined quite largely to State or municipal employment offices. Under the Doak reorganization, some of the State directors have made no further use of this cooperative ar-State directors have made no further use of this cooperative arrangement, while others have extended it widely. In Colorado, for instance, the service includes 14 such offices. Among the organizations now maintaining cooperative services are the Young Women's Christian Association, the local chamber of commerce, the American Legion, the Urban League, and, more recently, relief agencies.

To get a picture of the service under the reorganization, let me begin by describing the offices themselves as I saw them and the personnel with which they are staffed and then consider the actual

functioning of the scheme.

functioning of the scheme.

To find these officers sometimes called for a Sherlock Holmes procedure. Usually the local telephone directory indicates their location, but occasionally even this falls. Most of the offices occupy rent-free quarters in public buildings, usually the local post office. But after reaching the post office I have gone from a basement entrance to the topmost floor, which sometimes means "elevator to fourth, walk up one," with no sign to guide me to the office I sought. This rent-free location may or may not be convenient to industry or suitable for the work presumed to be done. In Atlanta, Ga., for example, the State director and the Veterans' Bureau staff share a large room on the fifth floor of the post office. The industrial location is satisfactory, but there is no provision for privacy in interviewing, and men and women of all races, trades, and skills are handled in the same room. In Topeka, Kans., the staff of three was found huddled in a room with the income-tax collectors, the limited space crowded with with the income-tax collectors, the limited space crowded with desks. In some places—Omaha, Birmingham, Nashville, for example—an office with an outer and an inner room affords privacy

ample—an office with an outer and an inner room affords privacy for interviewing when so used.

Personnel is, of course, even more important than physical externals of location and space. In April, 1931, Secretary Doak stated that an effort had been made, and he felt it had been successful, "to obtain experienced, agreeable persons for the new employment service."

Lord R. Alvine, chosen to head up the service was formerly.

John R. Alpine, chosen to head up the service, was formerly international president of the Plumbers and Steamfitters' Union and a vice president of the American Federation of Labor. At the time of his appointment he had been for 10 years connected with an automatic sprinkler company.

when the hearings on the Wagner bill were being held by the Senate Committee on Commerce last March Mr. Alpine was questioned as to the qualifications of the staff selected for the reorganized service. Although the director considered this "a strange question to ask," he finally said:

"The experience of all men whom we have placed is that they have gone through a workaday life all the time and have had all the experiences that come through the schools of adversity

the they have gone out with practical knowledge of rub-

bing shoulders with the world; they have gone out because of their own knowledge of what it means to be out of work, and not by any theorizing or by means of any knowledge gathered through books or other kind of data. Their knowledge has been They know how to go and get jobs for a man.

practical. They know how to go and get jobs for a man.

He further contended that since his staff was made up of men who had been out of work at times themselves they knew "how they tried to find work for themselves, and they feel qualified to find it for somebody else if it can be found." Clearly Mr. Alpine fails to realize that employment office work calls for specialized training. The fact that Mr. Doak is himself a railroad man undoubtedly accounts for a considerable number of railroad men in the fact that Mr.

training. The fact that Mr. Doak is himself a railroad man undoubtedly accounts for a considerable number of railroad men in staff positions.

At the same time certain logical and happy appointments as State directors were made, notably C. W. Woodman, of Fort Worth, and W. C. Carpenter, of Spokane, among those we saw, both of them with conspicuously successful experience as assistant directors in the farm labor service, positions they still fill in addition to serving as Federal directors for Texas and Washington.

As a rule, two or three persons are attached to each office. The total budget for the last fiscal year, Mr. Doak states, was \$938,780. Until more information is available we can not know just how this money was allocated. The salary scale for the service seems to run about as follows: State director, \$3,000 to \$4,000; manager of a local office, \$2,000; assistant (if there is one), \$1,800; stenographer, \$1,440; subject, of course, to the cuts recently applied to all Federal salaries. The travel allowance for the director, in the few instances where a figure was available, has been between \$45 and \$65 a month. Certainly more funds are required for an adequate employment service, yet even a casual observer must conclude that more could be done with the available money if care and imagination went into the budgeting and spending of it.

In choosing a staff, as well as in its use later, political considerations.

adequate employment service, yet even a casual observer must conclude that more could be done with the available money if care and imagination went into the budgeting and spending of it. In choosing a staff, as well as in its use later, political considerations play a conspicuous part. At the Senate hearings, Mr. Alpine declared, "This employment service * * has been as free from politics and political influence as it was possible to keep it." Mr. Doak expressed the fear that the Wagner bill would mean State agencies "given over largely to politics.' Yet in a certain southern State I was told of a man converted to the Republican Party overnight in order to qualify him as State director in the Federal employment service. One woman lost her job and another was appointed in her stead because the former was known not to "vote right." Staff members in some offices were instructed for whom to work in the preelection campaign and for whom to vote. Undoubtedly numerous ones took an active part in the presidential campaign, even though it meant being away from their desks for days at a time. I saw Republican campaign buttons and literature in several offices and was even volunteered solemn assurance of the virtues of this party and its candidates. Little wonder that some directors, who entered the service with the hope of doing worth-while employment work have become disillusioned and unhappy. One feels pity rather than condemnation for some of the staff. Yet sympathy and pity are scarcely due the many who take complete advantage of the situation.

Some strange anomalies have appeared in this matter of staff. It has already been pointed out that the State director in Fort Worth, Tex., carries a double responsibility. His office also does a general placement work for men in a city of 163,447. The Federal Government pays the salary of only one other person in this office. (The city provides a third.) However, when an office was established in Abilene, Tex., under the Doak plan, a staff of three was appointed, although thi

closing of many of our employment offices and the dismissal of a considerable number of employees. It is very much regretted that we are unable to continue the aid heretofore extended to Dallas, for we all know that it will mean a real hardship to the unem-

we are unable to continue the aid heretofore extended to Dallas, for we all know that it will mean a real hardship to the unemployed in your city."

There was a very different situation in a certain community in Kentucky, a State not "conceded" by the Republicans. This Kentucky town is near the State line, and most of its wage earners are ordinarily employed across the river in a larger Ohio city. A Federal office was opened in the Kentucky city in January, 1932, with a staff of two. Two more were added in the spring, and all four were still on the pay roll when I was there late in October. The office "economized" by having no telephone and no business sign. The manager refused free publicity, resented having unemployed workers sent to him by local relief agencies, and was often away from his office and frankly padded his reports. It is to the credit of two of the staff that they wanted to do some real work and were unhappy in the existing situation. There were "sufficient funds" to continue this overstaffed, useless office but none to keep on an effective worker in Dallas.

Numerous outside workers or "contact men" were appointed during 1932. Several State directors found themselves supplied

during 1932. Several State directors found themselves supplied with assistants they had not requested and regarding whose appointments they had not been consulted. Thus in one Southern State a certain business man found his own job had suddenly

disappeared in the depression. He was in Washington at the time and got in touch with an eastern Congressman who was indebted to him for a past favor. The position of assistant director in his home State was created for the southerner and he returned to notify the director of his appointment. He held the job for six months, although, as he told me, "I thought it [the employment service] was a joke the whole time I was in and laughed up my sleeve about it, yet it was my bread and butter at the time." In another State the director learned through a newspaper of the appointment of his assistant. The general consensus of opinion seemed to be that the latter's chief occupation was "politics and stirring up trouble."

stirring up trouble."

So far as could be observed, the work in the local communities is not strengthened by the kind of supervision given it by Washington or, except in a few instances, by the State director. In April, 1931, the 40 State directors who had been appointed at that time were called to the Capitol for a general conference as they began their new work. The State directors of Alabama, Mississippi, Florida, Georgia, and North Carolina met once in Atlanta on their own initiative and at their own expense. In some States the employment service is limited to the work done in the office of the State director. If there are other offices in the State, most of the directors make the rounds occasionally, although their travel allowances are meager. The Industrial Employment Information Bulletin, issued from Washington and based on material secured from the State directors, comes out so late that it is of little practical value. little practical value.

Some of the suggestions from Washington have revealed a woeful lack of understanding of employment principles and technique. Thus a letter sent to State directors and some others technique. Thus a letter sent to State directors and some others on the Federal pay roll urged that the local employment offices cooperate with "individual personal finance companies" on the basis of the latter's "intimate knowledge of large numbers of wage-earners' families in their respective localities, collected in the course of their financial service to customers." Mr. Alpine, who signed the letter, added "* * it is mutually understood that the cooperation offered by the personal finance companies is directed solely to assistance in securing jobs for unemployed workers." The proposal amazed numerous directors. Mr. Alpine sent out a second letter telling them to use their own indoment in the matter. judgment in the matter.

One of the chief criticisms of the Doak scheme has been that no apparent attention has been paid to the existence of State or city employment offices or even of other Federal bureaus in the community in which the new offices were located. Last August there were Federal offices, exclusive of farm and veterans' offices, in 96 cities. Twenty-one of the 30 veterans' offices were distributed among the same cities but little or no effort was made to integrate the two services. In some communities the two offices shared quarters, in some they had adjacent rooms, in still others they were at different addresses. In any case, they were run as separate enterprises, a situation bordering on the ridiculous in some instances. Forty-nine of the 96 cities were those in which the State director has his (or her) headquarters. Almost always this office carries on a placement service. In 18 States this was the only general Federal office in the State. Fifty-five of the 96 cities were in States conducting employment services of their own. Previously established State offices were located in 40 of these 55 communities.

If there is any logical basis for this duplication of offices I failed One of the chief criticisms of the Doak scheme has been that

in 40 of these 55 communities.

If there is any logical basis for this duplication of offices I failed to find it. Iowa, for example, has two State employment offices, each with a staff of two members. In both instances one staff member has for years been paid by the Federal Government. Washington opened two completely separate Federal offices in the same cities. The one in Des Moines is called a veterans' office but it also registers nonservice men and women. The Federal and State offices in Sioux City face one another across the street. In Illinois three offices were opened outside of Chicago, two of them in cities having State offices. The State director insisted that the offices must be combined in some fashion, but his efforts met with only partial success, for the local Congressmen willed otherwise. omices must be combined in some fashion, but his efforts met with only partial success, for the local Congressmen willed otherwise. The offices established in Kansas are in cities having a State service, as is the case in California. The only Federal service in Wisconsin is in Milwaukee, the location of one of the most effective State-city employment centers in the country. Oklahoma City's Federal office was first opened three doors from the State employment office but has since moved to restricte quarters elsewhere ment office but has since moved to rent-free quarters elsewhere. On orders from Washington, the Ohio State director does placement work in his own office in Columbus. A new office was opened in Toledo. The two men appointed to open a veterans' office in Cincinnati finally took desks in the city-State office, after strenuous objection on the part of local officials to a duplicating office. The veterans' office in Cleveland began its work in 1930. In each of these four Ohio cities there is a State-city employment center. of these four Ohio cities there is a State-city employment center, that in Cleveland being one of the outstanding offices in the United States. Requests for Federal offices in Ohio industrial cities not served by city-State agencies have been ignored in Washington. The one Federal office in Georgia is in Atlanta, the only city in the State having a city employment service, a commendable service in itself.

So it has been in State after State. The situation becomes even more ridiculous in the three States in which demonstration employment centers have been established (see Survey Graphic, February, 1933, p. 87); even in Rochester, Philadelphia, Minneapolis, and Duluth Mr. Alpine has seen fit to open offices. Granted that in city after city the State service is inadequate, the opening of duplicate, competitive offices does not improve the situation. The determining factor in locating a new office in all too many cases seems to be not need or convenience, but politics. The State director's office is usually established in a principal city in the State, though this does not always hold. The first director in Kentucky lived in a small town in the extreme western part of the State. So long as he held the position the office of State director was made in this town. State. So long as he held the position the office of State director was maintained in this town. When it comes to the question of additional Federal offices within a State I found case after case in additional Federal offices within a State I found case after case in which the State director had had no voice in the matter. Instead the decision was made in Washington. The director was then notified and supplied with the names of his new staff members. Here, again, in at least one instance the director obtained his information through a daily paper. Omitting cities large enough to include a congressional district, and hence of necessity the home of a Congressman, we find that a Senator or Representative very frequently lives in the community in which one of the new offices is opened. Toledo, Ohio, where an apparently unnecessary Federal office was located is the home of Postmaster General Brown. The office was located, is the home of Postmaster General Brown. The political significance of the Doak reorganization is admitted even by some of those working under it and taken for granted by many others well qualified to know. As one staff member commented, "They [Washington] say they don't play politics and then they go right ahead and do it." Even Secretary Doak, when referring to a southern director congratulated himself that there was at

go right ahead and do it." Even Secretary Doak, when referring to a southern director, congratulated himself that there was at least one Republican in that State.

Relations between the "Doak" employment offices and other local services vary from State to State with the experience and attitude of the State director and the staff in local offices. In Jackson, Miss., although the office was until recently classed as a farm-labor agency, the man formerly in charge made his work a real part of the community amployment service. The men and real part of the community employment service. The men and women carrying on various types of free employment service in St. Louis have formed themselves into a committee of employment executives, but the staff of the Federal offices was unaware of this group and its activities. The many contacts with employers of which the Federal men told me seemed, for the most part, highly superficial. I gained the impression that they were more concerned with securing information for the Industrial Employment Information Bulletin than with getting genuinely acquainted with the employers' labor needs. part of the community employment service.

the employers' labor needs.

Labor leaders varied in their attitude toward United States employment service. Little respect was felt for it and many were quick to express their condemnation of the "Doak" system as quick to express their condemnation of the "Doak" system as a good deal of a farce. Enthusiasm was as weak as the remark, "Well, if somebody has to be in that office, I'm glad — got it." Friction and bad feeling were encountered repeatedly between the State labor department and the Federal group. In certain instances the head of the former expressed pity for the State director, well realizing that he was not free to organize his own job. It is hard to evaluate the figures included in the Secretary of Labor's report, especially since only totals are given. Mr. Alpine has stated over the radio that more than three and a third million placements were made between April 1, 1931, and November 1, 1932, and he gives credit to the United States Employment Service for well over half of these. Again, one can not argue with his

for well over half of these. Again, one can not argue with his statement until more facts are known but surely he must have included in his total all of the "directed to employment" figures of the farm-labor division and also the placements of the veterans' offices. In no other way can I square his statement with the facts as I found them. Although confronted repeatedly with the statement, "We aren't allowed to give out any information," I learned of instance after instance in which the placements averaged between 45 and 150 a month. Sometimes they sank to 15 or even 5. One office had made only 166 placements in the preceding 11 months. In another, the director frankly said that his office had made practically none but he didn't believe in sending in fictitious or padded reports.

Less-conscientious staff members have found ingenious ways of increasing their placement totals. In one city it is the custom increasing their placement totals. In one city it is the custom for the Federal man to call on any firm known to have added a number of workers to its pay roll, obtain the names of these new employees and include them in his placements. Another man asked permission to go over the pay rolls of a number of local firms. When he came upon the name of an employee registered at his office he counted that person as a placement, explaining "He ought to come and tell us anyway." One State director asked that he be supplied with the names and addresses of all men given jobs on Federal highway relief work. These were to be counted as placements because "It's all done with Federal money so why shouldn't the Federal Employment Service get the credit?" In another State there are several instances in which the Federal office included among its placements the total per credit?" In another State there are several instances in which the Federal office included among its placements the total pay the Federal office included among its placements the total pay roll working on a certain project though it had probably had no contact with these men. The director with some one nominally on his staff on a dollar-a-year basis in a relief organization handling made-work has an excellent chance to swell his totals. During the American Legion drive for jobs in the spring of 1932 it was customary to appoint a veteran on this cooperative basis. The drive totals, themselves highly inflated in some instances, added substantially to the Federal figures.

added substantially to the Federal figures.

The records of the Federal employment offices are a poor index of community-employment conditions. Only a small percentage of the jobless workers of any city ordinarily register at the United States employment office. Theoretically the offices separate their registrations into "active" and "inactive" applications, but as a rule the files are not brought up to date oftener than twice a year. Some Federal men frankly stated that their files had never been cleared of "dead timber." No reasonable person expects an em-

ployment office to show a large percentage of its applicants placed, nor an impressive total of permanent connections in a period of depression. But in view of the practices followed in the Federal offices, their figures on registration and placements, particularly attempts to compare one office with another or give cost per

placement, become utterly meaningless.

As I made the rounds of the "reorganized" offices I found numerous people, myself included, questioning the future of the numerous people, myself included, questioning the future of the Doak plan. Some freely labeled it a farce and a subterfuge intended to sidetrack the enactment of the Wagner bill. Even some of the staff expected their services to be discontinued "after election." On July 1, 1932, lack of finances closed numerous offices which had been opened only the preceding February, yet limited finances do not wholly explain the offices discontinued and the staffs cut down toward the end of 1932. Evidence piles up showing that the Federal Government is cutting off the Federal salaries of persons who have for years been a part of the staff in State employment offices, this in spite of vigorous protest and while there are still many Federal offices duplicating other services. Thus in are still many Federal offices duplicating other services. Thus in New York State Federal offices have been continued in Rochester and Elmira, where there are State employment centers, but closed in Schenectady and Auburn, where there are none.
Clearly, with adequate supervision and increased funds the

Clearly, with adequate supervision and increased funds the service could be made more effective than it has been so far. In States conducting no employment service of their own a strictly Federal service might fill a useful place, though even in these States there would remain the problem of relating such a service to established municipal employment offices. Certain defects stand out in the Doak scheme: There is no provision for real integration with State employment offices; a staff freed of civil-service requirements very easily becomes a part of the spoils system; no provision is made for advisory committees, either national or local. Repeatedly I saw in States that would welcome a genuinely cooperative plan, including a pooling of funds and experience, a strong resentment against the present set-up.

Even if the staff should later be placed under civil service, with able advisory committees formed and utilized, our survey convinces

able advisory committees formed and utilized, our survey convinces able advisory committees formed and utilized, our survey convinces us that the Doak plan is unlikely to lead to an adequate public employment service in this country. Granting that better leadership would eliminate duplicating offices, the scheme would still leave a dual system in State after State. To avoid this it would be necessary to limit the Federal set-up to States having no employment services of their own. The result would be further diffusion of effort, instead of a coordinated, nation-wide service.

diffusion of effort, instead of a coordinated, nation-wide service.

The Doak plan, now in its second year, has served not only to make clear the points at which its administration falls short but the weakness of the plan itself. Its basic defects are met by the system that would replace it if the Wagner bill were enacted into law. That bill provides for Federal civil-service employees and for properly constituted advisory committees. And it rests not on a rigid Federal set-up but on national support for a flexible organization established and administered by the States and by local communities to meet our need for an adequate and honest public employment service. public employment service.

SALE OF LAND IN HARFORD COUNTY, MD.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore laid before the Senate the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill (S. 567) to authorize the Secretary of War to sell to the Philadelphia, Baltimore & Washington Railroad Co. certain tracts of land situate in the county of Harford and State of Maryland, which were, on page 2, line 15, after "Company," to insert "and that the said railroad company shall replace any and all fences, and any other improvements on said property without expense to the Government," and on page 2, line 18, after "thereof," to insert "and not less, in any event, than the sum of \$3,759."

Mr. GOLDSBOROUGH. I move concurrence in the House amendments.

The motion was agreed to.

THE 5-DAY WEEK AND 6-HOUR DAY

Mr. BLACK. Mr. President, I desire to discuss at this time a bill which I have introduced to prohibit the use of interstate commerce for the transportation of goods manufactured or mined in establishments where employees work longer than five days per week and six hours per day.

Mr. BORAH. Mr. President, is the Senator going to discuss the legal phase of the measure?

Mr. BLACK. Not this morning.

It is my sincere belief that the prompt enactment of this measure into law would bring about the quick employment of millions of jobless Americans and at the same time actually benefit legitimate industry and languishing agriculture. The bill is not offered as a cure for all our economic ills but as a major part of an imperatively necessary program for national readjustment and recovery.

There are, of course, other pressing problems of vital importance. Without attempting to enumerate all of them, but on account of their fundamental nature, might be mentioned farm-mortgage indebtedness and, indeed, all kinds and forms of our crushing load of debts, low farm commodity prices, the unstable and shifting value of our dollar, and our lost foreign trade.

To-day, however, I wish to present this one measure, relating directly to the one subject of hours of labor in industry, and attempt to show that it has an indirect bearing upon all other phases of trade and commerce, and indeed is a subject that can not and must not be ignored in any comprehensive plan to restore normal business activities and bring about that economic justice upon which the domestic tranquility of our people depends.

Since January 5, a subcommittee of the Senate Judiciary Committee has held hearings on this bill. Those hearings have been completed, and I am so thoroughly convinced of the justice of the bill, as well as the urgent necessity for its passage, that I shall seek to secure action from this body as soon as possible.

In the meantime, I think it fitting and appropriate, that I state to the Senate the reasons upon which it rests, and review to a limited extent the evidence before the committee.

Numerous witnesses have appeared before the subcommittee. These have been voluntary witnesses, and the overwhelming majority have favored it.

Appearing for it have been manufacturers, representatives of organized labor, engineers, economists, social workers, lawyers, merchants, farm representatives, and others. It has been most significant that some of the manufacturers have represented business enterprises which are the largest of their kind in the world. Representatives of factories and enterprises have favored it from almost every kind and class of business. These representatives have represented industries employing hundreds of thousands of men and women. Lawyers, who have appeared to argue against the measure on legal grounds, have expressed themselves in unqualified terms as favoring the objective of a shorter work week and shorter work day. Others have appeared to oppose national legislation, but strongly favor State legislation for the same purpose.

There have been some significant facts in connection with the few who expressed themselves against it.

One witness testified against it who is a communist, and who said that "the capitalist system will only be overturned by force," and that he favored overturning it. His testimony clearly disclosed his desire to destroy our present form of government, and his fear that measures like this providing work for the people would delay what he called "the crisis" and would prolong a government he wanted to see destroyed by force.

The attorney for the National Manufacturers' Association also testified against the measure. Both the attorney for this manufacturers' association and the communist who testified against the bill professed sympathy with the objective of shortening hours of labor.

Apparently, however, the communist opposed the measure chiefly because he believed our system to be so inherently bad that he did not want a measure of this kind to allay existing and growing discontent. The attorney for the manufacturers, on the other hand, is not willing to have a desirable objective obtained by law.

It is my belief that this measure should be speedily enacted into law. Among other important effects, the evidence before our committee indicates it would have the following results:

First. The adoption of the 5-day week and 6-hour day would bring about the employment of approximately six and one-half million of our present army of jobless and despairing citizens within a comparatively short period of time.

Second. It would aid the farmers and business enterprises of the Nation by greatly increasing the aggregate purchasing power of the people.

Third. The absorption of the vast number of unemployed in useful labor would aid in the reestablishment of confi-

dence and would be a long step toward a restoration of normal business conditions and would aid in relieving the wide-spread misery and distress abroad throughout the land.

It is my belief that American industry working its employees five days a week and six hours per day, can produce all that is needed in times of prosperity to satisfy the demands of both our foreign and domestic commerce. The marvelous advances in machinery and efficient mass production support this statement. It is difficult to understand how any thinking person can deny this development who has given proper weight to the evidence around him and the facts available to all who seek reliable information. The fallacy of the economic dogma that the niggardliness of nature and the insatiability of human wants justify long hours in order to produce a limitless supply of ever-increasing commodities, has been exposed and disproven by the inventive genius of man. Dogmatic assertions of economic laws that can not survive the test of workability are not sound.

New principles recognize the lavish generosity of nature. The problem in our complex age is one of satisfying human wants through a proper system of distribution. That is our task to-day.

In connection with this bill I would invite the attention of Senators, particularly those on the other side of the aisle, to the words of Abraham Lincoln. He said long ago:

So long as there is a man willing to work, but unable to find employment, the hours of labor are too long.

It is also proper at this time to call attention to one sentence in the acceptance speech of President-elect Roosevelt. In discussing unemployment and public works, he said:

So as to spread the points of all kinds as widely as possible, we must take definite steps to shorten the working day and the working week.

Many statements from men in business and public life might be quoted to strengthen these principles. Let us, however, review some of the evidence before our committee.

INCREASED PRODUCTIVITY

Let us take up first the question of increased productivity. I shall give only a few illustrations.

An example of increased productivity is found in the rubber business. The Department of Labor bulletin of December, 1932, shows this in the following language:

Mr. Black. Man-hour output in the manufacture of pneumatic tires—that is one of the industries in which some of them have gone on a 5-day week—it has increased 86 per cent since 1928, 172 per cent since 1922, 581 per cent since 1914 as the result of technological circumstances, according to a study by the Bureau of Lahor Statistics

The displacement of men in railroad business is shown by the following evidence of Mr. Lovell:

The records of the Interstate Commerce Commission disclose that from the year 1919 to 1929, a 10-year period, during which the railroads of this country did the greatest volume of business, perhaps made the greatest earnings of any similar period in their history, 350,000 railroad employees were eliminated from the service, not in a business depression but when this country was booming in business. That reduction came about by bigger engines, more efficient engines, bigger cars, heavier bridges, heavier track, more efficient operation.

Mr. Austin T. Levy, of Harrisville, R. I., testified at length before the committee. He operates a number of woolen mills in Rhode Island, Connecticut, and Virginia, representing a capital investment of \$3,500,000. He gave some interesting evidence on technological advance and displacement of labor. He said in part as follows:

I must plead guilty myself to being as great an offender in that respect as there is in the wool textile industry. Our factory has undergone as great changes technologically as any in our industry, which means merely another way of saying that we have displaced workers. * * They have not been absorbed. and they can not be absorbed.

The same is going on in all lines of business.

Mr. William Green, president of the American Federation of Labor, who has made a careful and close study of the technological changes, testified as follows:

Industry has become so highly mechanized that it is utterly and absolutely impossible to provide work opportunities or to provide work at the old standards of hours, work week, and work day. So that we are face to face with the inevitable fact that adjustments must be made in the working period and working time, or we must settle down and prepare to accept an economic condition which will create and establish and maintain a permanent standing army of unemployed.

An interesting illustration of labor displacement in drilling oil wells was given by Mr. T. S. Hogan, of Midland, Tex. He said:

In the past 30 years I have been in the oil business. I have drilled oil wells from the Canadian border to Mexico in many of our States of the United States—New Mexico, Texas, Arizona, Idaho, and many others. When I started in the business we considered a good job if we drilled a well 3,000 feet deep in one year. In the east Texas field in Texas, the largest oil field ever discovered, comprising 110,000 acres of producing oil land, there are contractors there who have drilled—one company I know of—eight wells in succession, 3,650 feet deep, each on an average drilling time of 56 hours.

Increased productivity in coal mines was shown by Mr. Philip Murray, vice president of the United Mine Workers of America. He said:

The increased use of machinery is shown by the average tons mined per man per day. This was as follows: In 1890 the average tons produced per man per day were 2.56 tons. In 1900 it was 2.98 tons. In 1910 it was 3.46 tons. In 1920 it was 4 tons. In 1929 the average tons per man per day was 4.85. In 1930 it was 5.06, and in 1931 it was 5.30 tons * * *. The difference between 1890 and 1931 would be roughly 100 per cent

and in 1931 it was 5.30 tons * * . The difference between 1890 and 1931 would be, roughly, 100 per cent.

The United Mine Workers feel that with the increased use of machinery, as shown by the above figures of productive efficiency, a reduction in the hours per day is a necessity. The existing misery and distress and unemployment make the present the logical time for granting this needful relief.

Mr. Thomas F. McMahon gave another illustration of technological labor displacement in the woolen industry:

I have here taken the woolen industry first, and I wanted you I have here taken the woolen industry first, and I wanted you gentlemen to know that it came from one who has spent a lifetime as a foreman in a woolen mill, and he finds that in 1900 a weaver who operated one loom, which was a customary thing at that particular time, 32 years ago, with the speed of the loom 80 picks motion, 90 threads a minute through the shuttle, 60-hour week, could weave a maximum yardage per week of 250 yards. In 1932 the weaver is operating 120 picks, or 30 picks more per minute, a 54-hour week, producing 2,400 yards.

The Washington Daily News of February 13, 1932, con-

tained the following news item:

The Knitwear Industrial Exposition opened in New York to-day. On exhibition is a knitting machine that does the work of 300 persons knitting by hand. One operator can run four such machines, thus the machine enables one man to do the work of 1,200. The machine produces 50 square yards of knitted fabric

Mr. Levy, a worsted manufacturer, gave the committee an interesting side light on the subject of wages as related to technological advances. He said:

In 1912 a weaver working 60 hours a week earned \$15, if he was a good weaver, or 25 cents an hour. In 1933—and I hope the Black bill will be operative during the year 1933—a weaver working under its provisions only 30 hours a week and earning as much, we will say, as \$30, or \$1 an hour, will produce a yard of similar cloth at 57 per cent less than the cost of producing it in 1912 of 55 cents an hour. In other words, the technological ad-1912 at 25 cents an hour. In other words, the technological advance has accomplished something which should redound not only to the benefit of the consumer but also to the benefit of the man who produces the thing that is sold.

The CHAIRMAN. This comes about, I presume, because of the

mew improvements in machinery.

Mr. Levy. Because the man runs more machines and the machines run at a higher speed, are operated with a greater percentage of efficiency—a combination of those three factors.

Mr. COSTIGAN. Mr. President

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Fess in the chair). Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from Colorado?

Mr. BLACK. I yield.

Mr. COSTIGAN. I have been out of the Chamber during a portion of the interesting and instructive address of the able Senator from Alabama. Perhaps he has discussed the question I desire to propound. It is, What will be the effect on the wages of individual workers if the Senator's bill should be passed?

Mr. BLACK. I have not discussed that question, but I am going to give a review of the testimony on that subject be-

fore the committee from the various witnesses. It is a very pertinent question; and I am very frank to state to the Senator that if this bill did not increase the aggregate purchasing power of the people who work it would fail of its purpose.

Let me next take up, very briefly, the question of hours of work to-day. We have heard a great deal about the sharethe-work movement, and the impression has been left that people are not working long hours to-day. The exact contrary is true. That has been disclosed by the evidence of manufacturers and laboring people. As a matter of fact, the hours have greatly increased since the depression began.

Even since the depression began hours of labor in industry have increased while wages have decreased. This is true in spite of the effort to put the share-the-work plan into

In the cotton textiles, in 1932, the 55-hour week still predominated. Some mills worked 60 hours, and some as high as 76 hours per week. Many mills worked 11, 12, and 13 hours per night.

Woolen mills worked 50 hours to 671/2 hours per week, and some worked 13 hours per night.

Sawmills had an average standard of 56 hours.

Silk and rayon mills still work 10 hours per day, and practically all work a 10-hour night. Many work 12 and 13 hour shifts at night.

Mr. Max Baker, a silk manufacturer and secretary of the Silk Manufacturers' Association of Paterson, N. J., who is on the manufacturing side of the question, in testifying for the 30-hour bill, gave the following surprising evidence:

I speak to you also for the manufacturers of Paterson, who are working anywhere from 18 hours a day themselves. We have mills in Paterson where employees are working 17 hours a day on one shift, and it is not uncommon for people to work 12 and 14 hours. The average hours to-day in Paterson are about 55 hours per week. Wages have come down terribly. The leaders of our industry

With reference to working hours since the depression began, Mr. Baker testified that the hours of labor per day had increased since the depression 20 to 25 per cent, and that wages have decreased.

Speaking for the bill, Mr. Baker said:

From conversation with men in our industry, large and small, it is an impossibility for our industry to put its house in order by

He said the manufacturers were helpless to reach an agreement and reduce hours in that way.

Mr. Joseph Haines, jr., of Philadelphia, president of the Full-Fashioned Hosiery Co., testified that since the depression wages have gone down 50 per cent, and working hours per day have increased. He favors a law providing for a shorter working week.

Mr. Emil Rieve, president of the American Full-Fashioned Hosiery Works, of Philadelphia, testified on this subject as

Senator Black. The average is what now? Senator Black. The average is what h Mr. Rieve. About \$16.50 per week. Senator Black. What was it in 1928? Mr. Rieve. In 1928 it was around \$32. Senator Black. And it is \$16.50 now?

Mr. Rieve. Approximately half. Senator Black. And are the people working longer hours per

Mr. RIEVE. On the average I will say they are working longer hours per day.

Mr. Isadore Teitelbaum, appearing as the representative of the National Furniture Manufacturers' Association, in favor of the shorter work week and day, testified as follows:

Senator Black. Have they increased the hours of labor during

the depression?

Mr. TEITELBAUM. No question about it.

Senator Black. What would you say the average has been, the

werage increase in hours per day, since the depression began?

Mr. Tettelbaum. A minimum of two hours.

The Chairman. The pay has not been increased in proportion?

Mr. Tettelbaum. The pay has decreased. There is no question beaut the

Now let us consider for a moment what would be the effect of the 30-hour week and 6-hour day on unemployment, because that is one of the most material points to-day.

Let us take first the testimony of Mr. William Green, who has investigated the subject with great care.

Mr. William Green testified as to the effect of the application of the 6-hour day and 30-hour week as follows:

If work hours could be universally shortened to 30 per week in industry and government, excluding agriculture, 6,500,000 jobs could be created for those now out of work. More than 11,000,000 are now unemployed. With the constant increase in technological are now unemployed. With the constant increase in technological improvements and labor-saving machinery, it seems unlikely that more than a few million of these unemployed can be taken back to work in the next few years, even when business improves. For improvement must come slowly and technological development will continue. Therefore, the reemployment of at least 6,500,000 by shortening work hours to 30 per week is an essential basic adjustment for our future progress. That is an inescapable fact. We can not sidetrack it and remove it. We can not go around it. It is standing there. * * is standing there. * * *
Senator Walsh of Montana. If business activities are resumed

and reached a normal basis, by reason of the improvement in methods and the introduction of new and improved machinery, together with the further utilization of power, we would still have

some 4,000,000 unemployed.

Mr. Green. Yes; I think the figures would be in excess of that,

Senator Walsh of Montana. That is to say, the work could be done with 4,000,000 men left.

Mr. Green. Yes, sir.

Mr. Herman Chopak, of the Chopak Textile Co., of New York, testifying in favor of the bill, gave the following facts from his practical knowledge as to the effect on employment of the 5-day week and 6-hour day. He said:

The principal reason why the bill should be adopted is that it will create more employment. To accomplish the same amount of work it will naturally require the employment of a greater number of people at 30 hours per week than it does at the present time, when the working week ranges from approximately 40 to 44 hours per week. It is a known fact that years ago it was not unusual for the average worker to work 60 to 72 hours a week. This was changed due to the fact that machinery at that time started to make invocade into man power to such an extent that

This was changed due to the fact that machinery at that time started to make inroads into man power to such an extent that it was necessary to curtail the working week to its present basis of approximately 40 to 54 hours. Privilege of working longer hours with other help should be considered satisfactory.

We have again reached the turning point where we must once more curtail the working week, this time to a 30-hour basis.

As an example I can give you my own business, with which I am, of course, best acquainted. We employ approximately a hundred people in the distributing end of our business. They work about 44 hours weekly. If the law became effective limiting the working week to 30 hours, in order to accomplish the same amount of work necessary we would require approximately 20

the working week to 30 hours, in order to accomplish the same amount of work necessary we would require approximately 20 to 25 additional people in our distributing department.

In the manufacturing end of our business we employ directly and indirectly approximately 3,000 people. At the present time they are working from 48 to 50 hours weekly. In order to turn out the same amount of yardage it will be necessary on a 30-hour basis for us to employ at least 750 more people.

These figures, which I offer as an example, can readily give you an idea of the thousands of factories in this and other industries that would have to do likewise, with the consequent employment of millions of now unemployed.

of millions of now unemployed.

I shall not go at further length into the question of the effect in so far as reemployment is concerned.

Now, reaching the subject suggested by the Senator from Colorado [Mr. Costigan] as to the effect of this bill on wages, I will state that I have not attempted to gather all of the statements that have been made before the committee on this subject, but enough to give a general idea as to the thought of those who have testified in favor of the bill, all of whom favor holding the wage standard high. On this question let me first quote from Mr. William Green.

Mr. Green was asked the following questions, and the following answers were given:

If this shorter work week and shorter work day was brought about by law, which it is apparent now is about the only way it can be brought about universally, would it not be more difficult for the employers to reduce the wages in proportion than it is when they share the work according to the plans that have been adopted by the country?

Mr. Green. Yes. We would be able to maintain the wage standards better through the universal application of the shorter work day and the shorter work week. Then we can be putting it into effect, not in an isolated but in a progressive way. The competitive evil of it would be largely eliminated through the universal application.

application.

Senator Black. In other words, one employer would not have an advantage over another employer. Mr. Green. No, sir.

Mr. Levy, a Rhode Island textile mill president, whose evidence I commend to those who are interested in this subject, made the following comment on wages:

Mr. Levy. If this bill resulted merely in a reduction of hours and a commensurate reduction in wages, I should oppose it. This bill is valuable only if the hours are reduced and the compensation either remains where it is or is increased. I think logically it will follow if we find complete employment for our people, that wages must be paid that have some relation to the cost of living.

Mr. D. C. Henny, of Portland, Oreg., who is vice president of the American Society of Engineers and a member of the committee on production, distribution, and consumption of the American Engineering Council, who testified in favor of the bill, said as follows on this subject:

Mr. Henny. I am trying to get at that point now. When there is a scarcity of labor, of course, labor will get a higher rate, which is to the advantage of labor. Labor then gets equal bargaining power with industry and capital. Competition will come about, and I agree with you if such a measure as this did not bring about better pay and higher consumptive power I would not be here, but I feel it is bound to do that.

Mr. T. S. Hogan, of Midland, Tex., who was formerly the secretary of state of Montana, testified in favor of the bill. An interesting colloquy occurred concerning the effect of this bill on wages. It was as follows:

The Chairman. Let me ask you a question. From your own experience and observation in Montana, when you were a member of the legislature and passed that 8-hour bill in Montana, did it decrease wages?

Mr. Hogan. It did not. Not at all.

The CHARMAN. When the bill went into effect were the wages kept at the same for eight hours?

Mr. Hogan. Yes.
The Chairman. As they had been for a longer work period?

Mr. Hogan. Yes. We had in the mines \$3.50 a day for miners and muckers \$3. That was not only maintained under the 8-hour schedule but very soon it was increased to \$4 for miners and \$3.50 for muckers, and none of the dire calamities which the spokesmen of the copper and lead industries predicted actually happened at all. They pointed out to us they could not compete with for-eign goods, they could not compete with goods of the other States. The effect of this was the other States adopted the 8-hour States. The elect of this was the other States adopted the 3-hour system, as it will be in case of the adoption of your bill, Senator Black. It will come to be looked upon as orthodox and ordinary, as the 8-hour day is to-day, in a very short length of time. That is my opinion of how it will function.

Now, in response to the question of the Senator from Colorado [Mr. Costigan] I will state that there was much other evidence given on this subject; and it was the unanimous opinion-with the exception, as I recall, of two men who appeared and who opposed the bill, and who favored overturning the Government by force, and one other witness who was the candidate of the Socialist-Labor Party for President in 1932—that it would result in holding up the standard of wages.

Now, let me go for just a moment to the additional cost of production, because we had evidence on that subject also. We hear people say that industry could not maintain itself if hours were shortened. Let us see what manufacturers said about that.

Quoting again from Mr. Levy, who operates woolen mills in Rhode Island, Connecticut, and Virginia, he gave to the committee the estimated increased cost of a suit of clothes if factories worked on a 5-day week and 6-hour day and did not reduce present wages. Here is what he said:

I have been inquiring, Senator Norms, as to the objections to the bill. The first that occurs is a problem, and the certain increase in cost in all products, and I have sought to translate that into definite figures as bearing on the particular industry in which I am engaged, namely, the wool textile industry.

My company is engaged in taking raw wool as it comes from the sheep and transforming it into finished fabric, and distributing those finished fabrics to the people who make clothing from them.

There are a number of ways of plant operation, and I shall give

There are a number of ways of plant operation, and I shall give you the figures that have to do with the most unfavorable transi-tion that would follow with this bill, and that is the change from a single operation of 48 hours per week to a single shift of 30 hours per week.

The additional cost in a suit of clothes for a man would be, as I calculate it, \$1.08, a trifling amount indeed, when all the other factors in this situation are concerned.

Where other transitions are taken into consideration, the additional cost is very much less.

It is interesting to note that the decline in prices since 1929 has been so great due to the facts and circumstances that have been operating, a change which this bill hopes to meet and correct, that after those increases in cost, due to the operation of this bill, we would still have to add 67 per cent to the then increased cost in order to equal the existing prices in 1929.

Mr. President, in view of the fact that some say that industry can not stand the extra expense of a 5-day week and 6-hour day, we might reply by asking whether or not industry and business can stand the crushing burdens under which they rest to-day. Let Mr. Austin Levy answer this, as he did before our committee. I read from his evidence on that subject:

If people ask what is the cost of doing what the Black bill would require, we might well ask what is the cost to the Nation in dollars—let alone the other costs—what is the cost to the Nation in dollars—let alone the other costs—what is the cost to the Nation of the present unemployment; and if we take as a conservative estimate that there are 10,000,000 people unemployed—the American Federation of Labor says it is almost 12,000,000, and I think we can agree on 10,000,000—I have built up the following calculation. Ten million unemployed at a standard wage of \$5 a day means \$50,000,000, as many more working half time, or a loss of \$2.50 a day, is \$25,000,000. So we have a daily loss of wages on this one count of \$75,000,000, which in a 5-day week becomes \$375,000,000 becomes \$375,000,000.

We have, going on at the same time, a huge national expense for the relief of unemployment, and if we set that down to the modest sum of \$2.50 a week, 7-day week, it is only 30 cents a day, or a little more, and it becomes \$25,000,000 a week. We have the huge total as the direct cost of unemployment of \$400,000,000 weekly, or \$20,000,000,000 per annum.

Now that, Senator Norris, is only the direct cost. We have the indirect losses that follow on that through the loss in security values, through the loss in real-estate values, through the losses in commodity values, through the losses in savings. Our savings banks' losses constituted four million of deposits last year and 7,000,000 bank accounts were closed. We assume that those people used up their last funds when those 7,000,000 closed their savingsbank accounts. We must add, then, the losses in dividends, and the losses in interest, and when you have added all those things together, while the figures are impossible, I believe, to obtain, I believe they represent a far greater sum even than the direct loss of \$20,000,000,000,000 are enjoyed. loss of \$20,000,000,000 per annum.

When we point to those figures the whole foreign debt becomes relatively insignificant. The whole export trade of this country becomes relatively unimportant. Here we have a market at our doors of \$20,000,000,000 per annum. Where else in the world could we find such a market? Where else in the world does it

That was the evidence of Mr. Levy in connection with the cost of the bill.

Now, I desire to give some of the striking statements of those who favored this bill and their reasons for so favoring it, and this is the last collection of evidence I obtained from the record.

Mr. Charles S. Rockwell, jr., who is treasurer of the largest plush manufacturing company in the world, operating mills in Rhode Island, Pennsylvania, and North Carolina, testified in favor of the bill. Let us find his reason from his own evidence:

Mr. Rockwell. It is rather hard to define why I am here, except that for some time it has been my opinion that we are producing more goods than we can possibly consume. I base my judgment on the period between 1920 and 1930, which I take is the most prosperous period of material prosperity that the United States has ever had.

The textile industry, by the figures of the Department of Commerce and by figures of various of the manufacturing groups, did not work more than 60 per cent of a single 48-hour shift during this 10-year period of American prosperity.

I hope that will be noted, that the textile industry did not work more than 60 per cent of a single 48-hour shift during the 10-year period of American prosperity.

Now, if that amount of time is sufficient to produce all the goods that we can consume in a prosperous period, why, unless we recognize that fact with an attempt to give every person who is normally employed in that industry the opportunity of a job, we have failed to make ourselves any better or nearly as well off as the savage, who can produce what he needs in five hours a week and seems to get along pretty well.

We produce more goods than we can consume, and immediately the price falls, and there is a tremendous urge from the laboring man to the employer to give him work at any price which the employer wishes to pay, and I think it is remarkable in the last three years the employers have not cut wages more than they have. But it will be impossible to continue it much longer without cutting wages, simply trying to give two men where one man

had worked before, along the recent statements of the share-the-work campaign. It simply means a man who is now on the charity list receives a job and takes away from the man who has a job part of his earnings. * * * I am basing my whole opinion on what we knew as a prosperous time, in which 40 per cent of the labor which should be normally employed in that industry was idle. Now, part of that labor was idle all the time and another part might have been idle 20 or 30 per cent of the time. Whether you pass a bill limiting the hours of labor to 30 hours a week or you pass a bill limiting the hours of labor to 30 hours a week or not, as long as the status quo—the volume of goods manufacof idle time. This bill will not affect the total numbers of hours of idle time in the least, and I think it far better that everyone have the right to leisure time and everyone have the opportunity of a job than have 40 per cent of the people idle all the time.

Mr. Herman Aaron, an attorney of New York, representing the National Engineering Society, a number of large interests, and who described himself as a conservative, gave the following interesting reasons for favoring the bill:

I may say here that I am a firm believer in the principle that government regulation or interference of any sort should be avoided excepting when necessary. As a practical observer, however, it seems plain to me that it is hopeless for the competitive world to aspire to an effective limitation of hours of work through voluntary arrangement. To my mind the question, therefore, is, Shall we let our civilization crash through our disinclination to invoke the power of the law, or shall we resort to law to accomplish a vital rule of operation which it is not possible otherwise to create?

I say a vital rule with deliberation. How many of us sit in our easy chairs and smugly contemplate that millions of workers, able and willing to work, must seek in vain for an opportunity in this capitalistic world to earn their living and must see themselves and their families facing either starvation, or what is about as bad, being made objects of charity? No human person should permit such a condition to exist without doing his utmost to remedy it. If it is not remedied, does it take a prophet to foretell will happen?

As I see no other recourse but a resort to the law, my proposal that a national law be passed placing a limit upon the hours

of labor in industry.

Our economist friends will be apt to deplore the wastefulness involved in the 6-hour suggestion. There is no wastefulness involved in it. The work of 800,000 men at six hours a day is the exact equivalent of the work of 600,000 men at eight hours a day, so that there is just as much wealth produced in the community under the happy system whereby a job is provided for everyone as under the unhappy system where industries are turned topsy-turvy and a large percentage of the community finds itself in distress and facing starvation or charity through no fault of themselves.

If all that is wanted can be made in six hours a day, why insist upon people working eight hours? I repeat, if all that is wanted can be made in six hours a day, why insist upon people

working eight hours?

Mr. Max Baker, a silk manufacturer, gave the following reasons for his support of this bill:

My name is Max Baker. I am secretary of the American Raw Thrown Silk Association and secretary of the Silk Manufacturers

Association, of Paterson, N. J.

I appear here to-day as a voluntary witness in this matter, representing a family which represents, probably, 3 per cent of the silk textile industry in production, and at the same time representing an organization in the city of Paterson, representing approximately 90 per cent of 531 manufacturers of which the city is made up. city is made up.

I also appear here for certain manufacturers in the far East district, and also for certain manufacturers in the silk textile industry in the South.

My family has mills in the South, mills in Pennsylvania, mills in Paterson, and mills down East. After a study of this problem for the past two years we have sponsored exactly the legislation which Senator Black proposes here.

Our industry is in a chaotic condition. Labor conditions are fierce, and the owners' condition at the same time is fierce. I appear here for the owners of the industry in the city of Paterson, and for my own family and my friends in the industry whom I number amongst the thousands. * *

From conversation with men in our industry, large and small, it is an impossibility for our industry to put its house in order

by itself.

Mr. Hogan, of Midland, Tex., testified with reference to the necessity for the bill.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. President, will the Senator yield to me?

Mr. BLACK. I yield.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Has the Senator any statistics showing the number of persons who are on part-time employment in this country at the present time?

Mr. BLACK. We have the figures in the record. I did not gather those statistics for this occasion.

Mr. WAGNER. If the Senator from Massachusetts desires, I think I can give the figures.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. Lewis, representing the United Mine Workers, stated before a committee this morning that in certain industries the percentage of unemployment equals 40 per cent, and of 60 per cent of the enrolled workers employed a large part are employed on parttime basis. If that is true, the beneficial effect of the legislation which the Senator is advocating, and with which I am sympathetic, would not be so pronounced as we would ordinarily expect.

Mr. BLACK. That would be true were it not for the fact—and the Senator may have gone into it—that while the hours per week have decreased, the hours per day have increased, as I have pointed out from the evidence in the record. This gentleman whom I am quoting now, who is a silk manufacturer, says that they are working 17 hours a day in Paterson, N. J.; that the hours per day have gone

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. I think that is exceptional. Of course, that should be prevented, and the Senator is absolutely right in condemning it. My observation in my own State is that there are very few people in the industries working full time or extra hours per day.

Mr. BLACK. The Senator is correct in saying that a great many people are working part time, but the disastrous thing about that part-time work—and I have many letters on my desk from people who have been compelled to do this thingis that instead of reducing the hours per day and the hours per week, they have doubled up the work during the day on those who are toiling, they have increased the night work, and they are now working the employees by the hundreds of thousands 131/2 hours per night.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. There is no doubt about the fact that the mad rush to produce commodities cheaply has resulted in breaking down all former standards of labor protection. This very morning Mr. Lewis testified that in most industries collective bargaining in the country, which has been a principle for which labor has fought for a long time, has disappeared as a result of conditions growing out of the depression.

Mr. NORRIS. Mr. President, will the Senator from Alabama yield to me?

Mr. BLACK. I yield.

Mr. NORRIS. For fear there might be a misunderstanding on the part of a person reading the little colloquy which has just taken place, I want to call the attention of the Senator from Alabama to a fact which must not be overlooked, that the evidence before the committee showed is true, just as the Senator from Alabama has said; that employers are working men in the particular industry about which the Senator is now talking as much as 17 hours a day, running all the way from 8 or 9 up to 12 or 15, and even 17 hours. A person might get the idea that they were overcrowded with orders, but the evidence shows the condition is just the reverse. While they work their employees so many hours a day, they probably will be without work after a few days' work or a few weeks' work, for two or three months.

It comes about from the fact that on account of the depression and the fear of investing in something that might go down in price after it is bought, the manufacturing takes place after the orders have been placed. Goods are not made up and put on the shelves, but the man sells his goods, takes his orders, and manufactures afterwards. Then the orders which come in are in the nature of rush orders. The manufacturers are afraid to manufacture and keep a stock on hand because of the condition that now exists. If prices go down, as they have been going all the time, they would not be able to sell, of course. The men are working long hours, yet in the aggregate they are not working enough to support themselves and their families.

Mr. BLACK. The Senator is absolutely correct.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. President-The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from Massachusetts?

Mr. BLACK. I yield.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. In conjunction with what the Senator from Nebraska stated, the situation to which he referred was very pronouncedly shown by what happened to the woolen industry last fall. The clothing makers of the country held back until the very last minute in selecting their woolen fabrics. There was a delay of weeks. Finally, they made their selections and every woolen mill started to work day and night and continued for several weeks. It appeared to be one of the biggest booms the woolen mills had had for a long time. But it soon developed that it was only for a few weeks. The mills all shut down in a few weeks after the delayed seasonal activities.

Mr. BLACK. Mr. Green, who testified before the committee and who took into consideration days on part time, had a study made by statisticians connected with his organization and reached the conclusion that the application of the 5-day week and the 6-hour day would employ six and one-half million more people.

Now let us see what Mr. Hogan, of Midland, Tex., said in favoring the bill. I desire to call attention to it so that the Senate may know the viewpoint of some of the witnesses who appeared before the committee. Mr. Hogan said:

I bring that up here merely for the purpose of impressing on you that the only trouble with your bill is that you are two years too late. During the past two years I have traveled through about 30 of the States of the Union, from the Atlantic to the about 30 of the States of the Union, from the Atlantic to the Pacific and from the Canadian border to the South. I have seen at least eight riots, any one of which, with the vigorous leadership of a man like the gentleman who appeared before you last week, might have resulted in the capture of the city. I try not to be an alarmist, but it is the result of my profound conviction that you have not got even one year in which to adjust the economic conditions of this Nation to divert a full and complete upheaval. Legislation through representative government is on trial and I want to say that my own judgment on the work of trial, and I want to say that my own judgment on the work of the session, with a few notable and honorable exceptions, is decidedly distressing to one who hopes for progress.

In the farm situation it is already too late to reach them by any farm relief measures; at least it is too late to beat the

Mr. John Edelman, the research director of the American Federation of Full-Fashioned Hosiery Workers, of Philadelphia, testified before the committee. In concluding his testimony the following testimony was given:

Senator Black. What importance do you attach to this measure as part of a program to restore business conditions and give employment?

Mr. EDELMAN. I think it is of elementary, primary, and para-

mount importance.
Senator Black. Do you believe conditions can be restored

without limiting the hours of labor?

Mr. Edelman. Absolutely not. We believe that the present condition of chaos will very rapidly become so serious, unless something of this sort is done, that the measure that would have to be adopted to correct it would be much more drastic than anything now being contemplated.

Mr. Phillip Murray, vice president of the United Mine Workers of America, gave the following statement with reference to the necessity of passing the bill:

The 6-hour day is inevitable. New inventions, new processes, new methods, new machines have been, and are, and in the years to come, will produce an ever-increasing amount of technological unemployment. Hours of labor must be reduced as productivity increases. But although inevitable, the 6-hour day may not increases. But although inevitable, the 6-hour day may not be universally adopted for many years unless some mandatory legislation is enacted into law, for the simple reason that no individual employer will voluntarily take action that hampers competition in the complex business world. But action mandatory upon all will be welcomed, and if it can be made effective now, it will exercise a tremendous influence in stabilizing industry and by increasing the consumptive ability of the country will lift us out of the morass in which we are now floundering. * * If the industrial processes of this country are so highly geared that the requirement and hours of human labor must be reduced, it is obviously better to distribute the resulting leisure among employed citizens than to have a vast number of unemployed even in normal times and millions of jobless men in recurring cycles. in recurring cycles.

I do not desire to dwell upon this phase of the subject because it is so obvious that it has been already fully discussed. But I do want to call the attention of the committee to one matter.

and that is the disintegration of character during long periods of enforced idleness. During the first few months of unemployment men will zealously hunt for work. They want to keep their economic independence. They do not want to become objects of charity. Consciously or unconsciously they seek to maintain their relations as normal citizens toward society. But the time comes when many of these men become accustomed to charity or comes when many of these men become accustomed to charity or in desperation join the ranks of the hobo and the criminal. During a depression such as we have experienced for the last three and one-half years, who can estimate the number of people who have become reconciled to charity, professional pensioners on the public bounty, or professional hobos and criminals? The man who is hungry and who can find no work either revolts against society or adjusts himself to life as a social parasite.

When the individual revolts against society it is a matter of minor importance. Society is organized to protect itself against him, but when millions of our people are driven into this situation it becomes a matter of serious moment. If the individual farmer loses his farm for nonpayment of taxes or default on his mortgage, it may be regarded simply as an incident in our national economy. But when farmers of entire counties and of States see their farms sold under the hammer for unpaid mortgages and tax

their farms sold under the hammer for unpaid mortgages and tax liens they take the law in their own hands. And desperate as the plight of the farmer is, it has not yet reached the point of hunger.

Mr. Clarence A. Miller, an attorney who appeared in opposition to the bill, basing his objection on constitutional grounds, testified with reference to the desirability of the relief proposed. I call attention to this because it is significant, but in practically every instance even those who appeared against the bill for one reason or another, expressed themselves as favoring the objective at which it is aimed. Mr. Miller was asked:

Senator Black. You favor the objective of this bill?
Mr. Miller. Yes, Senator; I favor the objective of this bill.
Senator Black. And you think it should be done in some way:

Mr. Miller. Yes; in some way. I have been sincerely doubtful as to whether you are proposing to do it in a constitutional way.

* * I might go further and say in my humble opinion it would be absolutely impossible to accomplish this by individual State regulation, by reason of competitive conditions. In other words, I think you all agree Pennsylvania would not regulate the hours of labor in coal mines unless Ohio, Indiana, and all the competitive States did likewise.

Senator Black. It is also evident it can not be done by unanimous agreement of the manufacturers themselves, is it not?

Mr. MILLER. That is also true.

I call attention to the fact that Mr. Miller was appearing as attorney for interests in opposition to the bill. Mr. Miller said further:

I do not believe we will ever have anything approaching a uniform regulation of hours of labor except by a Federal statute, and I believe you will ultimately find it is going to be not only desirable but necessary to get a constitutional amendment to bring

Mr. S. Odenheimer, president of the Lane Cotton Mills, of New Orleans, La., said during the hearing:

It requires now the strong arm of the Government to overcome conditions as they are to-day; not only to-day but conditions as

conditions as they are to-day; not only to-day but conditions as they will be from now on.

We have now more people that require work at long hours than we have occupations. We have to find a way—and have to do that very quickly—to employ everybody that wants to work and employ everybody every week in the year. The reason is plain. First, your main reason, every man under the sun has a right; every man who wants to work should be given the opportunity to work.

* * What will become of the minds of your sons if the condition is they can not get work because the employers keep. work. * * * What will become of the minds of your sons if the condition is they can not get work because the employers keep the labor that they have. If they send them off, they do not employ new ones. What becomes of their minds? Is it not about time we think that we have something to regulate by government because that is the only regulation that amounts to anything? That is so detrimental to American institutions, detrimental to the minds of the people, to our citizens, so there is nothing else for us, as I see it, but to put everybody to work; and don't let us wait until people take the law in their own hands and take what they think belongs to them.

Lest Senators may misunderstand, this was no communist. He is not a man who seeks to overturn the Government. He operates one of the largest cotton mills in the South and one of the oldest in the Union. Since the hearing has been held I have had telegrams from him, one of which arrived this morning, calling attention to the urgent necessity for quick action in order to obtain employment for the people of the Nation. The people are not going to be put back to work by balancing the Budget. I would not underestimate the importance of attempting to balance the Budget; but the people are not going to be put back to work that way. Here is a

man who has been engaged in manufacturing, in the cottonmill business, for a long period of years, who has sent me telegrams and made statements to me, some of which I would not dare to read into the RECORD, calling attention to the fact that something must be done, and that something must be done without delay.

Now, let us see what Mr. Joseph Haines, jr., of Philadelphia, said. He gave the following reasons for favoring a national law regulating hours of labor:

Senator Black. As a manufacturer you favor this national law regulating the hours of labor?

Mr. Haines. Yes. Senator Black. Why?

Mr. Haines. Well, I think, as I previously stated, it will inflate wages.

Senator Black. You mean inflate wages—it will increase wages? Mr. HAINES. Yes.

Senator Black. All right. Mr. Haines. And that it will make for a better hosiery market and a more profitable industry.

There is a man who is president of a string of factories manufacturing hosiery. This gentleman came down here voluntarily. He came in order to plead with the Senate to adopt regulations for shorter hours in industry, one of the only ways possible to bring back a rehabilitation of economic conditions in the United States.

Senator Black. You believe, in other words, you have to have purchasers in order to be able to sell?

Mr. Haines. Exactly. We have to eliminate as equitably as pos-

sible our overproduction, to encourage manufacturers to get a fair return on their investment and their efforts, and to divide an additional share of that reward with our employees.

Mr. Frederic Brenckman, appearing as the national representative of the National Grange, in favor of the bill, testified as follows:

While I am not minimizing the disastrous effects to agriculture while I am not minimizing the disastrous effects to agriculture by the shrinkage of our export trade, the fact is, the farmer lost one-fourth of his home markets because of the lack of purchasing power on the part of the unemployed in our cities and towns, and to my mind that is infinitely worse than the loss of our export trade. Put the unemployed back to work and those who are now in the bread lines or living on short rations, subsisting on as little as possible will begin to huw ham and eggs heafstest and little as possible, will begin to buy ham and eggs, beefsteak and potatoes, vegetables and dairy products, cotton and woolen goods. This will create a demand for farm products which does not now exist. Hand in hand with increased demand will come better prices for the products of our farms. That is what is desperately needed to restore prosperity to our fundamental industry.

Within proper bounds, it is sound policy to engage in the construction of public works in order to take up the slack in periods of depression, but it is manifest that more than that is necessary to meet the existing emergency, which is without parallel in our history. There can be no doubt that the use of labor-saving mahistory. There can be no doubt that the use of labor-saving machinery and the methods of mass production have contributed greatly to bring about the present situation. Even prior to the beginning of the depression, several million people were the victims of technological unemployment. * *

machinery or do away with improved methods of production, but it is manifest that our civilization is going to break down unless we make it possible for every man who is willing and able to work to have a job at which he can earn the necessaries of life for himself and his dependents.

Mass production and mass consumption must go hand in hand or trouble is bound to result, just as is the case to-day.

Mr. John P. Frey, who was one of the most interesting witnesses we had before the committee, the secretary-treasurer of the metal-trades department of the American Federation of Labor, gave the following reasons for favoring this bill:

Personally I have always been opposed, up to the present time, to regulating terms of employment through legislation. I have believed that there was enough intelligence, enough of a desire believed that there was enough intelligence, enough of a desire to maintain intelligent conditions, which would lead leaders of industry, when major problems arose, to confer with labor to work out an adjustment of the problem. I have become convinced that is impossible. While there are many employers who heartily believe in sitting down with their employees and endeavoring to work out their problems, there is an influence in the country to-day which makes it impossible for many of the smaller employers and heads of our corporations to sit down in private for the purpose of working out a problem of the distribution of employment, the distribution of wealth in the form of wages.

I have reached the conclusion that the railroads are not free to sit down with the representatives of the railway employees and

sit down with the representatives of the railway employees and work out their problems as employer and wage earner. I am convinced that our large manufacturing corporations are no longer

free to sit down with their employees and work out their employ-

ment problems.

I have become convinced that the banker has established a position in this country where he determines whether employers will sit down with their employees to work out these problems, and it is because I have reached that conclusion that I believe the only way that we can have any intelligent regulation of employment is through such a measure as the bill that I am now discussing.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. President-

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SMITH in the chair). Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from Massachusetts?

Mr. BLACK. I yield.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. The Senator from Alabama has been very generous in yielding, and I am afraid my question may not pertain to the matter which he is at this moment discussing. However, I should like to inquire of the Senator whether or not his proposal was submitted to the Secretary of Labor for his views?

Mr. BLACK. The Secretary of Labor was invited to come before the committee and testify, but he did not appear before the committee. A letter appears in the record, as I recall, in which it is stated that he was busily engaged in something else and could not appear before the committee.

Mr. NORRIS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from Nebraska?

Mr. BLACK. I yield to the Senator.

Mr. NORRIS. Does the Senator have the hearings of the committee before him?

Mr. BLACK. I do not have the printed hearings; they have not all as yet been printed.

Mr. NORRIS. The Secretary of Labor did not write a letter. As chairman of the committee, I wrote a letter to the Secretary of Labor, and I would be glad if we had it here and to have the Senator read it, if he desires to do so.

Mr. BLACK. I will be glad to read it, if the Senator will send for it, because I expect to speak about five minutes more.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Let me ask the Senator from Nebraska if he received a reply to that letter.

Mr. NORRIS. I received no reply; but I stated—and it is in the hearings—that the Secretary's office, his secretary, called up my office and stated that the letter was received, but the Secretary was too busy; he could not appear before the committee. He did not express any opinion; I received no expression whatever from the Secretary of Labor as to his attitude on the bill.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. May I say to the Senator from Nebraska and the Senator from Alabama that only yesterday, at a meeting of the Committee on Education and Labor, there was under consideration a labor bill in which many people in the building industry are very much interested. Inquiry was made as to whether the Secretary of Labor had expressed his views on the bill. The reply was that he had not. In view of these incidents, I am wondering if the Labor Department of the Government has stopped functioning during this depression.

Mr. BLACK. Mr. President, that is as far as I shall go to-day in a review of the evidence. I do so reluctantly, by reason of my desire to aid in the expedition of the pending legislation. I have taken very little time of the Senate during the present session, and I would not have taken this time on the measure I have discussed had I not believed that it is one of a very few proposals which have been offered striking at the vital and fundamental defects in the operation of our system to-day, which are responsible for the widespread distress.

We are now to take up a bill which will provide assistance to those in hunger and in want and in misery. What will emerge as a result of our consideration of that bill I do not know; but I do know, without deprecating to the slightest extent the desirability of feeding our people, and standing here as one of those who believe in seeing that the people shall be fed, it seems to me bad that we are not taking a more vigorous attitude with reference to legislation that will

attempt to cure the disease. We must, of course, treat the symptoms; that must be done; we can not in this country in the midst of abundance and plenty sit silently by, while people all over the Nation are hungry and cold, and decline to administer to their wants. How important it is, Mr. President, that as we contemplate these conditions we remember that it is an inherent right of every man to claim of his government that in the operation of its affairs man-made law shall not deprive him of the opportunity to earn his daily bread by the sweat of his brow. That is what we have been doing.

There are two or three measures that are absolutely vital for either this session or the special session of Congress to consider in order that there may be some hope given to the people of this Nation. Business leaders have failed. For four years we have waited for that prosperity which was to come around the corner. Some think they can not help; some think they can help, but will not help. Whichever view is correct, the fact remains that since 1929 the number of people hopelessly tramping the highways of this Nation has steadily and with regularity and uniformity mounted higher and higher, until to-day the president of the American Federation of Labor estimates there are 12,000,000 unemployed, and a research bureau in New York gave out figures on Sunday estimating that there were 17,000,000 unemployed.

Mr. KING. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?
The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from Utah?

Mr. BLACK. I yield.

Mr. KING. I am sure the able Senator, who has been discussing with a good deal of eloquence and some little emotion a very important problem, did not mean to convey the idea that the obligation does not, in part at least, and in great part, rest upon the States, counties, and municipalities to look after the people within their own borders. It seems to me, as I have listened to the Senator, though, the thought would be forced into the minds of most persons who have heard him that the sole obligation rested upon the Federal Government. The Senator is a Democrat and has regard for the States and their obligations and for municipalities and their obligations, and regards the States as sovereign within their sphere; and certainly, with those views, which have been uppermost in his mind for many, many years, he can not contend that the States and their political subdivisions may devolve upon the Federal Government the sole responsibility, because if the Federal Government does assume it, it has to reach back to the States and the people within the States and take from them the taxes, the property, with which to carry on the charitable activities for which the Senator is contending.

Mr. BLACK. Mr. President, I did not mean to leave the impression that the Federal Government should take the sole responsibility for seeing that people do not starve. I do not think it should; I have never thought it should. We built up in this country a system of taking care of the poor in the main by tax-raised money. We have been able, until the past few months, to continue that system. The fact remains, however, that with the present deplorable condition of business, with the insolvency of the farmer, with the bankruptcy of the merchant, with banks toppling all around, we have been compelled to adopt the method of mobilizing our forces all over the land from the East to the West and from the North to the South in order to take care of the people who live under our flag.

There is nothing that would lead me to believe that all the wealth produced in Alabama remains in Alabama; I know it does not. There is nothing that would lead me to believe that all the wealth produced in Utah remains in Utah; I know it does not. I do know that in the city in which I live in Alabama, where thousands of people are being fed, where voluntary contributions were sought in that city, the smallest proportion of contributions made came from business enterprises with their headquarters in distant States, that had been siphoning the wealth created and produced by the

people of Alabama and burying it in holding-company banks, where the manipulators of finance and the barons of trade preside over the destinies of the people of this Nation.

I know further that, as shown by the evidence before the committee, the destinies of the various individual business enterprises are not controlled by the lieutenants who sit at their heads; they are controlled, on the contrary, by the great banking enterprises, few in number, who have monopolized the credit, the money, and the wealth of the people of this Nation, under the control of a few, as shown before the committee, who control, through their interlocking directorships, perhaps 90 per cent of the various industrial activities of this Nation operating in every State in the Union.

So, Mr. President, in so far as I am concerned, I stand on the old principles of government so long as those principles function and those principles operate; I believe in giving the maximum amount of power to the sovereign State which that State can exercise with justice to its people and in the protection of their rights; but to-day business knows no State lines. It stands as indicated by a few giant monopolies, with their tentacles reaching out into every precinct of every county of every State in this Union, determining the destinies of our people. The evidence before the committee shows the utter impossibility and futility of attempting to obtain regulation of hours of labor by State activities or by agreements of the manufacturers. The evidence of manufacturers shows it. The evidence of economists shows it. The evidence of merchants shows it. All show the same thing—that if it is desirable to reduce the hours of labor in industry, there is one and only one power in America strong enough to bring it about, and that is the arm of the Federal Government.

If it be true, as witnesses testifying before our committee believe, that the commerce of this Nation is being infected with goods produced in a way and in a manner which threatens to undermine the very civilization in which we live, which lengthens the bread line, which adds to human misery, which subtracts from the sum total of human happiness, then I say that the Federal Government has the right, the power, and the duty to enact such legislation as will prevent such a catastrophe from occurring.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from South Carolina?

Mr. BLACK. I yield to the Senator.

Mr. SMITH. I was interested in the question which the Senator from Utah [Mr. King] propounded to the Senator from Alabama, to the effect that it ought to be the duty and responsibility of the several States to relieve the condition in which they now find themselves.

That might be true if the States were responsible for bringing about the condition. I desire to ask the Senator if, in his opinion, this condition has not been brought about largely by the Federal laws that are now in force and the use of them by those that have brought about this condition, and therefore if the responsibility is not on the Federal Government to relieve the condition that it allowed to be brought about and was solely responsible for?

Mr. BLACK. I will state to the Senator that in my judgment it has been largely brought about by the Federal laws that are now in force and the Federal laws that are not now in force.

Mr. NORRIS and Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma addressed the Chair.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama yield; and if so, to whom?

Mr. BLACK. I yield first to the Senator from Nebraska.
Mr. NORRIS. Mr. President, I should like to make an observation here, with the permission of the Senator. It is suggested mainly by the question of the Senator from Utah. The question did not seem to me to comprehend the bill which the Senator from Alabama is discussing.

This is a bill providing for a 6-hour day and a 5-day week. Nobody contends—so far as I know, nobody has ever suggested—that, assuming it to be desirable to bring about this condition, any State has the power to do it.

It is well recognized that if one State—for instance, in the coal business, the State of Pennsylvania, as suggested by the Senator himself earlier in his remarks—should fix that kind of a day, it would fail absolutely unless Ohio and Kentucky and Tennessee and West Virginia and the other States that were producing coal should fix the same kind of a day. Nobody has contended for a moment before the committee that if we desire to shorten the day and the week there is any power on earth that can do it in this country except the Federal Government.

Mr. BLACK. The Senator is correct.

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from Oklahoma?

Mr. BLACK. I do.

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. I am sure the Senator from Alabama is to be commended for this discussion this morning.

A few moments ago he made some comment about our major activities being centered in what might be termed one management, which, in other words, is nothing more nor less than monopoly.

I wish to call the Senator's attention to two or three bills now pending before the Congress and two propositions that are pending before the country. The first is the so-called branch banking bill. Does not the Senator believe that that bill will have a tendency to center the financial resources of the Nation in a few central banks in our large cities?

Mr. BLACK. As to that feature of the bill, I agree with the Senator fully.

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. Has the Senator seen the report of the unofficial commission appointed to make a survey of our railroad situation and their statement that eventually, if their recommendation is carried out, we shall have one railway system for the entire United States? And if that is carried out, is not that another evidence of the tendency to centralize our major activities under one control?

Mr. BLACK. That is correct.

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. May I call the Senator's attention to a news story appearing, I think, in yesterday's Washington Daily News? This news story is by Mr. Ray Tucker. It contains two alleged quotations from two senatorial leaders that I think should be made public at an early date.

One of these is a purported interview with the junior Senator from Virginia [Mr. Glass], in which Mr. Tucker construes his opinion as follows:

In his opinion, recovery can be hastened by permitting unstable, unnecessary, and inefficient corporations to fall, thereby reducing the burden of debt piled up on an artificial level in the boom years.

The second quotation is Mr. Tucker's interpretation of a statement made by the senior Senator from Pennsylvania [Mr. Reed], which Mr. Tucker interprets in his interview as follows:

He thinks the effort to save many corporations and institutions by pouring good money after bad has postponed recovery.

There are two statements, if I may suggest to the Senator from Alabama, which clearly lead to the conclusion that the efforts of some of these big concerns are not to check the downward trend of this depression but to continue it. We have too many banks still that are weak that should fail. We have too many corporations now that should fail. We have too many employed people. We are paying too high salaries. Before we can reach the end of this depression these weak banks must fail; these weak corporations must fail; these employees who are getting unreasonable salaries must be reduced in salary; and even some of the folks who are now upon some pay roll must lose their positions before we can get to the end of this depression.

Does the Senator entertain views along that line?

Mr. BLACK. I do not believe in the liquidation of labor. It is my judgment that there are a number of important things that must be considered in connection with any program. I referred to those, and referred to the instability

and the shifting value of the American dollar as one of them. We must take care of the indebtedness of the people in some way so that they will not be dispossessed of all they have and thrown out at a time when their property is worth so much less than it was before.

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. Mr. President, will the Senator yield further?

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama further yield to the Senator from Oklahoma?

Mr. BLACK. I yield.

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. Hearings are now in progress before the Finance Committee. Some of the eminent gentlemen who are testifying before that committee are recommending, as a relief measure, that we adjust our indebtedness; that farmers who can not pay their farm mortgages be afforded some opportunity to cut down those mortgages by an adjustment between themselves and their creditors.

Does not the Senator believe that if this program is sound it should extend to the indebtedness of the cities; that if the individual is to have his indebtedness reduced by mutual agreement the cities likewise should have their bonded indebtedness reduced; that the counties should be afforded the same opportunity, likewise the States and even the Federal Government? If we have more bonds outstanding than we can pay—and adjustment, if good for an individual, should be good for the city, good for the State, and even good for the Federal Government.

In other words, is it fair to the people, if we start this program of relief, not to carry it from the top to the bottom, and make the adjustment all up and down the line?

Mr. BLACK. I think the question of the Senator answers itself. Undoubtedly, if relief is granted to one group of people it should be granted to others.

Now, Mr. President, concluding my remarks, I desire to say that nothing except my idea of the paramount importance of this bill would have caused me to speak at this time. The subcommittee, in my judgment, will favorably report this measure to the full committee on next Monday. It is my purpose at that time to attempt to obtain action by the Judiciary Committee. I believe that any program of rehabilitation that eliminates this idea from consideration will fail. Other things must be taken into consideration. The able efforts made by the junior Senator from New York [Mr. Wagner] in connection with unemployment insurance, and his discussion of the various social activities in which the people or the governments must engage, should and will attract the attention of the Senate and of the country.

Too little of security has been given to the men who toil. As they looked out before them in this system of ours, they could see themselves thrown off and discarded at a comparatively early age. It has been impossible for them to accumulate enough out of their earnings to prepare for those times. Those are subjects that must be considered, and we must arrange in the future some way to give security in the way of employment and income.

Mr. President, this and other measures are but the beginning of an effort to bring about a more equal and exact justice under our system from one who believes in our civilization and believes in perpetuating its existence. Just as certainly as we are here, this is no time for blind leaders, people who can not see conditions, to ignore the inevitable fact that when 12,000,000 people out of our population are out of work, it behooves us to move, and move boldly. We can not stand at a position merely because we have stood there before. We must attempt to move, and to equalize the injustices that have been perpetuated and brought down upon our heads, in my judgment, not by the people of an individual State but by the small group testified to by Mr. Frey in the hearings before this committee.

So, Mr. President, I desire to state with reference to Mr. Frey's evidence that I shall not discuss it now; but it is my hope that in the near future there will be a thorough and searching scrutiny and investigation of the interlocking directorships of the small group that have set themselves up as the financial, economic, and governmental dictators of

this Nation, in order that they may be stripped of their power, which, in my judgment, has been used to crush the average men and women of this country. I might say further that in my judgment that group is more responsible than any other one power in all the land for the condition in which America finds herself to-day, with her people starving in the midst of the greatest abundance the world has ever known.

I want it distinctly understood that this bill was introduced for the purpose of passing. It will be urged at the earliest possible moment; and I hope that when this body does act upon the bill it will enact it, and enact it speedily, because I believe that our civilization is now on trial.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. President, undoubtedly the Senator from Alabama [Mr. Black] has presented some figures showing the extent to which our workers are obliged to work part time during this depression. I have some accurate and definite figures before me that I present for the Record at this time.

The city of Worcester is the second largest city in Massachusetts. It has a population of a little under 200,000 people. Its industries are diversified. There are several machine shops, there is a large plant of the American Wire Co., a large loom works, a shoe factory or two, some woolen factories; in fact, there is almost every kind of an industrial plant that is found in the eastern part of our country. As cities go, I should say that Worcester was somewhat more prosperous than the average New England community.

The Worcester Bank & Trust Co. publishes monthly some statistics in a pamphlet called "Business Review of Worcester." They give, among other statistics, the employment figures, and the employment figures show that in October of 1929 the highest point was reached in employment. At that time in 42 city industrial plants there were 22,428 employees, working 4,711,418 hours. The latest available figures are for December, 1932. The employees at that time numbered 15,549. It is to be noted that that is a decrease of approximately 7,000 as against the peak year of 1929. The number of working hours amounted to 2,299,514, as against 4,711,418 working hours in 1929, representing a decrease in the working hours of more than 50 per cent, while the decrease in the number of employees was somewhat less.

Mr. President, turning to the industrial pay roll of the city of Worcester, based on January, 1928—having a pay roll which is fixed, for the purpose of making comparison, at 100 per cent—we find that the pay roll in the city of Worcester in December, 1932, had shrunken to 48.8 per cent as compared with January, 1928. The Worcester general pay-roll index had shrunken from a pay roll for January, 1928, of 100 per cent to 40.2 per cent in January, 1933, a drop of practically 60 per cent in the general pay-roll index of the city of Worcester.

I take the time of the Senate to present these figures because they are accurate, published by an institution which would try, for the sake of retaining confidence in its own institution and promoting business, to make the most favorable report possible. I have observed for some time that these reports all show a steady, constant decline month by month since 1929 in all the indexes reported.

LABOR CONDITIONS ON MISSISSIPPI FLOOD-CONTROL PROJECTS

Mr. TOWNSEND. From the Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate I report back favorably, with an amendment, Senate Resolution 300, and I ask unanimous consent for its present consideration.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let the resolution be reported. The Chief Clerk proceeded to read the resolution (S. Res. 300) submitted by Mr. Wagner on December 12, 1932.

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. Is it proposed now to take up that resolution?

Mr. TOWNSEND. Yes; I do not think it will lead to discussion.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator from Delaware asked unanimous consent for the present consideration of the resolution,

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. I think the resolution | should go over until a better opportunity may be afforded to consider it.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Objection is made, and the resolution goes to the calendar.

FEDERAL AID FOR UNEMPLOYMENT RELIEF

The Senate proceeded to consider the bill (S. 5125) to provide for cooperation by the Federal Government with the several States in relieving the hardship and suffering caused by unemployment, and for other purposes, which had been reported from the Committee on Manufactures with an amendment in the nature of a substitute, as follows:

That it is hereby declared to be the policy of the Congress to cooperate more effectively with the several States and Territories and the District of Columbia in furnishing relief to their needy and distressed people and in relieving the hardship resulting from unemployment

SEC. 2. (a) The Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized and directed to make available out of the funds of the corporation not to exceed \$500,000,000 for payments to the States for emergency relief expenditures and for other expenditures authorized under the provisions of this act, upon certification by the Federal Emergency Relief Board created by section 3.

Federal Emergency Relief Board created by section 3.

(b) To enable the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to obtain funds for the purposes of this act, the amount of notes, debentures, bonds, or other such obligations which the corporation is authorized and empowered under section 9 of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation act, as amended, to have outstanding at any one time is increased by \$500,000,000.

(c) Ten days after the date upon which a majority of the

members of the Federal Emergency Relief Board have qualified and have taken office, no application for funds shall be approved by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation under the provisions of Title I of the emergency relief and construction act of 1932, and the Federal Emergency Relief Board shall have access to all files records of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation relating to the administration of emergency relief under Title I of such

SEC. 3. (a) There is hereby created a Federal Emergency Relief Board (referred to in this act as the "board"), which shall consist of three members to be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, two of whom shall be experienced in public welfare and relief administration. The board shall have full power of apportionment and allotment of funds under the provisions of this act. The President shall designate one of the members of the heard as its abstract. ignate one of the members of the board as its chairman. The members of the board shall receive no compensation for their services, except that they shall be paid a per diem compensation of \$25 for time devoted to the work of the board, and necessary traveling and subsistence expenses, within the limitations pre-scribed by law for civilian employees in the executive branch of the Government. The board shall cease to exist upon the expira-tion of two years after the date of the enactment of this act.

(b) The board—

(1) Shall—

(1) Shall—

(1) Shall—

(2) The board—

(3) The board—

(4) The board—

(5) The board—

(6) The board—

(7) The board—

(8) The board—

(9) The board—

(10) The board—

(11) The board—

(12) The board—

(13) The board—

(14) The board—

(15) The board—

(16) The board—

(17) The board—

(18) The board—

(18) The board—

(19) The board—

tion of two years after the date of the enactment of this act.

(b) The board—

(1) Shall make such rules and regulations as may be necessary to execute the functions vested in it by this act; and

(2) Shall print monthly for public distribution and shall submit to the President and to the Senate and the House of Representatives (or to the Secretary of the Senate and the Clerk of the House of Representatives, if those bodies are not in session) a report of its activities and expenditures. Such reports shall, when submitted, be printed as public documents.

when submitted, be printed as public documents.

(c) The board may appoint and fix the compensation of an executive officer and such experts and, subject to the provisions of the civil service laws, appoint, and, in accordance with the classification act of 1923, as amended, fix the compensation of such other officers and employees, as are necessary to carry out the provisions of this act; and may make such expenditures (inthe provisions of this act; and may make such expenditures (including expenditures for personal services and rent at the seat of government and elsewhere and for printing and binding), not to exceed \$350,000, as are necessary to carry out the provisions of this act. All expenses of the board shall be paid out of the amount made available for that purpose by section 4 (b)

(d) The board may appoint advisory committees to advise and

confer with it with respect to the administration of this act. No salary shall be paid to committee members, but when attending meetings of the board they shall be allowed necessary traveling and subsistence expenses, or per diem allowance in lieu thereof, within the limitations prescribed by law for civilian employees in the executive branch of the Government.

the executive branch of the Government.

SEC. 4. (a) Forty per cent of the amount made available under section 2 (a) of this act shall be apportioned among the States on the basis of population according to the Fifteenth Decennial Census. Payments made in any year out of the amount apportioned to any State on the basis of population shall not be in excess of two-thirds of the amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for such year by the State (or, in the case of the District of Columbia, appropriated by the Congress for use therein), by the civil subdivisions thereof and/or by private contributions from within the State, for emergency relief as defined in section 11 of this act.

(b) The balance of the amount made available under section

(b) The balance of the amount made available under section 2 (a) of this act shall be set aside as a reserve fund for emergency allotments as provided in section 8; except that not to

exceed \$350,000 of such balance may be used for administrative expenses of the board under this act, which shall be paid by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation upon presentation of vouchers approved by the Federal Emergency Relief Board.

(c) The amounts apportioned or allotted to any State under

(c) The amounts apportioned or alletted to any State under this act shall be available for payment to and expenditure by such State, for the purposes of this act, until the expiration of two years after the date of enactment of this act; except that at the expiration of the fiscal year 1933, if the amount certified prior to the expiration of such year for payment to any State out of amounts apportioned on the basis of population under this act is less than one-half of the total amount apportioned to that State on the basis of population, the difference between the State on the basis of population, the difference between the amount so certified and one-half of the total amount apportioned shall be added to the reserve fund provided for in subsection (b) of this section and shall be available for allotment to the several

States on the basis of need.

SEC. 5. (a) In order to obtain payments out of the amount made available under section 2 (a) of this act a State, through its governor, shall designate or create an agency to cooperate

with the board.

(b) Relief shall be administered within each State under rules

and regulations adopted by the State agency.

SEC. 6. Any State desiring to obtain funds under this act shall, by the agency designated or created to cooperate with the board, make application therefor from time to time and submit at such times and for such periods as may be prescribed by the board plans for carrying out the provision of this act within such State. The plans shall include (1) information as to the amounts actu-The plans shall include (1) information as to the amounts actually expended for emergency relief by public and private agencies in the State for such periods as the board may prescribe; (2) estimates of the amounts appropriated or otherwise available for emergency relief needs within the State for the period covered by such plan or plans; (3) estimates of amounts necessary to meet the emergency relief needs in the State in the calendar year 1933, and, upon call of the board, in the calendar year 1934; (4) provision for adequate administrative personnel; and (5) provision for securing the benefits contemplated by this act 1934; (4) provision for adequate administrative personnel; and (5) provision for securing the benefits contemplated by this act to persons within the State, irrespective of the period of residence within the State. When the board determines that such plans are in conformity with the provisions of this act and reasonably appropriate and adequate to carry out its purposes, they shall be approved by the board, and due notice of such approval shall be given to the State agency.

SEC. 7. Within 10 days after the date upon which a majority of the members of the board have gualified and have taken office.

of the members of the board have qualified and have taken office, the board shall make the apportionment on the basis of population provided in section 4 (a). After application by any State and approval of its plans as provided in section 6 of this act, the board shall immediately certify to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation the amount to be paid to such State.

Sec. 8. (a) Whenever from an application presented by a State

Corporation the amount to be paid to such State.

SEC. 8. (a) Whenever, from an application presented by a State agency and verified by the board, the board finds that the combined moneys available within the State from all sources, supplemented by any moneys to be paid to the State under section 4 (a), will fall below the estimated needs for emergency relief in any State, the State shall be eligible for an emergency allotment on the basis of need from the reserve fund provided in section 4 (b); but no such allotment shall be made to any State unless the board is satisfied that the State or its political subdivisions have made reasonable efforts within their resources to provide for emergency relief expenditures. The board shall, from time to time, certify the amounts to be paid under this subsection.

(b) The board is authorized to allot to the States not to exceed \$15,000,000 out of the reserve fund, to be used in furnishing relief and in maintaining work and training programs for persons who have no legal claim on the State or the local community for such assistance.

(c) Any State desiring to receive funds under subsection (b)

(c) Any State desiring to receive funds under subsection (b) of this section shall submit to the board through its designated relief agency an application which shall set forth, in the manner prescribed by the board, the extent of the need for service to transients, and a detailed plan for administering relief, and for work and training programs, for transients. In considering such application the board shall determine whether sufficient need has been demonstrated, and whether the plans submitted will, if put into effect, actually relieve distress among transients, assist in their rehabilitation, and tend to decrease the transient problem. If the board finds that need has been demonstrated and approves the plan, it shall certify to the Reconstruction Finance Corporations. (c) Any State desiring to receive funds under subsection (b) If the board finds that heed has been demonstrated and approve the plan, it shall certify to the Reconstruction Finance Corpora-tion the sum or sums which it approves for payment to the State. For the purpose of this section a transient is a person within the borders of a State who has no legal residence within that State.

SEC. 9. The Reconstruction Finance Corporation shall make pay ments without delay to the several States in such amounts and at such times as the Federal Emergency Relief Board may certify under sections 7 and 8 of this act, but no payment shall be made pursuant to certificate which has been revoked by the board as

provided in section 10.

SEC. 10. Each State agency cooperating with the board under the provisions of this act shall make such reports concerning its operations and expenditures as shall be prescribed or requested by the board. The board may revoke any existing certificate or withhold any further certificate under this act whenever it shall determine, as to any State, that the State agency has not properly expended or supervised the expenditure of moneys paid to it in accordance with the plans approved under this act. Before any

such certificate shall be revoked or withheld from any State the board shall give notice in writing to the State agency stating specifically wherein the State has failed to comply with such plans. SEC. 11. The term "emergency relief," when used in this act, means relief in the form of money or commodities or services furnished by the State or its civil subdivisions or by private contributions from within the State to persons in their abode or habitation, or for the transient and homeless, or in the form of weres or other compensation for work furnished on the basis of wages or other compensation for work furnished on the basis of wages or other compensation for work furnished on the basis of need, but not including old-age pensions under special acts, or public aid under special acts to mothers for the care of dependent children, or relief to veterans under special acts. The decision of the board as to the purpose of any expenditure shall be final.

SEC. 12. This act shall be construed as intending to secure to the several States control of the administration of relief under this act within their respective territorial limits, subject only to the provisions and purposes of this act.

SEC. 13. The term "State" as used in this act shall include the District of Columbia, Alaska, Hawaii, and Puerto Rico, and in the case of the District of Columbia the Commissioners of the District of Columbia shall designate the agency to cooperate with the board.

of Columbia shall designate the agency to cooperate with the board.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, I desire to offer an amendment to the pending bill.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will report the amendment.

The LEGISLATIVE CLERK. On page 12, after line 20, the Senator from New York proposes to insert:

"TITLE I-RELIEF OF DESTITUTION"

On page 21, after line 15, insert:

"TITLE II-AMENDMENTS TO EMERGENCY RELIEF AND CONSTRUCTION ACT OF 1932

"SEC. 21. Paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of section 201 of the emergency relief and construction act of 1932 is amended to read as follows:

"'(1) to make loans to or contracts with States, municipalities.

"'(1) to make loans to or contracts with States, municipalities, and political subdivisions of States, public agencies of States, of municipalities, and of political subdivisions of States, public corporations, boards and commissions, and public municipal instrumentalities of one or more States, to aid in financing the construcporations, boards and commissions, and public municipal instrumentalities of one or more States, to aid in financing the construction, reconstruction, replacement, extension, or improvement of projects authorized under Federal, State, or municipal law which, in the opinion of the corporation, are needful and in the public interest, such loans or contracts to be made through the purchase of their securities, or otherwise, and for such purpose the Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized to bid for such securities: Provided, That nothing herein contained shall be construed to prohibit the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, in carrying out the provisions of this paragraph, from purchasing securities having a maturity of more than 10 years; "Sec. 22. Paragraph (3) of subsection (a) of section 201 of such act is amended to read as follows:

"'(3) to make loans to private corporations to aid in carrying out the construction, replacement, or improvement of aircraft suitable for overseas transport and port facilities essential thereto, bridges, tunnels, docks, viaducts, waterworks, canals, and markets, devoted to public use and which are self-liquidating in character and to make loans to private corporations formed wholly for the purpose of developing cooperative community farming and cropmarketing to aid in financing projects undertaken by such corporations which are self-liquidating in character;'

"Sec. 23. Subsection (a) of section 201 of such act is further amended by striking out '(other than by taxation).'

"Sec. 24. Subsections (c) and (d) of section 201 of such act are amended to read as follows:

"'(c) In order that the surpluses of agricultural commodities and livestock (including products manufactured directly there-

"'(c) In order that the surpluses of agricultural commodities and livestock (including products manufactured directly therefrom) may not have a depressing effect upon current prices of such commodities and products, the corporation is authorized and directed to make loans, in such amounts as may in its judgment be necessary, for the purpose of financing sales of such surpluses in the markets of foreign countries in which such sales can not be financed in the normal course of commerce; but no such sales shall be financed by the corporation if, in its judgment, such sales will affect adversely the world markets for such commodities and products: *Provided, however*, That no such loan shall be made to finance the sale in the markets of foreign countries of cotton owned by the Federal Farm Board or the Cotton Stabilization

Corporation.

"'(d) The Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions organized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions organized and empowered to bona fide institutions or the loans of the loans to bona fide institutions or the loans of the loans under the laws of any State or of the United States and having resources adequate for their undertakings, for the purpose of enabling them to finance the carrying and orderly marketing of agricultural commodities and livestock produced in the United States, and the products manufactured directly therefrom."

"SEC. 25. Subsection (f) of section 201 of such act is amended by striking out the period at the end thereof and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon and the following: 'but in determining the adequacy of security offered by a borrower applying under subsection (a) of this section the board may, in its discretion, accept as adequate security under this section, a lien on, or other satisfactory assurances of the application of, so much of the revenues from the project as may be required to meet interest payments during the period of the loan and assure refunding within a

reasonable period: Provided further, That each loan made under paragraphs (1) to (5) of subsection (a) of this section after this subsection, as amended, takes effect, shall bear interest at a rate not exceeding one-half of 1 per cent more than the rate of interest established for the last issue of bonds of the United States preceding the making of such loan.'

"SEC. 26. The second proviso of subsection (g) of section 201 of such act is amended by striking out '(1) or (5)' and inserting in lieu thereof '(1), (2), or (5).'

"SEC. 27. Subsection (h) of section 201 of such act is hereby amended to read as follows:

"'(h) The corporation may make loans under this section at any time prior to January 23, 1934: Provided, That nothing herein shall prevent the corporation from issuing funds to a borrower prior to January 23, 1939, under the terms of any agreement with the borrower made prior to January 23, 1934.'

"SEC. 28. Section 201 of such act is further amended by adding at the and thereof the following new subsection:

at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"'(k) The Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized to make available out of the funds of the corporation is authorized to make available out of the funds of the corporation a sum not exceeding \$5,000,000, which may be used by the corporation for the purpose of enabling and assisting established credit insurance organizations in the United States to provide export credit guaranties upon such terms and conditions as may be agreed upon between the corporation and such credit insurance organizations. tions.'

"SEC. 29. Paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of section 301 of such act is amended by striking out 'July 1, 1933' and inserting in lieu thereof 'January 1, 1934.'"

Amend the title so as to read:

"A bill to provide for cooperation by the Federal Government with the several States and Territories and the District of Columbia in relieving the hardships and suffering caused by unemployment, to amend the emergency relief and construction act of 1932, and for other purposes."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the two amendments will be considered together, the amendment of the title being a separate amendment.

Mr. WAGNER obtained the floor.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, will the Senator yield to me to suggest the absence of a quorum?

Mr. WAGNER. I yield.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I suggest the absence of a quorum. The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will call the roll.

The Chief Clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Ashurst Austin Dickinson La Follette Shipstead Lewis Logan McGill Shortridge Smith Dill Bailey Bankhead Fess Fletcher Smoot Frazier George Glass McKellar Steiwer Stephens Barbour McNary Swanson Thomas, Idaho Thomas, Okla. Bingham Metcalf Moses Black Blaine Glenn Goldsborough Neely Borah Bratton Gore Grammer Townsend Trammell Norbeck Norris Nye Oddie Tydings Vandenberg Brookhart Harrison Bulkley Hastings Hatfield Wagner Walcott Bulow Patterson Byrnes Hayden Hebert Pittman Capper Caraway Clark Coolidge Reed Walsh, Mass. Walsh, Mont. Reynolds Robinson, Ark Hull Johnson Watson Kean Robinson, Ind. White Costigan Couzens Kendrick Keyes Schuvler Davis King Sheppard

The VICE PRESIDENT. Eighty-five Senators have answered to their names. A quorum is present.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, before explaining in detail the amendment which I have offered to the pending measure, I should like to submit some observations upon the general situation which confronts us.

Mr. President, I sometimes wonder whether all of us have yet realized the extent and the depth of the present crisis in the lives of the people of the United States; whether we all know that in every large city large numbers of upstanding, sturdy, and splendid men and women are being destroyed by a poverty so grim that the like of it has never been seen in this country. In this day and age of plenty, hundreds and thousands of people in the congested areas of population are living on city refuse like the beasts of the jungle. Homeless, cheerless, hopeless, half starved, and cold, these American families are being crushed into the very earth.

I marvel at the general complacency in the presence of these facts. Can it be that in so short time we have come to accept such conditions as normal and proper? Are we blind to the demoralization which is in progress to the obliteration of all standards of living for a large portion of our population? Are we unaware that these inhuman conditions are placing the fate of America in jeopardy?

What is the situation with respect to relief? The known facts can be stated simply. The need for the relief of destitution is to-day greater than it has been at any time during the depression, and it is still growing. The rising curve of destitution is graphically illustrated in the chart which I have before me, prepared by the Children's Bureau of the Department of Labor, and appearing in its January, 1933, relief bulletin. That chart, Mr. President, shows only the growth in the amount of money expended for relief; it does not reveal, and we have no means of knowing, the even greater spread of want, dependence, and destitution.

It is not difficult to see why the need for relief should be increasing. Basically, of course, the reason is that unemployment is still spreading.

In his testimony before the subcommittee of the Banking and Currency Committee which held hearings upon my bill, Mr. Edward McGrady, representing the American Federation of Labor, expressed that fundamental fact effectively. He said:

I might call your attention to the fact, Senator, that on the 1st of February you reached the all-time peak of unemployment. You have crossed the 12,000,000 line for the first time, and, as I understand it, that is more unemployed than we have records for in any other country of the world that keeps records, and it is getting worse. * * In addition, * * * 9,000,000 more are now getting only part-time work.

The full significance of this startling figure is not understood unless it is considered in the light of the long duration of the depression. In the course of four cruel winters private resources have been exhausted, savings-bank accounts have been depleted to the extent of three and onehalf billion dollars in a single year, life-insurance policies have been borrowed against to the limit, homes have been abandoned, private possessions sold. No wonder that the case load of relief agencies is to-day growing at a more rapid pace than unemployment itself. Examine the Red Cross figures. They tell a burning story of American tragedy which ought to leave no heart unmoved, no act undone to stop or, at least, to mitigate the ravages of the prevailing distress. According to the report of the Red Cross as of December 31, 1932, 4,750,000 separate families have applied for flour and almost as many for clothing.

It seems to me that on the basis of this evidence there is ample justification for the estimate made by one of the witnesses before the Banking and Currency Committee that "there are no less than 45,000,000 people living in poverty," of which "15,000,000 are existing only with the help of charity, without which they would perish."

These facts and figures offer a challenge to American leadership which has thus far not been met, and I say to those who have continuously resisted and checked every effort to meet it that if they persist they will endanger the very existence of the most cherished American institutions and inflict a blow from which the American people will not fully recover for generations to come.

We are not in a mere business recession. We are not undergoing a so-called depression. We are in a life and death struggle with the forces of social and economic dissolution, and it is our responsibility to throw all the resources of our people and our Government into the fray on the side of humanity to prevent the disintegration which is in progress before our very eyes.

The most immediate objective and the most crucial is to provide adequate relief upon a standard of decency for all those who are in need of assistance. No one whose conscience has been penetrated by the prevailing scene of desolation questions that assertion.

How shall we provide the necessary relief? Private charity has reached the peak of its efforts and has begun to decline. A steadily increasing proportion of relief has come from

governmental sources. Most of the States and municipalities have reached the limit of their capacity and are not likely to increase their commitments for relief, except by diverting sums from normal and essential functions.

If proof of these declarations is necessary, it is readily accessible. Mr. Ralph G. Hurlin testified before the Committee on Manufactures that the community-chest campaign in 150 communities succeeded in raising for 1933 only 77 per cent of the amount collected for 1932. When last we considered relief legislation it was commonly accepted that of the relief money then expended, 30 per cent came from private sources. The January bulletin of the Children's Bureau discloses that the ratio has changed. To-day only 12 per cent has its origin in private contributions, 88 per cent in public funds. There is no explanation for that shift except the obvious fact that whereas the need for relief has been expanding the capacity of private contributors has been declining.

Now we face the further fact that the resources of States and municipalities are no longer capable of meeting the enlarged need. We recognized that fact when Congress passed the emergency relief and construction act. It is far truer to-day. The situation was well summarized by Prof. Sumner Slichter, of Harvard, a very careful investigator. I quote him:

But the ability of the cities (and the counties) to raise funds by taxation is shrinking, because about nine-tenths of their income is derived from the taxation of real estate which, even before the depression, was heavily overtaxed relative to other sources of income. Falling rents and a rising percentage of vacancies are steadily reducing the ability of real estate to bear the burden of relief. All this is reflected in the mounting tax delinquencies. Last year Detroit imposed a levy of \$76,000,000, of which it failed to collect \$18,000,000. Pittsburgh had a levy of \$22,594,000, of which over \$3,000,000 was delinquent. In Cleveland nearly 19 per cent of the county taxes are delinquent and about 50 per cent of the special-assessment taxes. Particularly important is the fact that ability to give relief has diminished most of all in precisely those communities where unemployment is greatest. For a few months relief may be financed by borrowing, but city after city has now reached the point where further borrowing is impossible.

There is but one conclusion that can possibly be drawn from the facts I have so briefly sketched, and that is that the Federal Government must assume a much larger and direct responsibility in meeting the relief and employment obligations of the current emergency.

Before I consider the provisions of the amendment I have presented to the Costigan-La Follette bill, I want to describe briefly what has been done since last July under the terms of the emergency relief and construction act which Congress passed during the closing hours of the last session.

Under title 1 of that act, making advances to the States for the relief of destitution, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has down to February 8, 1933, authorized advances of \$167,000,000. All but nine States have taken advantage of its provisions. I ask leave to have inserted in the Recorp at this point in my remarks a table showing the amount of advances authorized for the several States.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Townsend in the chair). Is there objection? The Chair hears none, and it is so ordered

Reconstruction Finance Corporation funds made available to 38
States and 2 Territories under Title I to close of business
January 31, 1933

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	To be reim			
State	State	Political sub- divisions	Total	
Alabama Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Florida Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana	\$1, 656, 868. 00 847, 700. 0 2, 869, 519. 00 2, 255, 455. 00 2, 201, 048. 00 2, 668, 153. 00 681, 459. 22 649, 095. 00 26, 241, 478. 00 1, 775, 404. 00 1, 805, 995. 00 2, 563, 151. 00 4, 751, 333. 00	\$12, 252, 000, 00	\$1, 656, 868, 00 847, 700, 00 2, 899, 519, 00 2, 255, 455, 00 2, 201, 048, 00 2, 668, 153, 00 681, 459, 22 649, 095, 00 38, 493, 478, 00 1, 775, 404, 00 2, 563, 151, 00 4, 751, 333, 00	

Reconstruction Finance Corporation funds made available to 38
States and 2 Territories under Title I to close of business January 31, 1933—Continued

Minnesota 1, 351, 843, 00 Mississippi 2, 739, 425, 00 Missouri 2, 435, 531, 00 Montana 1, 150, 946, 00 Nevada 124, 867, 00 New Hampshire 944, 690, 00 New Mexico 172, 000, 00 New York 6, 100, 000, 00 North Carolina 3, 638, 000, 00 North Dakota 157, 680, 00 Ohio 6, 327, 504, 00 Oklahoma 2, 178, 308, 00 Oregon 980, 738, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 995, 00 Tennessee 1, 109, 634, 00 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	Total		
Minnesota 1, 351, 843, 00 Mississippi 2, 739, 425, 00 Missouri 2, 435, 531, 00 Montana 1, 150, 946, 00 Nevada 124, 867, 00 New Hampshire 944, 690, 00 New Mexico 172, 000, 00 New York 6, 100, 000, 00 North Carolina 3, 638, 000, 00 North Dakota 157, 680, 00 Ohio 6, 327, 504, 00 Oklahoma 2, 178, 308, 00 Oregon 980, 738, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 995, 00 Tennessee 1, 109, 634, 00 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	Total		
Minnesota 1, 351, 843, 00 Mississippi 2, 739, 425, 00 Missouri 2, 435, 531, 00 Montana 1, 150, 946, 00 Nevada 124, 867, 00 New Hampshire 944, 680, 00 New Work 6, 100, 000, 00 North Carolina 3, 038, 000, 00 North Dakota 157, 680, 00 Ohio 6, 327, 504, 00 Oklahoma 2, 178, 308, 00 Oregon 980, 738, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 5446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 393, 965, 00 Tennessee 1, 019, 634, 00 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	\$12,074,474.0		
Mississippi 2, 739, 425, 00 Missouri 2, 435, 531, 00 Montana 1, 150, 946, 00 New Ada 124, 867, 00 New Hampshire 944, 690, 00 New Mexico 172, 000, 00 North Carolina 3, 036, 000, 00 North Dakota 157, 680, 00 Ohio 6, 327, 504, 00 Oklahoma 2, 178, 308, 00 Oregon 980, 738, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 995, 00 Tennessee 1, 00, 634, 00 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	1, 351, 843. 0		
Missouri 2, 435, 531, 00 Montana 1, 150, 946, 00 Nevada 124, 867, 00 New Hampshire 944, 690, 00 New Hampshire 944, 690, 00 New Work 6, 100, 000, 00 North Carolina 3, 636, 000, 00 North Carolina 3, 636, 000, 00 North Dakota 157, 680, 00 North Dakota 2, 178, 308, 00 4, 220, 501, 00 Oklahoma 2, 178, 308, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 Tennessee 1, 1019, 634, 00 Tennessee 1, 1019, 634, 00 Tennessee 1, 1988, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	2, 739, 425, 0		
Montana	2, 435, 531. 0		
Nevada. 124, 867. 00 New Hampshire 944, 690. 00 New Mexico 172, 000. 00 New York 6, 100, 000. 00 North Carolina 3, 038, 000. 00 157, 680. 00 North Dakota 1,78, 308. 00 North Dakota 2, 178, 308. 00 North One on 980, 738. 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446. 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800. 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 905. 00 Tennessee 1, 1019, 634. 00 Tennessee 1, 1019, 634. 00 Texas 4, 135, 134. 00 Utah 1, 998, 589. 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860. 00	1, 150, 946, 0		
New Hampshire 944, 690, 00 172, 000, 00 New Mexico 172, 000, 00 New York 6, 100, 000, 00 North Carolina 3, 036, 000, 00 157, 680, 00 North Dakota 157, 680, 00 Ohio 6, 327, 504, 00 4, 220, 501, 00 Oklahoma 2, 178, 308, 00 Pegno 980, 738, 00 Pegno 980, 738, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 995, 00 Tennessee 1, 101, 634, 00 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	124, 867, 0		
New Mexico 172, 000, 00 New York 6, 100, 000, 00 North Carolina 3, 038, 000, 00 157, 680, 00 Ohio 6, 327, 504, 00 4, 220, 501, 00 Oklahoma 2, 178, 308, 00 Obregon 980, 738, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 905, 00 Tennesse 1, 019, 634, 00 Tennesse 1, 1019, 634, 00 Utah 1, 1938, 589, 00 Utrginia 2, 464, 860, 00	944, 690, 0		
New York 6, 100, 000, 00 North Carolina 3, 638, 000, 00 North Dakota 157, 680, 00 Dia 6, 327, 504, 00 Dia 6, 327, 504, 00 Dregon 980, 738, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 995, 00 Tennessee 1, 1019, 634, 00 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	172, 000. 0		
North Carolina 3, 036, 000. 00 157, 680. 00 157, 680. 00 157, 680. 00 00 160. 00 4, 220, 501. 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	6, 100, 000, 0		
North Dakota	3, 036, 000, 0		
Ohio 6, 327, 504, 00 4, 220, 501, 00 Oklahoma 2, 178, 308, 00 00 Oregon 980, 738, 00 0 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 0 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 0 South Dakota 1, 393, 995, 00 0 Tennessee 1, 019, 634, 00 0 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 0 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	157, 680, 0		
Oklahoma 2, 178, 308, 00 Oregon 980, 738, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 995, 00 Tennessee 1, 019, 634, 00 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	10, 548, 005. 0		
Oregon 980, 738, 00 Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446, 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 995, 00 Tennessee 1, 019, 634, 00 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	2, 178, 308. 0		
Pennsylvania 26, 705, 446. 00 South Carolina 1, 517, 800. 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 995. 00 Tennessee 1, 019, 634. 00 Texas 4, 135, 134. 00 Utah 1, 998, 589. 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860. 00	980, 738, 0		
South Carolina 1, 517, 800, 00 South Dakota 1, 303, 995, 00 Tennessee 1, 019, 634, 00 Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 998, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	26, 705, 446. 0		
South Dakota 1, 393, 995. 00 Tennessee 1, 019, 634. 00 Texas 4, 135, 134. 00 Utah 1, 998, 589. 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860. 00	1, 517, 800. 0		
Tennessee 1, 019, 634, 00 1 1, 019, 634, 00 1 1, 019, 634, 00 1 1, 019, 634, 00 1 1, 019, 689, 00 1 1,	1, 393, 995. 0		
Texas 4, 135, 134, 00 Utah 1, 938, 589, 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860, 00	1, 019, 634. 0		
Utah 1, 998, 589. 00 Virginia 2, 464, 860. 00	4, 135, 134. 0		
Virginia	1, 998, 589. 0		
	2, 464, 860. 0		
Washington 1, 353, 700. 00 1, 268, 000. 00	2, 621, 700, 0		
West Virginia 4, 747, 561. 00	4, 747, 561, 0		
Wisconsin 8, 304, 770. 00	8, 304, 770. 0		
Hawaii 307, 435, 00	307, 435, 0		
Puerto Rico	360, 000. 0		

Mr. WAGNER. Under title 2 of the act providing for loans for the construction of self-liquidating projects the total authorizations to February 1, 1933, by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation amounted to \$155,721,000. Only an insubstantial amount of that sum has actually been advanced.

At this point in my remarks I ask leave to have inserted in the RECORD a memorandum prepared by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation showing the estimated number of men to be employed on self-liquidating projects as of January 31, 1933.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The memorandum referred to is as follows:

The estimated number of men to be employed on the self-liquidating projects authorized by the corporation as at the close of business, January 31, 1933, is as follows:

	Man-years	Man- months	Man-days
Direct	76, 818 70, 853	921, 816 850, 236	19, 972, 711 17, 004, 720
Total	147, 671	1, 772, 052	36, 977, 431

Total authorized, \$155,721,634.24.

The above figures on direct employment are based on 1,560 working hours per man per year, or approximately 22 days per month at 6 hours per day. The figures on indirect employment, in accordance with the method used by the Bureau of Public Roads, are based on 1,920 working hours per man per year, or 20 days per month at 8 hours per day.

20 days per month at 3 hours per day.

Figures on direct employment are the estimates furnished by the applicants. Although we have no direct check on these estimates, we have checked them against figures supplied by the Bureau of Public Roads and the Bureau of Labor Statistics covering average construction projects of similar type, and we feel that in some cases the applicants' figures have been overestimated.

As to indirect employment, we have worked out the figures in conjunction with the Bureau of Public Roads, which has made a thorough and careful study of the general subject in connection.

thorough and careful study of the general subject in connection with their own work.

It should be understood that we do not vouch for the accuracy of the figures, but that they represent the best estimates available at the present time.

Mr. WAGNER. Under title 3 of that act, which appropriated \$322,000,000 for Federal construction, the several departments of the Government up to December 1, 1932, had committed for expenditures \$156,082,157.

Mr. President, I have received from the Bureau of Public Roads an estimate of the volume of employment directly provided by the emergency road appropriation carried in that act. It discloses that during the month of November 89,230 men, and in December 76,954 men, were given direct employment as a result of that emergency appropriation. In order to arrive at the total volume of employment directly and indirectly provided as a result of that appropriation, those figures should be respectively multiplied by three, for behind every man on the site of construction two men are engaged in the fabrication of materials, in transportation, and other indirect occupations directly set on foot as a result of the construction project. I ask leave that the two tables I have here may be printed in the RECORD at this point in my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The tables referred to are as follows:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS.

Total Federal and State highway employment

			5 11	MONT	H OF NOVI	EMBER, 193	32	161	100			- S 6 12	
		Construction											
State	Nations	al forest	forest National park		Federal land		Federal aid				Total	Total mainte-	Grand total
	Regular	Emer- gency	Regular	Emer- gency	Regular	Emer- gency	Regular	Emer- gency	Total Federal	Total State	Federal and State	and	
Alabama							292	1, 659	1, 951	397	2,348	1,930	4, 27
Arizona	11	477	80				242	910 136	1, 720	108	1,828	102 758	1, 93
California Colorado Connecticut	465	635 451	398 43	11	31		1, 168 70 1 53	567 1,879 586	3, 275 2, 480 639	4, 214 78 2, 594	7, 489 2, 558 3, 233	2, 745 488 1, 009	10, 23 3, 04 4, 24
Delaware Florida		31					278 452	992 741	1, 270 1, 224	469 2,667	1, 739 3, 891	261 737	2, 0 4, 6:
JeorgiadahoIlinois	30	416	52			40	858 170 1, 982	4, 602 856 3, 997	5, 460 1, 564 5, 979	3, 056 347 4, 975	8, 516 1, 911 10, 954	776 728 3, 281	9, 2 2, 6 14, 2
ndianaowa							1, 689 770	3, 347	1, 689 4, 117	1, 512 612	3, 201 4, 729	4, 807 1, 436	8, 0 6, 1
Kansas Kentucky ouisiana							571 1, 501 544	2, 435 2, 075 526	3, 006 3, 576 1, 070	315 5, 790 3, 251	3, 321 9, 366 4, 321	1, 626 2, 670 1, 270	4, 9- 12, 00 5, 56
Maine Maryland							337 562	845 442	1, 182 1, 004	685	1, 867 2, 762	682	2, 5 5, 9
Massachusetts		10					803 1, 224	880 1, 978	1, 683 3, 212	- 1,547 949	3, 230 4, 161	1,717 2 4, 268	4, 94 8, 42
Minnesota Mississippi							110 484 564	2, 184 916 1, 908	2, 499 1, 400 2, 472	1, 428 1 160 6, 076	3, 927 1, 560 8, 548	3 2, 071 1, 114 6, 313	5, 99 2, 67 14, 80
Montana Nebraska		258			16		295 734	3, 564 2, 688	4, 133	796	4, 133 4, 218	261 1, 052	4, 3: 5, 2
Nevada		96				35	111	321	563		563	220	78

1 Estimated.

2 October report.

² Exclusive of men employed on State-aid maintenance,

Total Federal and State highway employment-Continued MONTH OF NOVEMBER, 1932-continued

					C	Construction	n						
State	State Nation	ational forest National park		Federal land		Feder	al aid			Total	Total mainte-	Grand total	
Regu	Regular	Emer- gency	Regular	Emer- gency	Regular	Emer- gency	Regular	Emer- gency	Total Federal	Total State	Federal and State	nance	
New Hampshire					TO SERVICE SERVICE		191	303	550	47,653	8, 203	1, 074	9, 27
lew Jersey		216					1, 167 162	1, 467 1, 462	2, 634 1, 907	736 202	3, 370 2, 109	1, 114 788	4, 48 2, 89
lew York							2, 576	4, 779	7, 355	2, 919	10, 274	4, 273	14, 54
orth Carolina							230 602	3, 786	4, 016	17	4, 033	9, 237	13, 27
hio							2, 101	1, 486 4, 061	2, 105 6, 162	1,669	2, 248 7, 831	906 8, 575	3, 15 16, 40
klahoma		500					1, 165		1, 165	3, 551	4, 716	2, 651	7, 36
regonennsylvania		909					429 3, 764	1,709 3,580	2, 707 7, 344	630 1,785	3, 337 9, 129	733 50, 225	4, 07 59, 35
hode Island	-						166	485	651	103	754	976	1, 73
outh Carolina		31					24 898	2, 581 1, 929	2,605	622	3, 227 3, 132	1,470	4, 69
ennessee					10		554	2, 707	2, 874 3, 261	258 624	3, 885	912 1, 756	4, 0 5, 6
exas							5, 014	1,024	6, 038	4,881	10, 919	7, 222	18, 1
tahermont		91	255				297 25	783 507	1, 426 532	1,190	2, 616 557	4, 700 1, 012	7, 31
irginia			101				1, 243	2, 114	3, 506	2,786	6, 292	9, 320	15, 6
ashington	_ 58	366	1 30		1 30		218	1,062	1,764	834	2, 598	1,779	4, 3
Vest VirginiaVisconsin							374 542	1, 838 4, 056	2, 212 4, 598	2, 935 3, 497	5, 147 8, 095	2, 044 3, 913	7, 19 12, 00
Vyoming	_ 31	311	68	230			118	2, 048	2,806	162	2, 968	371	3, 33
laska lawaii	4 125						864		125 864		125	§ 124	24
United States	120000000000000000000000000000000000000	4, 113	1,027	241	110	75	38, 588	84, 801	129, 933	81,006	210, 939	160, 728	371, 66
		1		MONT	H OF DECE	MBER, 1932							122 1
labamarizona		358					226 103	2, 244 872	2, 470 1, 347	410 6 72	2, 880 1, 419	1, 870 587	4, 75
rkansas		71						390	461		461	586	1,04
alifornia olorado olor	408	514 310	156	111			978	991 895	3, 058	4, 547	7, 605 1, 294	2,849	10, 45
onnecticut		910					57 34	452	1, 262 486	32 1, 814	2, 300	408 939	1, 70 3, 23
elaware								694	694	174	868	120	98
loridaeorgia	- 50	53					408 301	1, 711 4, 740	2, 222 5, 041	2, 486 3, 043	4, 708 8, 084	832 893	5, 54 8, 97
laho.	16	359				73	23	718	1, 189	103	1, 292	555	1, 84
iaholinois							889	2, 735	3, 624	2, 680	6, 304	3, 881	10, 18
ndiana							736 469	316	736 785	1, 108	1, 844 1, 119	3, 268 1, 246	5, 11 2, 36
ansas							451	2, 654	3, 105	186	3, 291	1, 213	4, 50
entucky							961	1, 591	2, 552	4, 152	6, 704	2, 701	9, 40
ouisiana							285 29	3, 220 882	3, 505 911	2, 038	5, 543 1, 223	1,081	6, 63
Maryland	-						323	331	654	1, 215	1,869	3, 539	5, 40
fassachusetts							395 394	669	1,064	689	1,753	1,576	3, 32
fichigan finnesota	50	18 50					394	1, 101 833	1,609	698 1, 196	2, 307 2, 129	1 4, 457 1, 205	6, 76
fississippi							462	1, 368	1,830	56	1,886	1,148	3, 03
Iissouri		170					171	1, 137	1, 308 2, 480	3, 833	5, 141	4, 567	9, 70
fontana[ebraska]		170					1 56	2, 310 1, 922	1, 978	444	2, 480 2, 422	775	2, 70 3, 19
evada		198				168	14	308	688		688	188	87
ew Hampshire	38						628	125 999	163 1, 627	4 2, 283	2,446	1, 238 6 1, 114	3, 68
lew Mexico		376					115	1, 940	2, 431	180	2, 268 2, 611	1, 033	3, 38
ew York							934	2, 585	3, 519	1, 585	5, 104	7, 491	12, 59
orth Carolina					13		185	3, 186 486	3, 232 684		3, 232 684	8, 327 564	11, 55 1, 24
hio					10		993	2, 160	3, 153	614	3, 767	4, 984	8, 75
klahoma					77		864	89	1,030	3, 656	4, 686	2, 635	7, 32
regon		696			1 19		478 1, 982	1, 670 3, 228	2, 863 5, 249	347 1, 196	3, 210 6, 445	29, 632	3, 90 36, 07
ennsylvaniahode Island		99					98	304	402	90	492	1, 053	1, 54
outh Carolina								2, 737	2, 737	399	3, 136	1, 465	4, 60
outh Dakota		60			5		217 271	632 3, 297	914 3, 568	157 312	1,071	685 1, 689	1, 75 5, 56
ennesseeexasexas							3, 533	2, 235	5, 768	2, 082	3, 880 7, 850	6, 747	14, 59
tah	. 77	96	187			24	170	895	1, 449	827	2, 276	5, 593	7, 86
ermont	30	*******	167				609	1, 840 3, 165	1, 840 3, 971	2, 069	1,840	6 1, 012 13, 815	2, 85 19, 85
irginiaVashington		497	107	69			126	846	1, 567	586	6, 040 2, 153	2, 466	4, 61
Vest Virginia							270	1,710	1, 980	1,609	2, 153 3, 589	2, 466 1, 800	5, 38
		Strategick.					102	1, 421	1, 523	1,897	3, 420	6 3, 913	7, 33
isconsin		190	Corollegations.	190	CONTRACTOR OF STA	42	62	1 161 1	1 615	56 1	1 671	201 [1.00
VisconsinVyoming	- 33	126		189		43	63	1, 161	1,615	56	1, 671	291 6 37	1, 96
isconsin	33	126		189		43	63 321	1, 161	1, 615 6 72 922	56			

the emergency relief and construction act is cruelly little, but let it be said now that it is the only emergency action taken which has directly contributed to the preservation of

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, what has been done under | occupations and has sustained their morale, their purchasing power, and their citizenship.

It seems to be beyond dispute that we shall not start a single force for recovery by pursuing a policy of slow nalife and has kept at least some men at work in their normal | tional starvation and attrition until declining production

Estimated.
 October report.
 Exclusive of men employed on State-aid maintenance.

<sup>This report includes State aid, third class, and special resolve construction.
September report.
November report.</sup>

overtakes vanishing consumption. That is the course of self-imposed poverty and deliberate national deterioration. In so far as recovery can be promoted by domestic efforts, it must have as its focus the expansion, not the contraction, of activity; the spread of purchasing power, not its further destruction. The only line of fruitful activity which will not serve to increase the very surplus of unsalable commodities is the construction of necessary projects which are in the public interest.

Beyond this central fact there are additional pertinent factors which deserve consideration and fortify the wisdom of pursuing that course. First, construction is the most severely deflated of American industries. The decline in wage payments in that industry has been in excess of 75 per cent as compared with 1929, and the volume of construction contracts awarded has shrunk from an average of \$550,000,000 a month in 1928 to about \$110,000,000 a month in 1932. Second, construction costs have fallen to so low a level that, in the interests of genuine economy and the saving of the taxpayers' money both now and in the future, it is desirable to prosecute every needful construction or reconstruction project at the present time. Third, the expansion of construction through Reconstruction Finance Corporation financing gives us the necessary means of releasing the right kind of inflation-credit inflation-through the creation of job-giving activities for the production of genuine wealth of permanent value. I have, as the Senate knows, long advocated the advance planning of public construction as a method of helping to stabilize employment. The policy of advance planning was, after much effort, written into the law in February, 1931.

I have before me a very interesting calculation prepared by the Director of the Federal Employment Stabilization Board which indicates that proper allocation of all public construction between 1925 and 1929 would have left almost \$4,000,000,000 for construction activitity in 1931 and 1932. That would have been enough, practically, to take up the slack in that industry.

I ask that that table, with the attached explanation furnished by the Federal Employment Stabilization Board, may be printed in the RECORD at this point in my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The table and explanation are as follows:

The tante and explanation are as follows:

The attached photostatic table represents annual (calendar year) estimates of construction expenditures by all agencies whose expenditures are ascertainable, as compiled by this board; 1932 data are still subject to some minor correction.

In my opinion, the grand total for 1930, 1931, and 1932 is about 80 per cent of all construction work performed in this country. The remaining 20 per cent is impossible to estimate in detail.

The chart Estimated Construction Expenditures with Advance Planning Possibilities was based on these estimates. The annual contraction of public works from 1925 through 1929, as shown on this chart, is purely arbitrary. The contraction and expansion by years, as shown on this chart, amount to:

Contraction	
1925 1926 1927 1927 1928	\$570, 000, 000 400, 000, 000 970, 000, 000 860, 000, 000 1, 030, 000, 000
	3, 830, 000, 000
Expansion 1931	1, 600, 000, 000 2, 230, 000, 000
	8 830 000 000

The expansion, totaling \$3,830,000,000, was arbitrarily divided between 1931 and 1932, as indicated (1930 was not greatly below

This display is based on "dollar" volume of construction. The cost of construction has declined about 10 per cent in 1930, 20 per cent in 1931, and 30 per cent in 1932 from the 1929 level. If the "dollar" volume could be translated into physical units, or employment, there would be a consequent increase in the years 1930-1932. The stars approximate what this level would have been in terms of physical units, or employment.

Very truly yours,

D. H. SAWYER, Director.

Estimated construction In millions of dollars 1

	1925	1926	1927	1928	1929	1930	1931	1932
Residential Commercial Factories Rel. & Mem	2, 748 872 327 153	2, 671 921 471 149	2, 573 933 376 156	2, 788 885 509 128	1, 916 929 546 106	1, 101 616 257 93	881 311 116 53	285 130 45 30
37 Eastern States 1. 11 Western States (estimated) 2. Farm construction 3	4, 100 451 470	4, 212 463 470	4, 038 444 473	4, 310 474 463	3, 497 385 463	2, 067 227 367	1, 291 142 258	490 54 192
Total private, United States	5, 021	5, 145	4, 955	5, 247	4, 345	2, 661	1, 691	736
Railroads. Electric-power companies. Telephone companies. Electric-railroad companies.	1, 223 884 502 242	1, 371 823 534 207	1, 339 844 545 205	1, 280 813 613	1, 370 906 795	1, 230 968 817	812 654 604 155	529 322 438
Subtotal	2, 851	2, 935	2, 933	2, 900	3, 265	3, 204	2, 225	1, 436
Pipe-line companies Gaslight companies Telegraph companies Waterworks companies Aviation companies Cotton warehouses	(4)	9999999	9999999	999999	9999999	515 226 73 44 7	469 167 37 25 6 3	165 96 21 16 4 3
Total, railroad and public utilities 2	(9)	(4)	(4)	(1)	(9)	4, 072	2, 932	1, 741
Cities	1, 250 814 411	1, 175 656 404	1, 482 914 438	1, 394 843 502	1, 532 648 580	1, 660 607 700	1, 330 480 640	1, 300
aid, excluding District of Columbia)	230	225	238	265	300	390	510	600
Total public 2	2, 705	2, 460	3, 072	3, 004	3, 060	3, 357	2, 960	1,900
Subtotal	10, 577	10, 540	10, 960	11, 151	10, 670	9, 222	6, 876	4, 072
Grand total						10, 090	7, 583	4, 377

1 F. W. Dodge Corporation—contracts awarded
2 Federal Employment Stabilization Board.
3 Department of Agriculture.
4 No data available.

Compiled by the Federal Employment Stabilization Board, Dec. 21, 1932.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, I do not believe there is any serious division of opinion respecting the proposal that every effort be made to open up employment opportunities through the initiation of necessary construction projects. That proposal is the policy we adopted in the emergency relief and construction act of 1932. The reasons for the meager performance under that measure are to be found both in the restrictive language of the act as now written, as well as in the ultraconservative policy pursued by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. It was the common opinion expressed at the hearings before the subcommittee of the Banking and Currency Committee that the two chief obstacles to the initiation of job-giving projects under the emergency relief and construction act were, first, the rigorous definition of a self-liquidating project; and, second, the high interest rates required by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. In both respects the bill which I present provides what I regard as the necessary remedy. So far as public construction by local governmental bodies is concerned, the requirement that a project be self-liquidating is entirely abandoned. In lieu of that requirement the bill would provide a new standard that any project initiated by a public body shall be eligible for a loan if it is needful and in the public interest. The rate of interest to be charged on construction loans by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation is fixed at a rate not to exceed one-half per cent above the rate carried on the last issue of Federal bonds immediately preceding the making of the loan. These are the principal changes. They will, in my judgment, permit the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to function in the manner in which it was intended to function, namely, as an agency to promote recovery, to provide employment, and to stimulate business, industry, and agriculture.

Mr. WALSH of Montana. Mr. President

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from New York yield to the Senator from Montana?

Mr. WAGNER. I yield.

Mr. WALSH of Montana. I inquire of the Senator from New York, as well as the Senator from Wisconsin, whether there is any provision in the bill sponsored by the Senator from Wisconsin and reported by the committee liberalizing the provisions of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation act in relation to so-called self-liquidating projects?

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, will the Senator from New York vield?

Mr. WAGNER. Yes; of course, I yield to the Senator.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, in response to the inquiry made by the Senator from Montana, let me say that there is nothing in the bill as reported from the Committee on Manufactures which deals with the section of the relief and reconstruction act concerning loans for selfliquidating works, but the amendment now pending, offered by the Senator from New York to the bill reported by the Committee on Manufactures, embodies all the provisions of the so-called Wagner bill liberalizing loans for self-liquidating projects, and that is now the pending question.

Mr. WAGNER. Does that answer the question of the Senator from Montana?

Mr. WALSH of Montana. That answers the question, and I thank the Senator.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, the other changes affected by my proposal are summarized in the committee report on

These changes will render eligible many useful and necessary projects which are now denied loans by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. If these legislative changes are also accompanied by a change of heart in the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and the development in that organization of a vigorous will to effectuate the policy of Congress, we may with confidence anticipate an improvement in the employment situation.

My proposal carries no provision for the expansion of the program of Federal construction. The reason for that omission is not that I regard it as unnecessary; the reason is that I believe that we can more successfully formulate such a program under the auspices of the new administration.

Mr. President, as we consider the questions of depression and relief, as we fix our attention upon statistical tables showing the rise and fall of business, we sometimes forget the individual who has borne the brunt of the long economic struggle. Undoubtedly every portion of the population has suffered in the general deflation of values and shrinkage of income, but I submit that the heavy end of the load has been carried by the men and women who work on the farm and in the factory to earn their daily bread. And not only is that true but it is also a fact that during the days of prosperity they did not enjoy the same relative increase in earnings that was enjoyed by the investor. I have had prepared a table which reveals the relationship very clearly. The table is as follows:

	Relative amount paid out in wages	Relative amount paid out in dividends
1926	100 97	100 108
1928	95	119
1929	100	140
1930	80	138
1931	60	104
1932 (December)	38	59

It is about time that we turned our attention in the direction of the wage earner who did not enjoy, relatively, the benefits of prosperity and who is to-day the chief sufferer of the consequences of depression. Out of his labor we reared the industrial greatness of America. That greatness can not endure unless we restore him to gainful employment.

Mr. President, the amendment which I have offered

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from New York yield to the Senator from Maryland?

Mr. WAGNER. Yes.

Mr. TYDINGS. May I ask the Senator from New York if he would object to including in his amendment at the appropriate place some such provision as this:

To make loans to corporations formed wholly for the purpose of providing housing for the families of officers of the United States Army, Navy, and Marine Corps?

May I say a brief word in explanation of that suggestion?

Mr. WAGNER. I yield further. Mr. TYDINGS. In Annapolis Md., there are a considerable number of Navy and Marine Corps officers. A corporation has been formed to build housing there for about 75 or 100 of these officers' families. The project has been approved, I am told, by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation in so far as its financial feasibility is concerned. However, may I say to the Senator from New York that the original act did not authorize the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to make loans for that purpose; and the purpose is simply to have that power conferred on the Reconstruction Finance Corporation so that a housing proposition can be approved which will provide work, be self-liquidating in character, and furnish quarters for our Army, Navy, and Marine officers which the Government may have to build out of other funds if this is not done.

Mr. WAGNER. To the expansion of that particular section to the extent suggested by the Senator I do not object at all; but the Senator will have to draft an amendment, because in my proposed amendment I do not deal with the question of housing at all. I leave that as it is in the original act. I see no objection to that.

Mr. TYDINGS. I should like at this time to have passed upon the question of inserting it at its appropriate place in the amendment of the Senator from New York, and I will have the amendment properly drafted so that it will fit into the bill. May I further say to the Senator from New York that when the original act was passed it was provided that we should make loans to corporations formed wholly for the purpose of providing housing for families of low income; and all that this amendment would do is to provide housing for the Army, Navy, and Marine Corps.

Mr. WAGNER. I understand.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from New York modify his amendment to that effect?

Mr. WAGNER. Yes. I understand the purpose of the amendment, and it is quite agreeable to me.

Mr. TYDINGS. I thank the Senator.

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield to me?

Mr. WAGNER. Yes.

Mr. FESS. I notice that the Senator's proposal is limited to loans to States, municipalities, and so forth, and that he has also taken in private corporations. I do not see, anywhere in the amendment, any limit as to the amount that may be loaned.

Mr. WAGNER. To whom?

Mr. FESS. For these purposes.

Mr. WAGNER. To a corporation, to a public body, municipality, or State?

Mr. FESS. No; the sum total.

Mr. WAGNER. The sum total, to whom? To a municipality or to a private corporation?

Mr. FESS. Both.

Mr. WAGNER. There is a limitation in the act itself, which is not modified at all.

Mr. FESS. Oh, there is? My thought was that there ought to be some limit.

Mr. WAGNER. Oh, yes. That limitation in the original act is retained. I am not changing that limitation at all.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from New York yield to the Senator from Kentucky?

Mr. WAGNER. Yes, sir. Mr. BARKLEY. I have not had time to compare the amendment which the Senator is going to offer to the La Follette-Costigan bill with his original bill, S. 5609.

Mr. WAGNER. I know the matter in which the Senator is particularly interested. The question does not arise in the

amendment which I have offered now, but it will arise in the | I mean it would have a tremendous effect on these efforts next amendment.

Mr. BARKLEY. The amendment which the Senator is offering now has no provision prohibiting the expenditure of any relief funds for administration?

Mr. WAGNER. No. The amendment which I offer now deals only with enlarging the authority of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to make loans to States, municipalities, or other public bodies for projects which are needful and in the public interest.

Mr. BARKLEY. So-called self-liquidating projects. The Senator is not dealing with relief?

Mr. WAGNER. I am not dealing with relief just at this moment. That will come at a subsequent time.

Mr. BARKLEY. Is the Senator going to offer his bill, Senate 5609, later as a substitute for the whole La Follette

Mr. WAGNER. I propose to do that. Mr. BARKLEY. While I am on the subject, let me ask the Senator whether he would accept an amendment which I propose to offer to that bill, eliminating the provision which prohibits the use of any of these funds for administration within the States?

Mr. WAGNER. I am not the author of that particular amendment. It was incorporated by the committee itself, so I do not feel authorized myself to accept the amendment. I personally shall make no objection to it.

Mr. BARKLEY. When the time comes I am going to offer that amendment.

Mr. WAGNER. Very well.

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, I have examined the amendment offered by the Senator from New York [Mr. WAGNER], and also listened to the Senator's explanation of it. It seems to me that there is no serious objection to the adoption of the amendment, as it is in the right direction, and I think has the possibilities of absorbing considerable unemployment.

Mr. WAGNER. I am very confident about that, Mr. President.

Mr. FESS. For that reason I feel like supporting it.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, I wish to make a brief statement concerning the amendment which has just been offered by the Senator from New York [Mr. WAGNER].

His amendment, if agreed to, will appear at the end of the committee amendment now pending. The amendment of the Senator from New York does not seek to deal with the question of direct unemployment relief for the aid of destitution. The pending amendment incorporates all of the provisions contained in the original bill of the Senator from New York designed to liberalize the terms of the existing act under which loans for public works may be extended.

Mr. President, with that purpose I am in hearty sympathy. While I did not agree with the proposal offered by the Senator from New York last spring, because I felt that the total of public works which he provided under that bill would prove to be inadequate, I tested out the issue, however, in the Senate by offering a substitute providing for a five and a half billion dollar public-works program, and it was defeated. In the closing hours of this session, with millions of persons hungry, destitute, and cold, I do not propose again to raise the issue concerning the size of the public-works program to be undertaken.

Therefore, Mr. President, I am very hopeful that the amendment now pending, offered by the Senator from New York, will be agreed to.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I yield.

Mr. WAGNER. Referring to the suggestion the Senator made as to the usefulness of a public-works program to absorb unemployment, I might state that I put into the RECORD to-day some very interesting figures which show that if we could secure \$2,000,000,000 for public projects all through the country-there are many of them, easily \$2,000,000,000 worth-we could put 2,000,000 men to work on those projects, and indirectly a great many more.

we are making for economic rehabilitation.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. The Senator from New York and myself are not in disagreement concerning the advisability of employing a large public-works program for the purpose of providing reemployment and stimulating recovery. only place where the Senator from New York and myself have had any difference of opinion has been in regard to the size of the program necessary to achieve the objectives which we both seek to attain. As I stated a moment ago, however, I do not propose, in the closing hours of this short session of Congress, to raise that issue again. I am in hearty sympathy with everything the Senator from New York has said concerning the too strict terms of the original act concerning loans for public works and the too conservative administration of it, and I hope that everything that he seeks to achieve will flow from a liberalization of the

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, may I make one other observation?

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I yield.

Mr. WAGNER. I would not want the RECORD to show that the Senator and I differ upon any of these efforts to secure the employment of the unemployed. We do not differ as to the size of the program. I think I am simply a little more pragmatic than the Senator, and I knew it was hopeless to secure the passage of any such large program as both of us realized was needed to attack this problem in a bold and courageous manner.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Let me continue this discussion for only a moment longer.

The timing and the size of a public-works program are the essence of it if we seek to employ it to stimulate a recovery, to check the downward trend of the vicious spirals always in evidence in a depression.

The Senator from New York advocated a smaller program than I. I did not advocate the larger program for any theoretical reasons or for any reasons of enthusiasm. I advocated the larger program because at the time I offered the five and one-half billion dollar public-works amendment last year I was convinced, after conference with the best experts I could find in the United States who knew anything about the theory, that even at that stage, relatively higher than the one upon which we now rest, a five and one-half billion dollar program was the minimum which could achieve the results which both the Senator from New York and myself sought to obtain. As I stated, however, I do not care to raise that issue. I do not care to discuss it. I simply made reference to it in order that there might not be any inconsistency in the record which I have attempted to make during the progress of this cataclysmic deflation and depression.

I hope the amendment offered by the Senator from New York [Mr. WAGNER] will be agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on the amendment of the Senator from New York [Mr. WAGNER], as modified by the amendment of the Senator from Maryland [Mr. Typings].

Mr. FLETCHER. Mr. President, I do not quite understand the situation. Has the amendment of the Senator from New York been modified while I was out of the Cham-

Mr. WAGNER. I might say to the Senator from Florida that the amendment I have offered is that portion of the bill considered by the subcommittee.

Mr. FLETCHER. I understand that.

Mr. WAGNER. If the Senator does not mind, I should like to have the amendment acted upon now. There does not seem to be any objection at all to it.

Mr. FLETCHER. All right.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on the amendment of the Senator from New York [Mr. WAGNER], as modified by the amendment of the Senator from Marvland [Mr. Typings].

Mr. COSTIGAN. Mr. President, I had not intended to speak on the amendment I am about to offer in the absence

of the senior Senator from Montana [Mr. Walsh], with whom I held a conference a moment ago. In view, however, of the possible immediate adoption of the amendments of the Senator from New York [Mr. Wagner], I wish to direct his attention to that portion of his amendments which relates to loans by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to private corporations.

Mr. FLETCHER. Mr. President, may I say that I rose with the idea of submitting some remarks on this amendment. I was asked by the Senator from Colorado to yield in order that it might be agreed to, and I did so. If it is going to be discussed, I desire to be heard.

Mr. COSTIGAN. I was under the impression that the amendment was to be adopted instantly.

Mr. FLETCHER. That was my understanding.

Mr. COSTIGAN. At any moment he so desires I will yield with pleasure to the able Senator from Florida.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair was under the impression that the Senator from Florida was endeavoring to discuss another matter.

Mr. COSTIGAN. Mr. President, my suggestion may be speedily determined. Directing the attention of the author of the amendments to page 2, line 21, we find that in the case of loans by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to private corporations, bridges, tunnels, docks, viaducts, waterworks, canals, and markets are included, provided they are devoted to public use.

In our western more or less arid country we have mutual irrigation companies, the distributing properties of which are reasonably regarded as devoted to public use. These companies find it necessary to construct reservoirs as a highly important part of their irrigation systems.

The Reconstruction Finance Corporation has recently dealt with the question whether it is authorized to make loans to such corporations where the security is ample if reservoirs are included with the canals. In the cases I have in mind the reservoirs are decidedly important for the proper accumulation and storage of sufficient water for use in canals in those regions which are dependent on irrigation.

It is my understanding that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has decided that it may not consider reservoirs, however valuable for the successful operation of the canals, as subject to inclusion in security for loans upon canals devoted to a public use. I therefore ask the Senator from New York whether he is willing to incorporate in his amendments, following the word "canals," on page 2, line 22, an amendment reading in substance as follows: "reservoirs, reasonably essential to the operation of such canals"?

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, I see no objection to that. It still must be self-liquidating in character.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the amendment is accepted. The question is on agreeing to the amendment of the Senator from New York [Mr. Wagner], as modified.

The amendment as modified was agreed to.

THE FUTURE OF OUR FOREIGN TRADE

Mr. FLETCHER. Mr. President, I rose to submit some observations with reference particularly to section 24 of the amendment of the Senator from New York. The amendment has been agreed to, however, and is not involved just now; but with the Senate's permission, very briefly, I want to refer to a subject not directly one of relief but one which concerns the general conditions of the whole country.

We have many problems before us. This is a great country, and there are numerous problems to be settled. One of them, in my judgment, very important just now, is the building up, extension, and expansion of our export trade. The statement is made, and no doubt it is true, that the farm income of our country has shrunk from its peak of \$17,000,000,000 in 1920 to \$12,000,000,000 in 1929, and to an estimated \$5,250,000,000 in 1932.

We have some very important exportable surpluses—for instance, cotton, wheat, tobacco, meat products, and that sort of thing. We have to dispose of those surpluses somewhere, somehow; and that, it seems to me, involves consideration of the question of attending to our foreign trade.

Mr. President, I had the privilege of offering as an amendment to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation bill when it was pending before the committee what became known as section 5A. It was agreed to in the Senate and became part of the law. But nothing has been done under it so far.

The purpose of that provision was to enable the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to finance the export of agricultural products particularly. In the foreign countries people are accustomed to buy on terms which are not usually considered banking terms. For instance, it is not business a bank would attend to. Thirty, sixty, and ninety day business does not count with them. They want a longer time. They want 4 or 6 or 8 or 10 months, and it is a safe business. It has to be handled in a different way from the ordinary commercial transaction.

We are trying to do something about our exportable surpluses by some sort of plan of inverted tariffs, but we have not gotten very far with that. In the Wagner amendment there is a provision, section 24, which provides for financing our agricultural products and agricultural raw materials furnished to manufacturers.

Mr. President, that is a general statement with reference to the importance of opening up markets abroad for the selling of our agricultural surplus products. In a general way I may say, on the subject of the importance of foreign trade in our international economic structure, that the question is, is it necessary for the United States, as a Nation, to concern itself with foreign commerce in view of the immensity of the problems presented by its purely domestic economic and commercial situation? With the total sales of American products abroad fallen to the lowest point since 1905, would it not be best to abandon entirely our efforts to expand our foreign trade or even to try to retain what we have left? Those who advocate this negative course-and, surprisingly enough, there are some otherwise thoughtful and intelligent men in the camp of the ultraisolationistscan not have given full consideration to all of its implica-

We in the United States might, perhaps, be a happier and more prosperous Nation were we sufficient unto ourselves alone, able to work out our own economic destiny without regard to other nations. But any such convenient isolation is a mere philosophical conception. Facing things as they are, we find that in spite of our tremendous natural resources and high state of industrial development, we are far from self-sufficient and far from any prospect of becoming so. Were we to cut off our imports from other nations, we should, to begin with, have to give up our coffee, tea, and cocoa, do without silk clothing or goods. These things, to be sure, might perhaps be classed as luxuries, although their distribution and sale in the United States is affording employment to thousands of Americans. But in our civilization to-day no one could class rubber and tin as luxuries. On these two raw materials alone depend some of our greatest industries. And every pound of tin and of rubber that goes into finished American manufactures must be bought abroad. Platinum, a metal that is an essential to the manufacture of many electrical products, is another mineral for which we must look entirely to foreign sources of supply.

Coffee, tea, cocoa, silk, rubber, tin, and platinum are merely a few of the items in the long list of commodities and materials in daily use that simply can not be produced in the United States. We may think of steel, for example, as an American product. Certainly, in the industrial uses of steel this country has shown the way to the rest of the world. But it is a matter of cold fact that modern steel and its alloys, essential as they are to the preservation of twentieth-century American civilization, can not be produced in the United States without the use of imported materials.

I do not think I need further stress America's dependence on imports. Even those who may be most contemptuous, in theory, of foreign trade as a factor of importance in our economic scheme must grant that agricultural and industrial self-sufficiency could not be attained without a willingness to revert to a standard of living lower than that of any nation that can possibly call itself civilized according to modern standards.

Now, the United States must, somehow, pay for the rubber, tin, steel, alloys, and the long list of other essential materials for which we are obliged to turn to foreign sources, whether we like it or not. If our foreign transactions were confined to purchases alone, we should find ourselves obliged to pay for them by shipments of gold. Even at the peak of domestic prosperity, such an arrangement would be altogether impossible. It becomes necessary, therefore, to sell our own goods abroad in order to create at least an approximate balance and to avoid a disproportionate drain upon our gold resources. In other words, we must export, if only in order to pay for our necessary imports.

It may seem that I am speaking in elementary terms, but I do wish to emphasize, just as strongly as I can, a simple and elementary fact—too often clouded in loose, theoretical discussion—that foreign trade always has been and must continue to be one of the essential, basic parts of the very foundation of the commercial and economic structure of the United States. There is no question as to whether or not we shall continue to trade with other nations; the only question is as to whether we shall recognize the vital importance of that trade to our national welfare and, where we can properly do so, foster and stimulate it to the best of our ability.

Our exports during the year 1932 dropped to a dismally low figure. Their total value was slightly more than \$1,600,-000,000. Yet can we call that negligible when we learn that the number of those employed in producing the goods we sold to other countries during the year is estimated at 2,000,000? It is further calculated, by the Secretary of Commerce, that in addition to these 2,000,000 workers, there were about 1,250,000 persons engaged in activities supplying the daily needs of those directly employed. These figures, I am informed, do not include the men and women engaged in the clerical and mercantile phases of the export business proper—in warehousing, ocean shipping, banking, insurance, and other related occupations.

A branch of activity which, even at the lowest ebb of a quarter of a century, gives jobs to upwards of three and one-quarter million Americans, in every section of the country, is very decidely a matter of national concern. Whatever the Federal Government can properly do to assist it is directly for the benefit of our people as a whole, not for any particular group interest. The broad range of activities that are affected by the sale of American goods in world markets may be indicated to some extent by citing the fact that last year, foreign countries purchased more than one-half of our entire cotton crop, 40 per cent of our leaf tobacco, 15 per cent of our wheat crop, 24 per cent of our lard production, 13 per cent of our lumber production, 11½ per cent of the automobiles we manufactured, and 30 per cent of our output of lubricating oils.

Mr. President, let us frankly face the fact that the volume of our exports during 1932 was far from satisfactory. But should we, therefore, as a nation, throw up our hands in despair and adopt a defeatist attitude of laissez-faire toward our foreign trade? Decidedly not! I believe that the enlightened foreign policies of the new administration will result in a direct and general stimulation of world commerce, in which we must be prepared to maintain our share. I am confident that the next few months will at least bring progress toward a clearing of the muddled situation created by the present uncertainty with respect to the war debts. This will prepare the way for genuinely constructive international cooperation at the forthcoming world monetary and economic conference. Before our world trade or that of any other nation can be restored to a healthy basis there must be a relaxation of the bonds of excessive tariffs, rigid import quotas, and exchange control restrictions that are now strangling the free movement of goods between nations. It is necessary that there be a real truce in the economic warfare that is now being carried on throughout the world. American cooperation will be essential, and it will be forthcoming.

But, in the meantime, with respect to world trade, we must face the situation as it is to-day, with the sale of American goods abroad attended by such handicaps as would be unheard of in normal times. It does not lessen our difficulties (though it may help us better to appreciate our position) to learn that other nations are as hard hit as we are. While, during the first nine months of 1932, the value of our export trade declined 35 per cent, as compared with the same period of the previous year, the exports of France dropped by 38 per cent; those of Germany by 39 per cent; Sweden, 42 per cent; Japan and Italy, 35 per cent; and the United Kingdom, by 33 per cent. In spite of all the barriers erected against American goods in foreign markets, the United States continues to lead the world in the value of its exports.

I have shown that foreign trade still is vital to us as a nation, notwithstanding the vast potentialities of our home market. It is proper, therefore, that the Federal Government should interest itself to a reasonable extent in its promotion, as the governments of other nations are interesting themselves in extending the foreign commerce of their own nationals.

Mr. President, I ask permission to insert in the Record at the close of my remarks an extract from an article from the Washington Star of February 12, concerning the current trade promotive activities of foreign governments.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection it is so ordered.

(See Exhibit A.)

Mr. FLETCHER. To hold our own as an exporting Nation during these trying days and to take advantage of the lifting of foreign barriers against our goods in the days to come, when there has been time to permit of our receiving the benefits of Mr. Roosevelt's policies of enlightened and far-sighted international cooperation, we, as a Nation, have a duty toward American exporters. This obligation, as far as the Federal Government is concerned, is being amply fulfilled through the operation of our Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce.

That bureau's corps of commercial attachés and trade commissioners throughout the world has a record of achievement far out of proportion to the small number of its personnel and their cost to the Government. These men devote themselves exclusively to one great mission—the promotion of the interests of American business abroad. Even our largest industrial organizations, with the best possible facilities for conducting their foreign trade, are found among the firms that have called upon the Foreign Commerce Service to solve their problems. On the other hand, no American firm is too small to enlist the conscientious and devoted efforts of those representatives in introducing their goods into foreign markets.

The "little fellows" in the export world have always relied much upon this bureau of our Government. In the most prosperous times, they can not afford to maintain foreign representatives of their own, or even to send out occasional travelers to introduce their goods. But, it may be asked, is there any good reason why a Government agency should concern itself with promoting the export trade of these comparatively small and perhaps inexperienced producers and exporters of American goods?

Mr. President, the answer to this question is emphatically in the affirmative. Our total export trade, normally running into the billions of dollars is, as we have seen, a matter of very real concern to every man and woman in the United States. But that total is not a matter of million-dollar orders. It is rather the sum of a countless number of individual transactions, both large and small. The export sales of every one of the "little fellows" are an important and integral part of the total. The builder of a house realizes that it is not only the great ornamental pillars of the façade to which he must devote his attention. No; the pillars may be an important part of the structure, but still more essential is the proper laying of each one of the thousands of little bricks that comprise the walls.

The thousands of sales, seemingly insignificant in themselves, of the great variety of American products, that are making a place for themselves in the markets of the world, are the bricks that make the walls of our great export structure; and let me emphasize again that the value of that structure, in which the whole American people has a direct or indirect share, was well over a billion and one-half dollars, even during so disastrous a year as 1932.

No one who has investigated the results of the tradepromotion work of the foreign commerce service can fail to be impressed with its effectiveness. Lest, however, the question may occur to any of you as to whether these men are finding enough to do during these days of deflated world trade, I think it worth stating that their work has actually increased, even as business has declined. Nowadays every sale abroad represents a solution of intricate special problems, due to the complicated tariff and quota systems of foreign countries and the difficulty of obtaining payment on account of drastic restrictions on foreign exchange. Let me give just one case to illustrate this point:

A southern European country, with rigid exchange control in effect, adopted the practice of authorizing importing firms, in rotation, to purchase foreign exchange to be applied to partial payments on goods purchased from abroad. In practice there was found to be a strong temptation on the part of local firms to apply this exchange to new purchases, instead of remitting it in every case to the foreign exporters for whom it was intended. In order to prevent losses to American firms through this sort of evasion, our commercial attaché in that country, on his own initiative, arranged with the central banking authorities to be notified whenever a local firm was authorized to purchase exchange for the purpose of applying it against its outstanding account with an American company.

In every case the attaché, on receipt of this notification, informs both the debtor and creditor that the exchange has been allotted. Realizing that the American official is aware that he is in a position to make the remittance, the European firm does not find it so easy to apply the funds to other purposes. This is especially true when, if the funds are not properly forwarded in due time, it hears from its American creditor—thanks to the warning of the commercial attaché.

As a result of this activity on the part of one alert commerce representative, some 120 creditor firms in the United States have been informed of authorizations to their debtors for the purchase of exchange. The total value of the collections effected already through the commercial attaché's foresight has been more than \$19,000, representing only initial installments. He is continuing the practice as exchange is allotted for the payment of subsequent installments.

This is one example out of hundreds that might be selected of special services, to meet special conditions, performed by the bureau's foreign representatives. Their work, however, is not confined to cooperating in this less agreeable phase of foreign trading. They are still engaged very directly in obtaining actual new business for American companies. I may mention an order for \$1,000,000 worth of a chemical product obtained recently by an American firm which has enthusiastically gone on record to the effect that the business was made possible only through the assistance of this Federal bureau and its foreign representatives; a sale of \$500,000 worth of American aircraft; orders abroad for \$3,000,000 worth of American fruit; a \$500,000 foreign connection for an American tobacco exporter.

The Foreign Commerce Service is effective. If anyone questions that, he need not turn to me for testimony; let him rather consult the export interests of the United States. When the House committee was considering this bureau's appropriation there appeared before it a delegation representing the whole community of American business concerned with foreign trade. This group urged consideration of its—

Unanimous opinion that further reduction (in the appropriation) would effect no savings whatever to the American people, but would definitely hamper such efforts to promote trade recovery as

we feel this bureau is and has been making in tangible and practical ways generally known and accepted by the community of American exporters,

Let me quote further from a statement embodying the sentiments of American export interests, inserted in the record by the president of the National Foreign Trade Council:

The foreign trade promotive service of the Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce is an example, probably unique, of the development of a governmental agency at the direct instance of those that it was designed to serve. Its present organization represents the application of the ideas of American business itself, not the theories of Government officials as to what it ought to do for the export trader. Thus its activities are all directed along practical lines and represent work that could not be performed as well by any other agency, public or private.

Mr. President, no governmental activity could be further removed from the considerations of party politics than is the work of the Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce. Yet, as a Democrat, I can not help but take pride that the foreign trade promotive work of the bureau had its inception under an able Democratic Secretary of Commerce, William C. Redfield, during the first administration of President Wilson. In 1914 Secretary Redfield recommended in his annual report that a commercial attaché service, under the direction of the Department of Commerce, be established. Congress established funds for the new service in the appropriation bill for the fiscal year 1914–15.

It was under Secretary Redfield, too, that the district office service of the bureau was created—that admirable system providing for points of contact in commercial centers throughout the United States between business men and the bureau. Since then the staff of the bureau has been increased to meet the growing demands of business itself for the new service. But the basic organization remains in the form in which it was conceived by Mr. Redfield-specialists operating abroad to stimulate the purchase of American goods and to provide current up-to-theminute reports for the benefit of American exporters, the Washington organization as a clearing house, and to complete the circut, the district offices ready to give immediate counsel to the business men of their communities, based on the reports from abroad and the data centralized in the divisions of the Commerce Department in Washington.

Throughout its growth the Foreign Commerce Service has been closely responsive to the requirements of business. Its establishment and subsequent development were in no sense in competition with the commercial activities of the Consular Service of the Department of State. For more than 100 years American consuls were charged, as a part of their many duties, with the promotion of American foreign trade. They made a conscientious effort to perform the service that was desired of them, and succeeded under the circumstances better than might have been expected.

But in recent years our exporters' demands for information on foreign markets and for actual assistance in selling goods abroad have increased so rapidly that a corps of commercial specialists in trading centers abroad had to be created to meet the new needs of American business.

The consular officers were not crowded out of any commercial work; there was, and there still is, more than enough to go around. In fact, under the guidance of commercial attachés and trade commissioners in the strategic cities of the world, consular reporting and promotive activity has shown marked improvement in quality. Our consular officers are charged with more than a dozen major functions, quite unrelated to commercial work. Experience has shown that they actually welcome the cooperation, in the field, of representatives of that department to which, as provided by law, their own commercial reports are submitted.

Mr. President, I am aware that there is an impression in certain quarters that the work of the Foreign Commerce Service duplicates certain activities of the Consular Service. If that were true, the facts should be known to the Secretary of Commerce, and certainly would be to the Secretary of State. It was with this in mind that the late

Senator Jones, as chairman of the Senate Committee on Appropriations, addressed an inquiry on April 1, 1932, to the heads of both departments, asking specifically whether such duplication existed.

Mr. Robert P. Lamont, at that time Secretary of Commerce, replied on April 7 that—

There is no longer any essential duplication in the functions of the two services.

Secretary Stimson wrote to Senator Jones on the same date that—

The activities of consular officers are complementary to those of the commercial attachés and trade commissioners of the Department of Commerce. In its general policy—

He continued-

the Department of State is guided by the wishes of the Department of Commerce as to the type of information desired in trade-promotion work * * *.

In that same communication, the Secretary of State mentioned a recently concluded coordination agreement between the two departments which, he stated—

effectively disposes of any question of duplication between the two departments.

The coordination agreement, to which the Secretary referred, recognizes the principle that—

the commercial attaché, acting under the instructions of the Secretary of Commerce, will obviously be in a position to indicate the needs of his department for trade promotional information, and he will accordingly give such guidance and assistance to the supervising consul general as may be required in order to obtain the best results in the most efficient and economical manner.

Under this plan, the American exporter now has the benefit of the closest and most efficient cooperation between the representatives of both departments abroad.

Mr. President, if a member of one's family lies gravely ill, and one calls in a specialist to supplement the efforts of the family physician, we do not accuse the specialist of duplicating the functions of the general practitioner, nor do we charge him with usurping the functions of another. The two physicians work together harmoniously, the qualifications of one supplementing those of the other. Let us think of the consul as the family physician, ready to protect American interests in a thousand ways, in whichever quarter they may be threatened. But let us grant, too, that in the complicated problems of American foreign trade to-day, the consul himself has need of the guidance and cooperation of the specialist, the trained foreign representative of the United States Department of Commerce.

Ехнівіт А

[From the Washington Star, February 12, 1933]
NATIONS NOW MOVING TO TAKE AMERICAN TRADE
By Harden Colfax

Reports coming to the Government from its commercial representatives abroad make it evident that the principal commercial nations of the Old World are now redoubling their efforts to secure foreign trade. They are, apparently, beginning to believe that the time is ripe to capture markets formerly served by Americans, because the American business man no longer concerns himself very much over trade with the world outside his own country.

Old World newspapers have been impressed by laments on this side over the decline in American foreign trade, our preoccupation with domestic business troubles, our efforts to check the flood of imports from countries with depreciated currencies, reduction in appropriations, and rumors that the incoming administration contemplates abolishing the commercial attaché service of the United States.

Most of the commercial nations have intensified their efforts during the past few months, not only to develop existing sales opportunities but to cooperate extensively with their own business men in long-range export campaigns. American commercial attachés, trade commissioners, and consuls abroad are reporting to Washington on these campaigns.

They tell, for instance, of the ambitious campaign of the Netherlands Bureau of Commerce and Industry, which the Government at The Hague has just authorized to double its allotment for salary and to increase its personnel by more than 30 per cent. It is also authorized to extend its commercial attaché service all over the world. Germany has begun to grant cheap credit facilities and to guarantee exports, particularly to Russia.

The Government of Canada is having considerable success in its

The Government of Canada is having considerable success in its newspaper advertising campaign to interest Canadian firms in foreign sales. Reporting that quite a number of firms in the Dominion have already developed an "export consciousness," the department of trade and commerce is spending considerable sums

on newspaper, poster, and train and steamboat advertising to preach the desirability of shipping goods abroad. One of these announcements declares that the supreme duty of every producer and every business man in Canada is to "export as much as possible whenever and wherever possible." Canada now has 34 trade commissioners in the world's greatest business centers. It is proposed to increase this number.

posed to increase this number.

The United Kingdom, which already spends five times as much per capita for the promotion of foreign trade as does the United States, has reduced its appropriation for foreign-trade promotion by less than 1 per cent. The Parliament of Australia is planning to create a permanent foreign trade promoting agency. Patterned after the foreign service of our own Department of Commerce, it would have cooperating offices in the capitals of the different states of the commonwealth. The plan includes also the appointment of prominent official Australian trade commissioners to China, Japan, the Netherland East Indies, British Malaya, and the Philippines.

Philippines.

Italy is reported to be committed to a policy of foreign-trade promotion and the trade press is "clamoring for increased appropriations for this purpose." Japan is pushing her governmental machinery and, although the details are not yet known, it is understood that the Government at Tokyo, in order to take full advantage of the present favorable position of Japanese wares because of the fall of the yen, proposes the appointment of a number of new trade commissioners all over the world.

France is contemplating opening additional foreign trade pro-

France is contemplating opening additional foreign trade promotion offices—one in the United States, at Chicago; another in China, at Nanking; and another at Singapore. Spain, which now maintains 20 foreign offices, will double that number shortly. Brazil maintains seven commercial attachés abroad and will soon appoint four others. Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, Norway, Denmark, Mexico, and Chile all have increased or will increase their appropriations for foreign trade promotion.

FEDERAL AID FOR UNEMPLOYMENT RELIEF

Mr. BANKHEAD obtained the floor.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BARBOUR in the chair). The clerk will call the roll.

The Chief Clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Ashurst	Dickinson	La Follette	Shipstead
Austin	Dill	Lewis	Shortridge
Bailey	Fess	Logan	Smith
Bankhead	Fletcher	McGill	Smoot
Barbour	Frazier	McKellar	Steiwer
Barkley	George	McNary	Stephens
Bingham	Glass	Metcalf	Swanson
Black	Glenn	Moses	Thomas, Idaho
Blaine	Goldsborough	Neely	Thomas, Okla.
Borah	Gore	Norbeck	Townsend
Bratton	Grammer	Norris	Trammell
Brookhart	Harrison	Nye	Tydings
Bulkley	Hastings	Oddie	Vandenberg
Bulow	Hatfield	Patterson	Wagner
Byrnes	Hayden	Pittman	Walcott
Capper	Hebert	Reed	Walsh, Mass.
Caraway	Hull	Reynolds	Walsh, Mont.
Clark	Johnson	Robinson, Ark.	Watson
Coolidge	Kean	Robinson, Ind.	White
Costigan	Kendrick	Russell	
Couzens	Keyes	Schuyler	
Donle	King	Shannard	

The VICE PRESIDENT. Eighty-five Senators have answered to their names. A quorum is present. The amendment reported by the committee in the nature of a substitute is open to amendment.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from New York?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I yield.

Mr. WAGNER. I desire to offer an amendment in the nature of a substitute, and ask that it may be pending.

Mr. Wagner's amendment was, to strike out all after the enacting clause of the bill and insert:

That subsection (a) of section 1 of the emergency relief and construction act of 1932 is hereby amended by striking out "\$300,000,000" wherever it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "\$600,000,000."

SEC. 2. (a) The first sentence of subsection (b) of section 1 of such act is amended by inserting before the words "Puerto Rico" the words "the District of Columbia,".

(b) The last sentence of subsection (b) of section 1 of such act is amended to read as follows: "before any amount is paid under this section to the Commissioners of the District of Columbia, or to the Governor of Puerto Rico or of the Territory of Alaska, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, or the Territory of Alaska shall enter into an agreement with the corporation for the repayment of such amount with interest thereon as herein provided, in such installments and upon such terms and conditions as may be agreed upon."

SEC. 3. (a) The first sentence of subsection (c) of section 1 of such act is amended to read as follows:

"The governor of any State or Territory may from time to time make application for funds under this section, and in each application so made shall certify the necessity for such funds to supplement the relief resources of the State or Territory, the steps taken by the State or Territory, its political subdivisions, and private agencies, to meet the relief needs of the State or Territory, and the purposes for which the funds requested upon this section will be used."

(b) Subsection (c) of section 1 of such act is further amended

(b) Subsection (c) of section 1 of such act is further amended by adding at the end thereof the following new sentence: "No part of the amounts paid to the governor of a State or Territory under this section shall be used for the payment of administrative expenses incurred in furnishing relief and work relief under this section."

Sec. 4. Subsection (f) of rection 1.

SEC. 4. Subsection (f) of section 1 of such act is amended to

read as follows:

"(f) As used in this section the term 'Territory' means Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia; and the term 'governor' shall include the Commissioners of the District of Columbia."

SEC. 5. Section 1 of such act is further amended by adding at

SEC. 5. Section 1 of such act is further amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(g) For the purposes of this act, the provisions of section 13 of the act entitled 'An act providing a permanent form of government for the District of Columbia,' approved June 11, 1878 (D. C. Code, title 20, ch. 4, sec. 622) (relating to increasing the indebtedness of the District of Columbia), are hereby declared inoperative." inoperative.

(D. C. Code, title 20, ch. 4, sec. 622) (relating to increasing the indebtedness of the District of Columbia), are hereby declared inoperative."

Szc. 6. Such act is further amended by adding after section 1 thereof the following new section:

"Szc. 2. (a) The Reconstruction Finance Corporation is further authorized and empowered to make available out of funds of the corporation the sum of \$15,000,000, under the terms and conditions hereinatter set forth, to the several States and Territories to be used in furnishing relief and work relief to unemployed and needy transients, and to aid in their rehabilitation in training and work camps, or otherwise. Such sum shall be available for payment to the several States and Territories for the purposes of this section, upon application therefor by them through their governors (or, in the case of the District of Columbia, through their governors (or, in the case of the District of Columbia, the Congress) may designate, and upon approval of such applications as hereinafter provided.

"(b) Any State or Territory which desires to receive funds under this section shall set forth in its application the need for such funds, the plan by which it proposes to administer such funds, the plan by which it proposes to administer such funds for the relief and rehabilitation of transients, and such other information with respect to its transient problems as the corporation of any such application in whole or in part, it shall make an estimate of the amount needed by the applicant for the purposes of this section for a reasonable period in advance. The amount so estimated shall be immediately paid by the corporation to the proper State or Territoral officials. Each amount so paid shall be considered as an outright grant to the State or Territory receiving the same, but the officials of the State or Territory receiving the same, but the officials of the State or Territory weak and as follows:

"(c) As used in this section the term 'Territory' means Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and as fol

marketing to aid in financing projects undertaken by such corporations which are self-liquidating in character; "
Sec. 9. Subsection (a) of section 201 of such act is further amended by striking out "(other than by taxation)."
Sec. 10. Subsections (c) and (d) of section 201 of such act are amended to read as follows:

"(c) In order that the surpluses of agricultural commodities and livestock (including products manufactured directly therefrom) may not have a depressing effect upon current prices of such commodities and products, the corporation is authorized and directed to make loans, in such amounts as may in its judgment be necessary, for the purpose of financing sales of such surpluses in the markets of foreign countries in which such sales can not be financed in the normal course of commerce; but no such sales shall be financed by the corporation if, in its judgment, such sales will affect adversely the world markets for such commodities and products: Provided, however, That no such loan shall be made to finance the sale in the markets of foreign countries of cotton owned by the Federal Farm Board or the Cotton tries of cotton owned by the Federal Farm Board or the Cotton Stabilization Corporation.

shall be made to finance the sale in the markets of foreign countries of cotton owned by the Federal Farm Board or the Cotton Stabilization Corporation.

"(d) The Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized and empowered to make loans to bona fide institutions, organized under the laws of any State or of the United States and having resources adequate for their undertakings, for the purpose of enabling them to finance the carrying and orderly marketing of agricultural commodities and livestock produced in the United States, and the products manufactured directly therefrom."

SEC. 11. Subsection (f) of section 201 of such act is amended by striking out the period at the end thereof and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon and the following: "but in determining the adequacy of security offered by a borrower applying under subsection (a) of this section the board may, in its discretion, accept as adequate security under this section, a lien on, or other satisfactory assurances of the application of, so much of the revenues from the project as may be required to meet interest payments during the period of the loan and assure refunding within a reasonable period: Provided further, That each loan made under paragraphs (1) to (5) of subsection (a) of this section after this subsection, as amended, takes effect, shall bear interest at a rate not exceeding one-half of 1 per cent more than the rate of interest established for the last issue of bonds of the United States preceding the making of such loan."

SEC. 12. The second proviso of subsection (g) of section 201 of such act is amended by striking out "(1) or (5)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(1), (2), or (5)."

SEC. 13. Subsection (h) of section 201 of such act is hereby amended to read as follows:

"(h) The corporation may make loans under this section at any time prior to January 23, 1934: Provided, That nothing herein shall prevent the corporation for under the organization of such act is further amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subse

SEC. 15. Paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of section 301 of such act is amended by striking out "July 1, 1933" and inserting in

lieu thereof "January 1, 1934."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill to amend the emergency relief and construction act of 1932."

Mr. BANKHEAD rose.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The original amendment is first open to amendment. Has the Senator from Alabama an amendment that he desires to offer to the committee amendment?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Yes.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator will send it to the

Mr. BANKHEAD. It is on the desk.

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. Mr. President, a parliamentary inquiry. What is the pending question?

The VICE PRESIDENT. The committee amendment as amended is open to amendment.

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. Very well. The first amendment of the Senator from New York has been agreed to?

The VICE PRESIDENT. That has been agreed to.

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. The Senator from New York has now offered a substitute for the committee amendment?

The VICE PRESIDENT. He has offered a substitute for the committee amendment as amended, but before that may be voted upon the amendment of the committee itself as amended is open to further amendment.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. President, I submitted the amendment yesterday. It has been printed and is on the desk.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let the amendment to the amendment be read.

The CHIEF CLERK. The Senator from Alabama [Mr. BANKHEAD] offers an amendment proposing to strike out section 2 and in lieu thereof to insert:

section 2 and in lieu thereof to insert:

Sec. 2. The Secretary of the Treasury shall cause to be engraved and printed currency of the United States in the form of stamped money certificates. Said certificates shall be in the denomination of \$1 each, and the issue shall be limited to \$1,000,000,000. Said certificates shall be of a suitable size to provide space on the backs thereof for affixing 52 postage stamps. The backs of said certificates shall be prepared in such manner as to indicate clearly the proper place for affixing each stamp contemplated herein, to the end that on the second Wednesday after the issuance of said certificates from the Treasury the first stamp shall be affixed, and thereafter on each Wednesday until a total of 52 stamps shall be affixed; and said certificates in the spaces designated for affixing said stamps shall set forth the day of the month and year when each such stamp shall be affixed, as for example:

"On April 5, 1933, affix 2-cent stamp here."

The face of said certificates shall set forth substantially the

face of said certificates shall set forth substantially the

following:
"This certificate is legal tender for \$1 for payment of all debts and dues, public and private, customs, duties, and taxes: Pro-vided, That on the date of its transfer there shall be affixed 2-cent postage stamps for all dates prior to such date of transfer, as set forth in the schedule on the back hereof. When fifty-two 2-cent postage stamps shall have been affixed this certificate shall be redeemable at any post office for \$1 lawful money of the United States.

(a) The Secretary of the Treasury is authorized in his discretion to issue the certificates directed to be issued hereunder in monthly or semimonthly installments, all of like tenor and effect except that the schedule for the affixing of the stamps on the back of said certificate shall bear dates for the affixing of stamps appropriate to the date of the issue of each such installment of

(b) When such certificates appropriately stamped in full shall be presented to the Secretary of the Treasury for redemption he shall certify to the Postmaster General from time to time the amount of certificates so presented for redemption, and the Postmaster General shall thereupon pay to the Secretary of the Treasury out of the funds arising from the sale of stamps the sum of one dollar for each such certificate so redeemed, whereupon said certificates shall be destroyed.

(c) Prior to the issuance of the first installment of certificates

certificates shall be destroyed.

(c) Prior to the issuance of the first installment of certificates hereunder the Secretary of the Treasury is directed, by posters to be hung in post offices and other public places, and by advertising in newspapers and magazines, to advise the public of the contemplated issue of these certificates, with appropriate directions to the public with reference to the affixing of stamps, the legal tender quality of the certificates, their redemption feature, and all such similar information. There is hereby appropriated for the use of the Secretary of the Treasury to defray the cost of such advertising the sum of \$100,000.

(d) When such certificates shall have been issued by the Secretary

(d) When such certificates shall have been issued by the Secretary of the Treasury the person holding the same on and after 12.01 o'clock antemeridian of the first Wednesday set forth in the schedule on the back of said certificates, shall affix in the schedule on the back of said certificates, shall affix in the space therein provided a 2-cent postage stamp of the United States. Prior to such time said certificates in the hands of all holders shall be legal tender for the payment of all debts for the sum of \$1. After affixing the first stamp said certificate shall be legal tender as aforesaid for the payment of all debts until the following Wednesday when another 2-cent postage stamp of the United States shall be affixed by the person holding the same prior to 12.01 o'clock antemeridian of such Wednesday, and thereafter for 50 consecutive additional Wednesdays like postage stamps shall be affixed by the holders. At all times when there shall be affixed all such postage stamps as are required to be affixed on the back of affixed by the holders. At all times when there shall be affixed all such postage stamps as are required to be affixed on the back of such certificates prior to the date of transfer, such certificates shall be legal tender as aforesaid for the sum of \$1. When fifty-two 2-cent stamps shall have been affixed on the back thereof the holder may present the same to any post office in the United States for redemption, and the same shall be redeemed by such post office in any present lawful money of the United States. All post offices in the United States are hereby charged with the duty of making such redemption and of forwarding such certificates for cancellation to the Secretary of the Treasury.

(e) With respect to such certificates as shall become unfit.

(e) With respect to such certificates as shall become unfit,

(e) With respect to such certificates as shall become unfit, through use, for further circulation, the Secretary of the Treasury and the Postmaster General are authorized and directed to provide for the exchange of such worn-out certificates for new certificates, and to make all regulations required for that purpose.

(f) It is declared to be against the public policy of the United States to provide in any contract executed subsequent to the date of this act that the certificates to be issued under this act, or any like issue, shall not be received in the discharge of such contract, and all such provisions in such contracts are hereby declared null and void.

(g) Said certificates, when accepted by the Government, shall be promptly reissued by any department or agency of the Government receiving the same.

(h) In transactions of less than \$1 such certificates are not legal tender unless stamped by the person tendering the same for one additional week after tender.

(1) Banks of deposit receiving such certificates as deposits may charge 2 cents for each certificate so deposited as a service charge.

(j) The Secretary of the Treasury and the Postmaster General

are authorized to promulgate regulations for carrying out the provisions of this act.

(k) If and when the wholesale commodity price level of all commodities, included by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in computing index numbers of wholesale prices, shall equal 80 per cent of the average index number for the year 1926, then, anything to the contrary herein notwithstanding, the Secretary of the Treasury is directed to discontinue the issuance of certificates hereunder, and such certificates as are then outstanding shall be retired as the same are presented for redemption or replacement of worn-out certificates.

(1) Five hundred million dollars of the amount made available under section 2 of this act shall be apportioned among the States on the basis of population according to the fifteenth decennial census. This amount is made available as herein provided in addition to the amount made available to the States under section 4 (a) of this act. The amount so apportioned to the States shall be delivered to the governor of the State applying for the apportionment made to his State, upon application being made therefor by the governor. The amount apportioned to a State shall be administered within the State under rules and regulations adopted by the governor thereof and through such agencies as he may establish. The amount apportioned to a State may be by the governor thereof apportioned to the counties, and/or to the municipalities of said State, and may be used in construction work or for emergency relief as defined in section 11 of this act.

On page 14, line 6, after the word "act," strike out the period, insert a comma, and add "except as herein otherwise provided."

On page 15, line 21, strike out "forty," and insert in lieu thereof "twenty." (1) Five hundred million dollars of the amount made available

"twenty."

On page 16, line 8, strike out the words "The balance of the amount made available under section 2 (a) of this act" and insert in lieu thereof the following: "Thirty per cent of the amount made available under section 2 of this act."

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. President, the Bible says, "The love of money is the root of all evil." I think that statement may safely be paraphrased at this time by saying that a lack of money is the cause of most of our present troubles. We hear at all times nowadays discussion of the money question. We know the very great and difficult problems that are confronting our country, growing out of our situation with reference to money. Bank credits since 1929 have contracted in the neighborhood of \$13,000,000,-000, and are daily growing smaller and smaller. Nearly every day information comes to us about the condition of banks in the various sections of the country. It is not my purpose, Mr. President, to make any statement here that will tend in any way to aggravate the situation or to increase the state of alarm that so widely exists throughout the country, but we do know, without going into detail, the money condition in this country.

We have now a theoretical circulation of as much as or more money outstanding from the Treasury than we had in 1929; but Mr. President, we are confronted with the unfortunate situation that \$300,000,000 of that circulation, as estimated by the Federal Reserve Board, is in foreign countries; that \$100,000,000 of it has been lost; and that more than \$2,000,000,000, in my opinion, is being hoarded; so that we are really without a sufficient circulating medium in actual use.

So far as the hoarded money is concerned, it might as well be idle in the vaults of the Treasury and not be outstanding. We not only have the tremendous contraction of bank deposits, as has been indicated, but a large proportion of the deposits of the banks are also hoarded, hoarded by the banks themselves as well as by the holders of savings certificates and by even the holders of checking deposits. So there is not in actual use a sufficient quantity of money to conduct the business of the country, and, as a result of the constantly shrinking volume of currency in actual use, our banks are getting weaker and weaker every day: the prices of commodities continue to fall, and business is being further contracted.

We hear talk all around about the necessity of some form of inflation. We shall have to come to that question and deal with it sooner or later, Mr. President. We shall either have to take some positive, affirmative action on that subject, or decide that we will go through the painful, distressing, heart-breaking process of complete liquidation in this country.

If we are going to liquidate, why not let liquidation go on now and take its regular course? If we are going to let the farmers lose their farms, if we are going to let the town people lose their homes, if we are going to let bank after bank continue to fail, if liquidation is to be the ultimate result, why not abolish the Reconstruction Finance Corporation? Why not quit pouring money into various institutions like pouring water into a rat hole? Why further involve the credit of the Nation and further burden the taxpayers of this country if it is merely to be used as a braking process to let the liquidation take place slower and slower?

Mr. President, if there is to be liquidation, I want to point out to the gentlemen who are coming here and telling us to balance the Budget and to save the gold standard—and I favor both if it is possible to do so—that if liquidation goes to its ultimate end, not only will the farmers and the small business men in this country be liquidated, but inevitably the cities, the States, the counties, and the Government itself will be liquidated; and the time will come when it will be impossible to collect enough taxes from the taxpayers of this country, after having lost their property, to pay the interest or the sinking fund upon the bonds of our cities, counties, States, and the United States.

That is exactly what we are heading for, unless, through some intervention, through some plan or measure which might be devised by Congress or by some international action, there comes about a restoration of business, an increase in commodity prices, a renewal of the employment of those now upon the unemployed list.

I am wondering if the Senate and the Congress are without sufficient vision, without sufficient courage, without sufficient boldness and daring, to intervene and take some action that will give the people of this country at least new hope. They are patient. Nobody can complain of the attitude of the American people under prevailing conditions, but they are waiting upon this Congress, Mr. President; and let me point out that in all great stirrings of the hearts and the souls of a people some leader, somewhere, somehow, has risen up to lead that feeling and to bring about action in accordance with a concerted and combined will of action by the people.

We, of course, are now, and millions of people in this country are to-day, looking forward with confidence to the leadership of Governor Roosevelt when he goes into the presidential chair. They are hopeful that under his leadership something will be done to stay these economic forces that are bearing down with unbearable weight upon the backs of the people of America.

Mr. President, in collaboration with Congressman Pettengill, of Indiana, I have put in form and offered here as an amendment the plan sponsored by Prof. Irving Fisher for the issuance of stamped scrip money. The standing of Professor Fisher as an economist is well known throughout this country. He has given to this subject very great thought, and he looks upon the measure as the most hopeful one that is available for temporary relief, for bringing about a revival in business, and as involving less evil consequences than any other plan that has been proposed.

Under this amendment which is offered, in the first place, provision is made for the issuance of \$1,000,000,000 of \$1 bills in the form of stamped money or stamped scrip, to be used in the way of relief as provided in the Costigan-La Follette bill. It is proposed to substitute this \$1,000,000,000 of stamped money in lieu of the \$500,000,000 which this bill provides for taking out of the Federal Treasury. It will therefore appear that with the substitution of this plan, in the first place, there is no drain upon the Federal Treasury in the matter of providing the much-needed emergency unemployment relief.

Instead of its tending in any way to unbalance the Budget by reason of this appropriation, it operates to create a profit for the Treasury of around \$40,000,000.

In the second place, let me say to those who so ardently worship the gold standard, those who shake either with

anger or with some other form of emotion whenever anything is suggested or proposed that may in the slightest way imperil the gold standard, that the money proposed to be issued under this plan calls for no gold coverage, is not redeemable in gold, has no bearing upon the gold standard, and in no way imperils that system of money which is so vigorously defended, whenever any suggestion is made that imperils it, by those who believe in that gold formula as some of us do in the Bible.

Mr. DAVIS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?
The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BARBOUR in the chair).
Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from Pennsylvania?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I yield.

Mr. DAVIS. If I understand the Senator's proposal, the application of 2-cent stamps on this sort of money constitutes a sort of inverted sales tax, does it not? That is, the holders apply 2-cent stamps on it. Anyone that has to do with the handling of this money, when he passes a dollar bill, places a 2-cent stamp on it; and, as I have already said, it is a sort of inverted sales tax.

Mr. BANKHEAD. That is true.

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. Mr. President, may I ask the Senator a question?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Yes.

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. Has the amendment which the Senator proposes been considered by any standing committee of the Senate?

Mr. BANKHEAD. It has not. I will say to the Senator with perfect frankness that I have no illusions about the disposition to be made of this amendment. I know how reluctant this body is—and it may be right; I am not saying that in a critical way—to embark upon any plan of government, especially as it applies to our currency system, which is not approved by the bankers of the country. I know the resistance that any proposal encounters in this body when reference is made to a system that the bankers of this country claim they have the right to control. But let me proceed. Mr. President.

Mr. SHIPSTEAD. Mr. President, will the Senator yield before he proceeds?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I shall be glad to yield.

Mr. SHIPSTEAD. The Senator, of course, is aware of the fact that what he is now saying is blasphemy to the worshipers of the golden calf.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Yes. I think the Senator realizes that from the way I have talked.

It is not my purpose to assail the gold standard. I hope it may be maintained. I hope that consistently with humanity it may be maintained; but, Mr. President, I am more concerned in saving our social fabric. I am more concerned in saving our representative form of government. I am more concerned in preserving respect for the law and obedience to the law. I am more concerned in avoiding resistance by force to our Government than I am in maintaining a standard which, since it first was used, has acted like a drunken man. It has staggered, it has stumbled, it has fallen from time to time, and has proven itself to be, instead of a measure of value, nothing but a value of weight.

But, passing that, the gold standard is not involved here. This provision requires, as brought out by the Senator from Pennsylvania [Mr. Davis], that a 2-cent stamp shall be placed upon a dollar bill every Wednesday for 52 consecutive weeks. The certificate is made by this measure a legal tender for the payment of all public and private debts, taxes, collections, customs, and dues. There is no question, under that provision, about the money moving in circulation. The tax placed upon it—the stamp required to be put upon it—is a tax upon hoarding. The money will not go into the banks to stay there, as money is going now, where depositors have sufficient confidence in the banks. It will not go into the safety-deposit boxes and socks and other hiding places, where more than \$2,000,000,000 has gone, and more is going every day.

The requirement for the placing of a 2-cent stamp every week upon that dollar as a tax guarantees that the dollar

will move, when it comes into anyone's hands, before the | following Wednesday. It is not a drain upon the Treasury, as I have indicated. It is self-liquidating money. It does not cost the taxpayer anything to redeem it. It does not ask for gold back of it to sustain it. When the 52 stamps are placed on the dollar the Government has in its possession, through the sale of those postage stamps, \$1.04 in money with which to redeem the \$1 bill.

Mr. President, I have heard the statement made frequently that the trouble is not the lack of a sufficient circulating currency. I have dealt with that from one standpoint. It is said that the trouble is a lack of velocity in circulation of the currency, and that is true. Nobody doubts that there is a paralysis in the matter of circulation. The velocity has almost disappeared. It is contended that that lack of velocity in the circulation of money is the main trouble. Then I point out to those interested in the subject that if that is the sore spot in our present currency system here is a plan which would absolutely remove and eliminate that objection and that difficulty.

I am proposing the issuance of money which, when it gets into circulation, amounting to a billion dollars, will turn over two or three times every week, and pass from hand to hand in the purchase of goods, in the payment of debts, in the payment of taxes, in the payment of pay rolls, in the payment for public works as it gets out in the beginning, so that it may turn over five or more times a week, and if it turns over only three times a week, that means \$3,000,000,000 a week.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield

Mr. BANKHEAD. With pleasure.

Mr. REYNOLDS. As I understand the matter, the Senator proposes to have the Government issue a billion dollars? Mr. BANKHEAD. Yes.

Mr. REYNOLDS. And that means 1,000,000,000 dollar certificates?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Yes; \$1 bills. Mr. REYNOLDS. Let us suppose that I am indebted to John Jones in the sum of \$500, and am anxious to make liquidation of that amount. I believe that under the Senator's plan I go to the post office and secure there 500 different money certificates, for which I pay \$10, by way of making purchase of five hundred 2-cent stamps, and then I place one of those 2-cent stamps upon each of the 500 certificates. Is that true?

Mr. BANKHEAD. That is correct, so far as the stamps are concerned.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Then with the 500 money certificates in my hand, I proceed immediately to the home of John Jones, to whom I am indebted in the sum of \$500, and I then and there lay down these 500 certificates. How are we to compel John Jones to accept, as payment of a \$500 debt, that which I have purchased for only \$10?

Mr. BANKHEAD. The Senator will not have gotten it for \$10.

Mr. REYNOLDS. I paid 2 cents for each of the stamps. Mr. BANKHEAD. But the Senator paid to get the money,

besides the stamps.

Mr. REYNOLDS. What, in addition?

Mr. BANKHEAD. How did the Senator get the money? Mr. REYNOLDS. As I understand it, under the Senator's measure I secure these money certificates at the post office.

Mr. BANKHEAD. No. That is where the Senator is in

Mr. REYNOLDS. I should like to have the Senator advise me.

Mr. BANKHEAD. The plan contemplates the use of half of this money and its distribution. I will say, under the relief plans provided in the Costigan-La Follette bill for emergency unemployment relief, for giving employment in any form of public works that are available, in the purchase of goods, food, clothing, and other necessary supplies. In other words, half of it is to go out in distribution through exactly the same channels, for exactly the same purposes, through exactly the same agencies, as provided

in the Costigan-La Follette bill for the distribution of the \$500,000,000 provided in that measure.

The other half is to be made available to the governors of the respective States on the basis of population, the allotment of a State to be turned over to the governor upon his application for use by him, either by allotment to counties or municipalities for public works construction, such as roads, sewerage, and matters of that kind, or for distribution otherwise as provided in the Costigan-La Follette bill; the object all the way through being, of course, that the money is to be used as far as possible to give employment, so that it can be earned as payment for services rendered.

Therefore if my friend the Senator from North Carolina had 500 of these bills, it would have been necessary for him to have acquired them, as he is not upon the unemployment list-and I know he is employed dealing with office hunters from his home. If he were on the unemployed list. he would not have as many as 500 dollar bills, and whatever number he did have, he would get them in a legitimate way, and not primarily as a gift. But when they pass on out through this unemployment relief program, when they get into the hands either of the merchants who sell supplies for the unemployed, or when they get into the hands of laborers who do work upon public-works programs which are contemplated here, into whosoever hands they get, they will go to the stores in the purchase of goods, they will go from the merchant to the jobber, from the jobber to the wholesaler, from the wholesaler to the manufacturer, and the manufacturer will buy raw materials.

The mere fact of a turnover of \$3,000,000,000 a week, if used throughout the United States in the purchase of goods primarily, in the payment of debts, in active, hurrying circulation, every holder being anxious to pass the bills on before the stamp-tax day comes around, with that type of velocity stirred to a boiling point, the bills will pass with great rapidity in every community through every channel of trade in the United States.

Mr. President, what would be the effect of that? We all know the effect of an active use of a large sum of money in trade. It starts up buying power. The merchants would be ready to buy now if they knew there were customers able to pay. As soon as trade is stimulated in stores, the merchant puts in orders to the wholesaler for goods, the wholesaler puts in orders to the factories for goods, the factories order raw materials of all types used in the manufacture of industrial commodities. It would stimulate traffic upon the railroads in the hauling of raw materials, and then in the hauling of the manufactured goods, and all up and down in every channel in the country, just like the circulation of blood in the body, there would be stimulated trade activity, there would be provided this great sum of money which would be liquidating itself through the activity of those who used it, and this great sum of money would be provided thereby for the liquidation of debts.

Mr. President, so many people owe others, and others owe them. Neither can pay. If one could pay, the next could pay; and in that way, by keeping the money in a stream of circulation, it would undoubtedly follow that literally many billions of dollars of the present crushing indebtedness would be reduced or paid in full.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Mr. President, will the Senator yield to me?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I am glad to.

Mr. VANDENBERG. While I was out of the Chamber the Senator may have covered the point I desire to inquire about; but I am wondering why he stopped at \$1,000,000,000.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. President, I know what the Senator has in mind. There are two reasons why, in the exercise of my judgment, I stopped where I did. In the first place, I thought that a billion dollars would circulate so fast that it would stimulate business in such a great way that it would increase commodity prices so fast that it would be necessary to stop the commodity index price at some reasonable point before it got back to the price of

Mr. President, I did not make the figure higher for another reason. I knew the claim that would be made about the danger of inflation. While it may be that a larger sum could be used, I knew that the larger the sum included in this bill the less likelihood there would be of a Congress conservative on the currency question adopting any amount. I figured that a billion dollars would be adequate and sufficient for the purposes, and for that reason I did not include a larger amount.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Of course, the thought that was in my mind was that if we open the door to a billion dollars' worth of scrip we may never be able to close it

Mr. BANKHEAD. So long as the people pay the price of it, is any injury done? So long as they liquidate it themselves, with their own tax, so long as those who use it liquidate it and get the benefit of it, is any serious damage done? Of course, the plan is intended solely to operate to check in some way the constant decline of prices and contraction of credit, to serve, if you please, as a temporary self-liquidating plan, and not as a permanent plan in our currency system. If it has any benefit—if it furnishes a medium of circulation for the purchase of the necessities of life and for the payment of debts-then I submit it is reasonable to assume that a new confidence will be established. If prices are stopped in their constant decline and perhaps started upward, people will again begin to buy with the money that they have, because we all know they postpone purchases so long as it looks like prices may continue to decline.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Mr. President, will the Senator yield further?

Mr. BANKHEAD. With pleasure.

Mr. VANDENBERG. If the attractiveness of the scheme should become contagious and the Senator's enthusiasm for it likewise should become contagious and we should reach, let us say, a total of \$10,000,000,000 of scrip, does the Senator think we would be approaching a point where the scrip would cease to carry a safe value generally?

Mr. BANKHEAD. It might approach the point of saturation and, of course, if it did we would not issue any more.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Does the Senator think there is a menace, because of the very situation which we are now discussing, in attaching Federal auspices to a proposition of this nature? If I may amplify the question, I have studied most sympathetically the entire proposition for sometime and I have come to the conclusion that it is thoroughly practical, for instance, within a municipal unit on the basis of municipal offices and dealing with municipal pay rolls, and so forth, because there is no opportunity for confusion as between the scrip and actual money. Does the Senator feel that he is opening the door to no menace or hazard whatever when he has scrip and currency on the same Federal basis?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I can not see any reason why there should be confusion. The forms of the money in the first place will be different. One side of the scrip does not look like money at all. It simply contains a certificate that it is legal tender, as pointed out in the bill, with spaces upon which stamps will be affixed. I can not conceive that there could be any confusion by anybody capable of handling even \$1 bills, about whether this money was the stamped scrip or the present legal currency.

Mr. VANDENBERG. I did not use the word "confusion" in respect of the physical aspect of money. I was thinking of the menace of two types of Federal money, one competing against the other ultimately, as all cheap money competes with dear money. The Senator is undertaking to differentiate this entirely as being different from money. I am wondering whether he succeeds in escaping that confusion, using "confusion" in that sense?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I fear the Senator misunderstood my statement. I have attempted to distinguish it as a different type of money, and I have clearly pointed out that it is legal tender and therefore is a money which the Constitution authorizes Congress to issue. In other words, we have various forms of money now. We have gold certificates, be accepted for the payment of debts and which will not

silver certificates, gold dollars, and silver dollars. One is just as much a legal tender as the other. One is as much a part of our currency system as the other. Each is recognized because of the familiarity of the people with them over long years of use.

The difficulty, permit me to point out to the Senator, and the point for which I am seeking here a remedy, is this. It is not my remedy. It is not Prof. Irving Fisher's remedy. It started in Austria and Germany, but Professor Fisher has taken it up, and I am adopting it from him. The thing for which we are seeking a remedy is to get money in some form that does not impair the gold standard, that will stay out of hoarding, that will not find its way into the banks to rest, but will keep moving day after day in the purchase of goods and the payment of debts.

We can not do that with our present currency. We all know that during the last year we have issued nearly \$1,000,000,000 of currency. In 1929 we had slightly less than \$5,000,000,000 of currency in circulation. Now we have \$5,600,000,000. But we know it is not in circulation. We know why that quantity of money has been issued since 1929. A great deal of it has been issued, under the Glass-Steagall bill and the Glass-Borah amendment, backed by the credits of the Government on its bonds. But it has been issued, even though it may have but a 40 per cent gold coverage, simply because money in such great quantities was finding its way into hiding.

As depositors day after day went to their banks and called for currency and it was handed to them, the available quantity of currency became exhausted.

There was not enough available for this great hoarding and also to provide the ordinary average quantity for pocket and till purposes. Therefore, we had to resort to the issuance of new currency, but it keeps going the same way. I do not know how much longer it will continue to find hiding places.

I am one of those who believe that the most effective thing the Congress could do would be to place an absolute guaranty of \$1,000,000,000 or more to guarantee the depositors in the banks of the country. I think we are wasting our efforts by trying to sustain banks here and there as they grow feebler and feebler and are about to fall over the precipice. We are permitting banks here and there to fail, and that discourages depositors in other banks throughout the country. Credits are contracted as a result of the fear of the banks. The people are frightened and they either can not or will not buy goods. So the contraction goes on, and the danger all the time to our business fabric is the situation of the banks of the country.

In my judgment, Mr. President, we can save a great amount of money, considered from that standpoint alone, if we boldly enact a law here by so placing \$1,000,000,000 or more guaranty behind bank deposits and taking all the money the Reconstruction Corporation is using in self-liquidating projects and trying to sustain failed or failing banks, and let all the depositors and all the banks of the country know that no other depositor in a bank would lose his deposit. In my judgment, with that notice to the country, depositors would not only quit withdrawing their funds, but we would induce the hoarders, who are keeping their money hidden now and out of the banks, to carry their money back to the banks. That in itself would not only strengthen the banks, but would create an additional basis of bank credit and stimulate business and in my judgment it would come nearer stopping this day-by-day sliding downward over the

But apparently those who are dealing with banking and credit here propose to take the route of giving credit here and there. I would take the other route if I had my way. But being unable to secure any such guaranty which would bring a restoration of confidence to the depositors and the hoarders, then I say that it is essential that we do find some other form of exchange between the people and to find some form of currency, some form of money, which will be used and accepted for the purchase of goods and must be hoarded and which will liquidate itself. The plan has been brought here for the consideration of the Senate.

As I said in the beginning, I have no illusion about the attitude of the majority of the Senate upon any question that is not approved by those who hold the gold standard as sacred. This has been tested here time and time again. I know what disposition will be made of the proposition at this time. I have presented it merely in the hope that it may be given thoughtful consideration by those who are seriously and anxiously looking for some way to save our social and political institutions.

It is easy to reject and it is the custom here to reject, but if I am wrong I want to know it just as much as anybody in the world. I have no pride of opinion in this matter, because it is not of my origin. After careful study I am convinced that its adoption would be of tremendous value and service to the people of the country.

If anybody points out any vital error in the scheme, any weakness or fallacy in it, any reason why it would not operate as I believe and have indicated I think it will operate. I should be happy to receive such a thought, because I know we must not make many more bad mistakes. But I do believe, Mr. President, that we need action. We need to do something. We need to move in some direction. We need to travel some new road.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from North Carolina?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I yield with pleasure. Mr. REYNOLDS. Let us say that I have in my possession at this time 500 of these certificates. Upon each of the certificates there have been placed twenty-six 2-cent stamps. It is my understanding of the Senator's proposal that none of the certificates is redeemable until the required and designated number of 52 stamps are on each of the certificates.

Mr. BANKHEAD. That is correct, because the man who paid a dollar for it in goods or services at the time there are only 26 stamps on it is entitled to pass it on.

Mr. REYNOLDS. As I understand it, I have in my possession 500 certificates each bearing 26 stamps. None of those certificates is redeemable until after the last of the 52 stamps has been placed on it.

Mr. BANKHEAD. That is correct.
Mr. REYNOLDS. Let us assume further that with these 500 certificates in my hand, 26 stamps being affixed to each one, I am not indebted to a single soul on earth; what am I going to do with those certificates?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I will say, in the first place, that if the Senator is so fortunate as that, he could well afford to lose them, but he would be in a lonesome company. [Laughter.] However, the Senator could buy something with them

Mr. REYNOLDS. But suppose I am not desirous of making any purchases?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Well, does not the Senator eat?

Mr. REYNOLDS. Very seldom. [Laughter.]
Mr. BANKHEAD. Well, if the Senator is that kind of an animal, I can not place him. [Laughter.]

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, I am interested in the Senator's proposal, and I am directing these inquiries to him because I want to be fully advised in regard to the matter. I think the suggestion has a great deal of merit, to be perfectly frank-

Mr. BANKHEAD. In that spirit I will be glad to answer any question which the Senator may submit.

Mr. REYNOLDS. I am merely desirous of securing information. If I have, say, 500 certificates, with 26 stamps affixed to each one, and not one of them is redeemable until such time as 52 stamps shall have been attached to each, would it be possible. I not being indebted to anyone in any amount, for me to get full advantage of the certificates in my possession, upon each of which those who had previously handled them had placed 26 stamps?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I will say to the Senator that he could

not owe anything on earth and did not want anything on earth, then I frankly concede that he would be in some difficulty.

Mr. REYNOLDS. But they would have served their purpose-

Mr. BANKHEAD. I can not conceive in practical application, in view of the conditions prevailing in this country, that many dollars of this character of money would accumulate in the hands of men of the type the Senator has indicated. I think it is possibly in negligible amount and in the rarest kind of instances that a situation such as the Senator describes is imaginable and possible.

Mr. REYNOLDS. I grant, I will say to the Senator, up to that point that the certificates would have served a very meritorious cause by reason of the fact that there would have been paid on indebtedness of \$500 twenty-six different times. That is true, is it not?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Yes; and I am glad the Senator brought out that point. As I say, I think in the workings of almost any plan there is some possible place where it will not operate with uniformity and exact justice, but considering the great volume of debts that money of the character I propose would pay, the great number of people that it would put to work, the meritorious features of the proposal from every other standpoint, and looking at it from a broad viewpoint, whether it would not do much more good than harm, I hope the Senator, from that standpoint, after he concludes his study of this measure, may find his way clear to be a believer in it and a supporter of the plan.

Mr. REYNOLDS. I wish to say to the Senator, Mr. President-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Alabama yield further to the Senator from North Carolina?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Yes, sir.

Mr. REYNOLDS. I wish to say that I think in the Senator's plan there is a great deal of merit, and I want to repeat that I am directing these inquiries to him because I am initially interested in his plan.

There is one further inquiry I should like to make pertaining to line 15, on page 5, where there is a provision which I was just discussing with the Senator from Kansas [Mr. McGILL], which reads as follows:

(i) Banks of deposit receiving such certificates as deposits may charge 2 cents for each certificate so deposited as a service charge.

Do I understand that to mean that I may deposit a certificate in a bank only for collection from the Government after the required 52 stamps have been placed thereon?

Mr. BANKHEAD. No. It is intended during the movement of the certificates from the time of their issue that where the certificates are deposited in the banks, not used in trade, not in payment for the purchase of goods, not in payment of ordinary debts which a man is glad to have paid at a discount of 2 per cent, but where they are deposited in banks, involving bookkeeping and handling, noninterest transactions, the 2-cent charge may be made. I will say to the Senator further that one of the chief objects of that provision is to keep these certificates out of the banks, making it burdensome and costly to put them in, so as to keep them moving in constant circulation, paying debts and buying goods and services.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield for another inquiry?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Yes.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Let us say that I have in my possession another 100 of these certificates upon one of which 2 stamps have been placed, and on others of the certificates 3, 4, or 5 stamps, as the case may be; in other words, on each and every one of those 100 different certificates there is a different number of stamps; do I understand the Senator to mean that under the provision I have quoted I shall deposit the certificate in the bank for safe-keeping? I make the inquiry as to how the bank could possibly handle these certificates in any manner other than by way of putting them in a safe-deposit box. Otherwise it would be necessary for them pay his taxes with them, if he owed any taxes. If he did in their bookkeeping arrangements to make a notation in the form of a description as to the number of stamps each and every one of the certificates had affixed to it. I merely want to be enlightened as to that point.

Mr. BANKHEAD. I do not think it would require a safe-deposit box. It would be a character of money different in appearance and easily separable from other money. It could be put in any sort of a box one wanted, or outside of a box.

The bill requires, it will be noticed, that the spaces for the stamps shall be dated.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Yes, sir.

Mr. BANKHEAD. So there can be no confusion on that subject. One takes up a bill which shows that the next date for a stamp is the 1st day of March, and it is stamped up to that date. It is self-explanatory on its face and requires no bookkeeping.

Mr. REYNOLDS. I thank the Senator.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. President, in conclusion, from the inquiry of my clear-headed colleague from Michigan [Mr. Vandenberg], in whose judgment and sincerity I have real faith, as to the effect of this proposed money and its becoming so popular that it might run away in actual use and popularity, I judge that he has at present no serious doubt about its efficiency up to the amount I am proposing that we issue.

But, Mr. President, let us consider just for a moment the effect the issuance of money of this kind would have on this country at a time when money has disappeared, as everybody knows it has disappeared. We would have a billion dollars, when it was all issued, turning over absolutely at least once a week and which, it is estimated, might turn over three or four or even a greater number of times a week, so that in the course of a year it is entirely conceivable that this \$1,000,000,000—which would be in circulation all the time, speeding up, finding a way into the possession of various individuals, just exactly the reverse from the present type of money in use, with a hoarder's tax hanging over it-would be capable of financing transactions of \$150,-000,000,000 a year. That is a great volume of business; and this money would liquidate itself, it would retire itself, and when it should go out of circulation it would leave some profit in the Treasury. Furthermore, it would be no burden upon the Nation's stock of gold and no burden upon the taxpayers. Consider the effect of a volume of \$150,000,-000,000 of transactions during the course of the next 12 months. Anybody who believes that it will have that effect-and I challenge them to investigate and consider and decide whether it will or not-should, I say, forego any mere hypercritical objection, any suspicion or any doubt or uncertainty, which is not based upon a firm conviction, in the face of an opportunity to bring relief to the distressed people of this country without injury to anybody.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, will the Senator yield for a question?

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from New York?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I am glad to yield.

Mr. WAGNER. I was out of the Chamber during a portion of the Senator's address, and perhaps the question I am about to ask has already been answered, but I should like to ask the Senator whether it is his opinion that banks generally would accept this type of money for deposit?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Well, Mr. President, I have no more information on that subject than has the Senator from New York, who is doubtless closer to the banking spirits than I am. I do not say that in any improper way, but the Senator from New York is on the Banking and Currency Committee and is in touch with these matters while I am not.

However, it does appear to me that the bankers, who are as deeply concerned with their own solvency as are any other business institutions, would welcome with open arms any system which, while not imperiling the gold standard, would tend to bring about a restoration of business, which would tend to increase the prices of commodities, which would bring larger deposits into their banks, which would create new purchasing power for the merchants, their prin-

cipal customers, and which also, through the service charge, would enable them to make 2 cents on each check handled by them, running inevitably into large figures, and thereby being made an attractive and profitable proposition. It is the hope in large measure, Mr. President, that the certificates will not go to the banks, but if they do, that they will receive a friendly reception and a friendly feeling from the banking institutions of the country.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, will the Senator yield for another question?

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama yield further to the Senator from New York?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I yield.

Mr. WAGNER. The Senator does me too much honor in suggesting that I am familiar with the "banking spirits." I am no more familiar with them than is the Senator; I have no experience in banking at all; but I was interested in the question whether or not it is a fact that if a bank keeps this particular type of money longer than a week it would itself have to pay a tax upon it. That is true, is it not?

Mr. BANKHEAD. That is true, but the banker gets a profit; it does not cost the bank anything if it does not keep the certificate more than two weeks.

Mr. WAGNER. If an individual should deposit money of the type proposed, just as he now deposits the forms of paper money in use, does the Senator say that the bank itself, if the money were not withdrawn within a certain brief period of time, would charge the individual 2 cents per week?

Mr. BANKHEAD. No; the bank gets 2 cents when the money comes in, even if it goes out next day. Then it is incumbent upon the bank to get it out within two weeks.

Mr. WAGNER. That is the point I want to bring out. It is incumbent upon the bank to get it out again?

Mr. BANKHEAD. It is incumbent upon the bank to get it out, which they may do in numerous ways. In the first place, they can use the profit that they get to stamp it for a depositor, if they see fit. They can pay it out through pay rolls. They can pay it out on debts that they may owe. They can pay it out for taxes or any other purpose for which any other institution in this country can pay it out.

Just to epitomize briefly in conclusion, Mr. President, let me point out that this plan does not involve the gold standard; that it is a self-liquidating proposition; that it provides relief for unemployment; that it provides work on public improvements, such as roads, waterways, sewers, reforestation, and so forth. It starts up buying. It brings about velocity of circulation. It raises price levels. It increases bank loans and deposits. It is a tax on hoarding. It is no drain on the Treasury; but, on the other hand, produces a profit. It creates new currency, and therefore new credits. It creates demand for industrial goods. Raw materials will move. Factories will go to work to meet these new demands for goods. The number of trains naturally will increase, both in carrying raw materials from the factories and in carrying the manufactured goods for distribution. The lawyers, the doctors, the bakers, and the candlestick makers will have money to pay their rents, to pay their taxes, and other current and incidental obligations, as they are able to do when money is freely in circulation, and their clients and customers are put in position to earn money and get money, which they now can not do.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Alabama yield to the Senator from Georgia?

Mr. BANKHEAD. I shall be happy to yield to my friend from Georgia.

Mr. GEORGE. I should like to ask the Senator one question.

I believe that this certificate is really legal tender.

Mr. BANKHEAD. It is.

Mr. GEORGE. But it is subjected to a tax of 2 cents on each transfer.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Each Wednesday-once a week.

Mr. GEORGE. A tax of 2 cents at least once a week?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Yes.

Mr. GEORGE. I desire to ask the Senator in all seriousness whether a man having services to sell, or a dollar's worth of merchandise to sell, would not prefer to take and would not demand money which was not subject to a 2-cent tax on each transfer, or per week?

Mr. BANKHEAD. The question of the Senator is a perfectly proper one, and one that of necessity requires consideration in connection with this plan.

Under normal conditions, I will say to the Senator, no one would propose a taxed money. Under conditions where services were scarce, or where the merchant held goods in quantity with an abundance of buyers, we might look to some other plan for consideration. In normal times, if you should go to a merchant and want him to take money that he must discount at 2 per cent, naturally he would not do it. But let me suggest to the Senator that when the merchant's store is almost empty, when the customers come more through curiosity than with any intent to purchase, and especially when they come without the ability to pay, I submit that even though the merchant may raise his price 2 cents to meet the stamp tax he will be happy to find a situation where he can again turn over his goods and have his store a busy place.

Mr. GEORGE. The objection which I raise, Mr. President, kept recurring to my mind. I understand the Senator's position to be that under these abnormal conditions. when merchandise is not moving, and there is no demand for services or commodities, what is equivalent to a tax on each transfer would not be an insuperable objection to the issuance of this type of currency.

Mr. BANKHEAD. That is exactly the idea.

I have given careful consideration to that phase of the matter. I have recognized that a man can not be made to exchange either his goods or his services for any sort of money, even gold, if he does not want to do it. Therefore it is a matter of the state of mind of those engaged in business, and their willingness to cooperate in a program which brings to them an enlargement of their business.

This plan has been tried where it was not even legal tender. I understand that out in Hawarden, Iowa, and in other places, it was tried by mutual consent. It has been tried in Germany and in Austria and it is working. According to information given me by Professor Fisher, at some place in Austria it has been in operation for five months, and his reports directly from there are that it is highly successful, greatly pleasing, and beneficial to the business interests of the community.

So I think the suggestion made by the Senator from Georgia, under these abnormal conditions, will not be an insuperable obstacle. In fact, when you go out with a campaign of this kind, with the slogan, "Stamp out the depression; stamp out unemployment; stamp on the money; create business and trade; give a hundred men a job; give a hundred men emergency relief," I take it that there is a degree of patriotism in this country, or a degree of self-interest in this country, combined, adequate to stimulate and arouse from one section of this country to the other the feeling, which will be acted upon, that "here, at last, is some relief furnished to us by a slow and reluctant Congress."

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to incorporate in the RECORD at this point the amendment which I have proposed and on which I have spoken this afternoon.

There being no objection, the amendment was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

Amendment intended to be proposed by Mr. BANKHEAD to the bill (S. 5125) to provide for cooperation by the Federal Government with the several States in relieving the hardship and suffering caused by unemployment, and for other purposes, viz: Strike out section 2 and insert in lieu thereof the following:

"SEC. 2. The Secretary of the Treasury shall cause to be engraved and printed currency of the United States in the form of stamped money certificates. Said certificates shall be in the denomination of \$1 each, and the issue shall be limited to \$1,000,000,000. Said certificates shall be of a suitable size to provide space on the backs thereof for affixing 52 postage stamps. The backs of said certificates shall be prepared in such manner as to indicate clearly the proper place for affixing each stamp contemplated herein to the end that on the second Wednesday after the issuance of said certificates from the Treasury the first stamp

shall be affixed, and thereafter on each Wednesday until a total of 52 stamps shall be affixed; and said certificates in the spaces designated for affixing said stamps shall set forth the day of the month and year when each such stamp shall be affixed, as for example:

On April 5, 1933, affix 2-cent stamp here.

"The face of said certificates shall set forth substantially the

following:
"'This certificate is legal tender for \$1 for payment of all debts and dues, public and private, customs, duties, and taxes: Provided, That on the date of its transfer there shall be affixed 2-cent postage stamps for all dates prior to such date of transfer, as set forth in the schedule on the back hereof. When fifty-two 2-cent postage stamps shall been affixed this certificate shall be redeemable at any post office for \$1 lawful money of the United

"(a) The Secretary of the Treasury is authorized in his discretion to issue the certificates directed to be issued hereunder in monthly or semimonthly installments, all of like tenor and effect except that the schedule for the affixing of the stamps on the back of said certificate shall bear dates for the affixing of stamps appropriate to the date of the issue of each such installment of certificates.

"(b) When such certificates appropriately stamped in full shall be presented to the Secretary of the Treasury for redemption he shall certify to the Postmaster General from time to time the amount of certificates so presented for redemption, and the Postmaster General shall thereupon pay to the Secretary of the Treasury out of the funds arising from the sale of stamps the sum of \$1 for each such certificate so redeemed, whereupon said certificates shall be destroyed.

"(c) Prior to the issuance of the first installment of certificates hereunder the Secretary of the Treasury is directed, by posters to be hung in post offices and other public places, and by advertising in newspapers and magazines, to advise the public of the contemplated issue of these certificates, with appropriate directions to the public with reference to the affixing of stamps, the legal-tender quality of the certificates, their redemption feature, and all such similar information. There is hereby appropriated for the use of the Secretary of the Treasury to defray the cost of such advertising the sum of \$100,000.

"(d) When such certificates shall have been issued by the Sec-retary of the Treasury the person holding the same, on and after 12.01 o'clock antemeridian of the first Wednesday set forth in the schedule on the back of said certificates, shall affix in the space therein provided a 2-cent postage stamp of the United States. Prior to such time said certificates in the hands of all holders shall be legal tender for the payment of all debts for the sum of \$1. After affixing the first stamp said certificate shall be legal tender as aforesaid for the payment of all debts until the follo tender as aforesaid for the payment of all debts until the following Wednesday when another 2-cent postage stamp of the United States shall be affixed by the person holding the same prior to 12.01 o'clock antemeridian of such Wednesday, and thereafter for fifty consecutive additional Wednesdays like postage stamps shall be affixed by the holders. At all times when there shall be affixed all such postage stamps as are required to be affixed on the back of such certificates prior to the date of transfer, such certificates shall be legal tender as aforesaid for the sum of \$1. When fifty-two 2-cent stamps shall have been affixed on the back thereof the holder may present the same to any post office in the United bolder may present the same to any post office in the United States for redemption, and the same shall be redeemed by such post office in any present lawful money of the United States. All post offices in the United States are hereby charged with the duty

of making such redemption and of forwarding such certificates for cancellation to the Secretary of the Treasury.

"(e) With respect to such certificates as shall become unfit, through use, for further circulation, the Secretary of the Treasury and the Postmaster General are authorized and directed to provide for the exchange of such worn-out certificates for new ce tificates, and to make all regulations required for that purpose.

"(f) It is declared to be against the public policy of the United States to provide in any contract executed subsequent to the date of this act that the certificate to be issued under this act, or any like issue, shall not be received in the discharge of such contract, and all such provisions in such contracts are hereby declared null and void.

"(g) Said certificates, when accepted by the Government, shall be promptly reissued by any department or agency of the Govern-

ment receiving the same.

"(h) In transactions of less than \$1 such certificates are not legal tender unless stamped by the person tendering the same for one additional week after tender.

"(i) Banks of deposit receiving such certificates as deposits may charge 2 cents for each certificate so deposited as a service

"(j) The Secretary of the Treasury and the Postmaster General are authorized to promulgate regulations for carrying out the provisions of this act.

rovisions of this act.

"(k) If and when the wholesale commodity price level of all commodities, included by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in computing index numbers of wholesale prices, shall equal 80 per cent of the average index number for the year 1926, then, anything to the contrary herein notwithstanding, the Secretary of the Treasury is directed to discontinue the issuance of certificates hereunder, and such certificates as are then outstanding shall be retired as the same are presented for redemption or replacement of wornaut certificates. out certificates.

"(1) Five hundred million dollars of the amount made available under section 2 of this act shall be apportioned among the States on the basis of population according to the Fifteenth Decennial Census. This amount is made available as herein provided in addition to the amount made available to the States under section addition to the amount made available to the States under section 4 (a) of this act. The amount so apportioned to the States shall be delivered to the governor of the State applying for the apportionment made to his State, upon application being made therefor by the governor. The amount apportioned to a State shall be administered within the State under rules and regulations adopted by the governor thereof and through such agencies as he may establish. The amount apportioned to a State may be by the governor thereof apportioned to the counties, and/or to the municipalities of said State, and may be used in construction work or for emergency relief as defined in section 11 of this act."

On page 14, line 6, after the word "act," strike out the period, insert a comma, and add "except as herein otherwise provided."

On page 15, line 21, strike out "Forty" and insert in lieu thereof "Twenty."

On page 16, line 8, strike out the words "The balance of the amount made available under section 2 (a) of this act" and insert in lieu thereof the following: "Thirty per cent of the amount made available under section 2 of this act."

Mr. FESS obtained the floor.

Mr. McNARY. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. FESS. I yield to the Senator from Oregon.

Mr. McNARY. The Senator is kind enough to yield to me. I desire to present, for the consideration of the Senate, a unanimous-consent agreement.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let it be read. The legislative clerk read as follows:

Ordered, by unanimous consent, That after the hour of 6 o'clock p. m. no Senator shall speak more than once or longer than 30 minutes upon the pending bill (S. 5125) to provide for cooperation by the Federal Government with the several States in relieving the hardship and suffering caused by unemployment, and for other purposes, or more than once or longer than 15 minutes upon any amendment or motion relating thereto.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, will the Senator from Ohio yield to me?

Mr. FESS. I yield.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. May I say to the Senators on the other side of the aisle that this agreement has been referred to the Senator from Arkansas [Mr. Robinson] and meets with his approval.

Mr. COSTIGAN. Mr. President, may I ask the hour at

which this agreement will start?

Mr. McNARY. Six o'clock this evening.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President-

Mr. McNARY. Mr. President, I think I can anticipate what is in the mind of the able Senator from South Carolina. If this agreement or a similar agreement is entered into, I shall ask an adjournment until 11 o'clock to-morrow, in order to have two hours upon the calendar, which will meet his situation, I am sure.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, if the Senator will allow me, I think the objection we had anticipated has now been removed; and I do not think it would take more than 15 or 20 minutes, if so long as that, to dispose of the matter I have in mind if I can get the consent of the Senator from Wisconsin to lay aside the unfinished business temporarily.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Will not the Senator from South Carolina let us ascertain whether we can get this agreement?

Mr. SMITH. Certainly. I prefer to have that done. The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the proposed unanimous-consent agreement?

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, for several days I have been desirous of addressing the Senate on the subject of inflation. This would be a very opportune time to do it, following the statement of the Senator from Alabama [Mr. BANKHEAD]. It is going to take some time to do it; and if we are inclined to limit the debate before I have an opportunity to address the Senate, while I will not object, I will not attempt to do it to-night, but will reserve the right to do it to-morrow before we adjourn.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the unanimous-consent agreement proposed by the Senator from Oregon? The Chair hears none, and it is so ordered.

Mr. SMITH. Now, Mr. President, if the Senator will allow me-

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator from Ohio has the floor. Does the Senator yield?

Mr. FESS. I shall not go on to-night, Mr. President.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, the matter I have in mind is of such importance, and time is of the essence of it, and I do not know of any objection, that I will ask the Senator from Wisconsin if he will not consent to lay aside this matter temporarily and let us consider the bill.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, may I ask the Senator from South Carolina whether or not he has consulted

with the junior Senator from Utah [Mr. King]?

Mr. SMITH. I have.

Mr. McKELLAR. I have just gone out to get him.

Mr. KING entered the Chamber.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I was inquiring whether the junior Senator from Utah had withdrawn his objections to the bill which the Senator from South Carolina seeks to get up by unanimous consent.

Mr. KING. I have not.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Under the circumstances, Mr. President, I could not yield to the Senator, because I have been informed by the junior Senator from Utah that it will take him a considerable period of time to outline in full his objections to this measure.

Mr. KING. I will say to my friend that I shall be very glad to confer with the Senator from South Carolina and the Senator from Tennessee during the evening, or before to-morrow, and see if there is not a possibility of our agreeing.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. May I ask the Senator from South Carolina if that would not meet with his approval, inasmuch as the Senator from Oregon has promised him a morning hour to-morrow if he requires it?

Mr. SMITH. I shall be very glad to do that, because this

is a matter of great importance.

Mr. McNARY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate has concluded its work to-day it adjourn until 11 o'clock to-morrow morning.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection? The Chair

hears none, and it is so ordered.

The question is on the amendment of the Senator from Alabama [Mr. BANKHEAD] to the amendment of the com-

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. President, I stated at the beginning of my remarks that I had no hope that the Senate would adopt this amendment. I wanted to get it before the Senate for consideration, in the hope that after further investigation by Members of the Senate it might receive favorable action, either along this line or some other line. Having had the opportunity to present the matter, I do not care to insist upon it at this time, and I withdraw the amendment.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator from Alabama withdraws his amendment. The amendment of the committee is before the Senate and open to amendment.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, the Senator from New York has another amendment, and I think it should be offered at this time.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Chair is advised that that amendment was proposed, and that the question is on that amendment now, as the amendment of the Senator from Alabama has been withdrawn.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I understand that before the Senate acts on that amendment, the Senator from Kentucky desires to propose an amendment to the amendment.

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, as I understand it, there is a general agreement that I shall have an opportunity to take the floor to-morrow to make an address on inflation.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Chair understood that the Senator reserved that right when the unfinished business is laid before the Senate to-morrow, provided some other Senator has not the floor at that time talking on some other

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, I desire to move to strike out subsection (b) at the bottom of page 2 of the amendment offered by the Senator from New York.

Mr. GEORGE. A parliamentary inquiry.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator will state it.

Mr. GEORGE. I ask if the amendment referred to now by the Senator from Kentucky has been offered by the Senator from New York?

Mr. WAGNER. Yes; it has been offered as a substitute. The VICE PRESIDENT. It is offered as a substitute for the pending bill.

Mr. WAGNER. If I may make a parliamentary inquiry, the proposed substitute is subject to amendment now, is it not?

The VICE PRESIDENT. It is subject to amendment.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, I offer an amendment to the substitute, to strike out subsection (b) at the bottom of page 2.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Fess in the chair). The question is on agreeing to the amendment offered by the Senator from Kentucky to the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the Senator from New York.

Mr. BARKLEY. I will state to the Senate that the language which I seek to strike out is the new language sought to be put into the law, that—

No part of the amounts paid to the governor of a State or Territory under this section shall be used for the payment of administrative expenses incurred in furnishing relief and work relief under this section.

Under the administration of the \$300,000,000 heretofore made available to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for loans to States, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has set aside a small percentage in most of the States for the purposes of administration. Under that policy they have set up local organizations, some of which they have been compelled to compensate. If we say in this proposed amendment to the act that none of the money appropriated or made available shall be used to pay for administration of the act, it will mean that all the agencies which have been set up in the States under the direction of the governors for the administration of this relief will collapse, and there will be no agency through which the governor can administer the relief or make the distribution, because the cities and counties have not the agencies in existence and the amount of money involved, which has been approved by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, has been so comparatively small that I see no reason why there should be injected now a provision that none of the money we appropriate shall be expended to pay for any administration agencies or other facilities with which we propose to make the distribution.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, the provision which the Senator seeks to strike out was not proposed by me, and it was not in the original bill as I proposed it. It was incorporated in the bill by the committee at the suggestion of one member of the committee.

I sympathize with the views of the Senator from Kentucky, and I shall personally not resist his efforts. Of course, it is a matter which the Senate itself must determine.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. President, it seems to me that this provision should remain in the bill under all circumstances. This is not a denial of the right of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to use money in its hands for administrative purposes. This is a denial of the right of the governor of a State to take a part of the money which we turn over to him for distribution, and use it for administrative purposes.

When it is borne in mind that there are no restrictions on the governor, that he may hire as many people as he wishes to hire, that he may pay as many salaries as he wishes to pay, and that he may pay as high salaries as he wishes to pay, it may be realized that an abuse of the generosity and bounty of the Congress is possible if the State is not required to pay at least the actual cost of disbursing the money that is turned over to it for general relief work within the State.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, will the Senator yield to me?

Mr. GEORGE. I yield.

Mr. BARKLEY. If there had been in the original act appropriating \$300,000,000 a provision of this sort, and if the States had been able to work out, over the period of a year, when their legislatures were in session, some local machinery for the distribution of this money and the administration of the relief, the Senator's position might be well taken. But, as a matter of fact, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has exercised jurisdiction and control over the amount that is allowed to be used for administrative purposes. For instance, in the State of Kentucky they have allowed an amount of less than 5 per cent of the total amount for administration. They do not seek to set up the agencies. The governor has no power, under the laws of most States, to create new offices or to pay out of the State treasury for offices that are not actually created by the legislature.

Many of the State legislatures, particularly my own, will not meet until 1934. If the agencies which have been set up by the governor, with the consent of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, for the administration of the \$300,-000,000 we have already made available to them, are now to be abolished and destroyed by our providing that none of this money can be used for that purpose, when the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has permitted them to use it up to this time, then it will amount to a denial of relief.

I will say that, under the control of the governor of my State—and I am sure it is true of other States—very meager compensation has been allowed to those who have been employed to help distribute the relief. They are experts. They are people, men and women, who have been long expert in relieving social distress. They know who are fakers and they know who are not. They have for a long time been in the business of relieving suffering, and they are getting compensation so small that it is difficult to understand how they themselves may sustain their lives upon it. But if we take it away from them entirely we will practically deny relief in many of the States.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, I had a communication from an official of my State, one representing the governor, to the effect that if this provision remained in the so-called Wagner substitute it would be practically ineffective in my State, that they had no means of distributing this money without using a part of the money for the purpose of administration.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, that is true of most of the States, I will say. There are some few of the States where there has been no request for an allotment for expenses of administration, but in most of the States—I think all but five or six—the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, out of the amount allotted to the States, has allowed the governors to use a certain small percentage for administration, and it has been so small in all cases that there has been certainly no abuse of it.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, if the Senator will allow me further, I will say that in my State a certain amount has been allowed.

Mr. GEORGE. I am so certain that this language should not be stricken out of the bill that if action is to be taken on it I shall have to ask for a quorum, and I did not want to do that on this matter.

If the desire is to get rid of the abuses, if the money may be turned over to the taxing authority of each political subdivision requiring it, a large sum will not be expended in distributing the funds.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, I do not think that criticism is justified.

Mr. GEORGE. It may not be true of the Senator's State.
Mr. BARKLEY. I do not think it is true in a general
sense anywhere.

Mr. GEORGE. The Senator is perhaps not fully informed about what may exist elsewhere if some restriction is not imposed.

The real way to prevent the expense attaching to this fund, and to get relief to those who really need it, is to put the money appropriated to each State into the hands of the taxing authority of the smallest political subdivision in the State requiring it, because those who administer it will know who is worthy of help and who is not worthy of help.

Now, let me say one further word. I realize that under the original relief measure the theory was that a loan would be made to a State, and we contemplated that there should be paid back by the State, out of future appropriation, it is true, the money allotted to it. But that theory of a loan is fading farther and farther into the distance, and under the present proposal for relief purposes a part of the money is an outright grant to the State, not to be charged against it at all, and not to be repaid by it under any circumstances, even as a matter of bookkeeping. It does seem to me that a State could afford to accept money under those conditions and pay the small amount of actual and necessary administrative cost. That is the only check upon the dissipation of some portion of this money, at least, however conscientiously the fund may be administered.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. GEORGE. I yield.

Mr. BANKHEAD. I want to say to the Senator that, speaking broadly and generally, his theory is correct, but I certainly regret to see him take the position he has indicated here. I am sure the Senator does not appreciate the effect upon his neighbors. I know the Senator has a kind heart, and that he is not taking his position capriciously. But I want to present our own local position to him. We are neighbors, and he probably knows about our condition, but has not thought about the effect of his position.

We are unfortunate in my State in the matter of financing, probably more so than any other State in the Union. There is a deficit in Alabama of \$20,000,000. There are \$12,000,000 of warrants outstanding for the poor school-teachers, who can not be paid. There is to-day an injunction tying up even the salaries of State officials. We have a budget law under which they can not pay out more than the amount of taxes received, and the taxes received are less than the necessary operating expenses of the State government.

Mr. President, so far as waste is concerned, let me call to the attention of the Senator the fact that in my State—and for my State I am making this appeal to him—our governor is one of the most frugal of governors. He is so economical and close that he even burns a kerosene lamp instead of an electric light in his own home. He endeavored to conduct the affairs of the State along the same line. We know there would be no waste there. But we have not the money. We can not get it. If the Senator insists upon this amendment and it prevails, as it probably would, because I know his influence in this body, it would be very detrimental to my State.

Mr. GEORGE. Let me ask the Senator how the flour and cotton are dispensed by the Red Cross in his State? Is it not done without expense to the fund?

Mr. BANKHEAD. It is done by the Red Cross, probably with local contributions. I do not know whether they do it without expense to the fund or not.

Mr. GEORGE. Certainly they do. The mayor of every city, the local officers of the city and county perform this service without compensation.

Mr. BANKHEAD. I think that is like everything else. I do not think that would make a particle of difference with the administration in my State. If the Senator insists upon this and it prevails, and I know his influence in this body, he is simply depriving Alabama of any of the benefits under this bill. We have horrible suffering, especially in my district.

Mr. GEORGE. The Senator knows I would not want to deprive the State of Alabama or any State of any help. The Senator is entirely wrong. There is no State in the country that can not take care of the administrative costs of dispensing a fund of this character.

Mr. BANKHEAD. I happen to know more about Alabama than does the Senator from Georgia.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Georgia yield to the Senator from Kentucky?

Mr. GEORGE. I yield.

Mr. BARKLEY. I am sure the same situation exists in other States, but I give my own as an example. Every application on the part of individuals and families for relief under this act would be investigated so as to prevent waste. It is investigated by men and women who are trained in that sort of thing. Under our law neither the county officers nor the governor can employ such investigators and pay them out of the treasury of the State. I believe that in the administration of the law and the use of the trained forces, paid a very small compensation compared to their real worth, that money has been actually saved and by that method it has been prevented from finding its way into channels where it might be wasted. In my State it is not paid to them in cash. It is paid in supplies and groceries. In each case the application and the merits of the family and individual are investigated by somebody trained in that sort of thing, who holds no political office and therefore has no political ax to grind. I think that method of administration will certainly save more of this money than to take away any power to use any of it for that purpose.

Mr. GEORGE. Has not the Senator's State a public-wel-

fare department?

Mr. BARKLEY. No; we have no public-welfare department as such in the State. We have a State health department, but we have no public-welfare department as it is termed in other States. Under the State law we have authority for the county judge, for instance, to allow out of the treasury of the county certain small funds for the purchase of supplies for indigent people. In all of our counties that fund was exhausted long ago, and that fact was largely responsible for our application to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for an allotment of funds.

But there is no way for the Governor of Kentucky or anybody in the State administration or any officer of any county to employ expert investigators to go out and find out whether an applicant is meritorious or not. This small allotment or small percentage of the fund agreed to by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for that purpose has been instrumental, I think, in keeping down any criticism that the money is being wasted or is finding its way into channels where it is not deserved.

Mr. FLETCHER. Mr. President-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Georgia yield to the Senator from Florida?

Mr. GEORGE. I yield.

Mr. FLETCHER. I may say that just to-day the Governor of Florida was here and within the hour told me that this provision ought to come out of the bill if Florida is to get any benefits under it. He said the State has no fund nor has he as governor any fund out of which to pay, and that there is no way to handle the matter if the governor or the State must bear the expense. We have voluntary associations in different parts of the State. They act in an advisory capacity.

But I agree fully with the Senator from Kentucky; and our situation is just as he has mentioned, that the voluntary associations are not as efficient and will not save to the Government what is being paid by reason of the expert investigation. The fund is distributed according to the needs of the different counties. The report comes to the governor that a certain county needs so much. There is a voluntary association that acts as an advisory council, and he takes their views. When we have experts trained in this very matter of determining where real need is and the circumstances connected with it, we get a much better service and a real saving from the fund. But we have no way of compensating those people in our State. The governor has no fund with which to do it. The expense has been small, none of it exceeding 10 per cent, and probably

Kentucky in his view on the matter.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Georgia yield to the Senator from New York?

Mr. GEORGE. Certainly.

Mr. WAGNER. In my own State this question of cost could not arise, because we have an organization created by the State, and also by the municipalities, that has government employees who would distribute the money. I have heard of no abuses of the administration of the funds from any member of the board of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation.

I would not want to have any provision in the bill, which is to be used as an instrument to aid the destitute, which in effect would prevent such relief. Therefore, I would suggest a compromise perhaps that might be acceptable to the Senators whose views differ on the question. I think the power exists now, but it may be expressed by legislation. Let us authorize the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to prescribe the limit of the amount of money which may be used for administrative purposes of the loan granted. I do not know whether that would satisfy Senators or not.

Mr. BARKLEY. That is what is being done now. Mr. WAGNER. I think the power already exists.

Mr. BARKLEY. When our State makes application to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for a loan, we have a list of the counties with all of the evidence that has been gathered in those counties, which is presented to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, and we are compelled to administer it in that way, not only as a whole but in each county. They have exercised absolute supervision over the amount which may be used for administration. I am not sure that they do it for each county, but for the State at large they do have a limitation, and that policy has been adopted. I do not think it is necessary to authorize them to do it, because they have already done it.

Mr. WAGNER. It might be something to assuage the apprehension of Senators and others.

Mr. BARKLEY. I appreciate fully the disinterestedness of the motive of the Senator from Georgia, and I hope he will not contest this motion of mine, because it has worked satisfactorily on the whole. There may be exceptions to it. The injury that will be wrought by including this language would be so much greater than any injury that might come from an isolated case where somebody might get money or provisions that would not be merited; but I hope he will not resist my motion.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from Georgia yield to the Senator from South Carolina?

Mr. GEORGE. I yield.

Mr. SMITH. Will the Senator from Georgia allow me to call his attention to the fact that it is notorious that where we have voluntary distribution of charity such as this it is never done effectively or satisfactorily? It seems that since the States have gotton these allotments from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation certain trustworthy individuals, whose time is valuable and whose services could not be otherwise availed of without a reasonable compensation, can not be employed in this kind of work. In my own State those who talked to me over the long-distance telephone this afternoon were genuinely alarmed that if there is not some provision made by which trained men and women in whom they could have confidence might be employed to go out and ascertain the exact status of affairs it would be absolutely worthless to the State in its realization.

I know through my own experience that in a matter as serious as this, the like of which we have never before confronted, we ought to be willing to compensate those who are going out with Government funds to relieve those who absolutely need aid. The minute we put it upon the basis of voluntary assistance, it will be said, "Oh, well, it does not amount to anything. It is a gesture. It is not serious. Give it to Tom, Dick, and Harry." But this is a life-and-

not over 5 per cent. I quite agree with the Senator from | death proposition. There are people in every State in the Union standing face to face with absolute suffering.

May I make this suggestion? There are people in the different States who are brought to such a pass that they never expected to be brought to; who will not publicly and openly display the condition in which they find themselves. We do need tactful men and women to find out where pride is covering up suffering; where it is almost a life-and-death case. I think we can very well provide to compensate men and women of capacity to distribute the fund to relieve real

Mr. BLACK. Mr. President, will the Senator yield to me? Mr. GEORGE. Certainly.

Mr. BLACK. May I say that it so happens that in the last hour I have had an opportunity of talking to Mrs. Tunstal, of Alabama, who is our child-welfare worker. By reason of that position, she has been largely instrumental in setting up the method of distribution of the funds of the State. Mrs. Tunstal said to me that, of course, they could give out the money without investigation, but that such a course would not be beneficial. She gave me the set-up which they have.

Each county has a subordinate under the child-welfare body. They each draw \$100 or \$125 a month from the fund. No part of that fund is payable out of the Government relief. However, on account of the widespread destitution, that fund has been depleted, and it was wholly and completely impossible to obtain accurate information on which to act. They have had in most of the counties four social workers drawing \$50 per month out of the fund. She gave me the reports of these social workers. Some counties are very large. I am convinced that the money could not be properly distributed without this information.

I also know from the condition in the State and the counties that if this administrative appropriation is cut off, as the amendment proposes, it would simply limit the investigation to that which can be made by one person.

In addition, Mrs. Tunstal stated that in practically every county of the State they are giving work relief. They are doing that on the roads. The county is then compelled to buy the equipment. The county buys the material and furnishes the road overseer, who is regularly employed, so the county really spends a great deal more for administration in the manner in which it is done than it would cost if paid out of this fund. Mrs. Tunstal has informed me, and she is a most capable lady in her work, very much interested, that it would mean that it could not be properly administered in those counties or in most of the counties of Ala-

I might add that Mrs. Tunstal is not drawing a dime from this fund; she is paid by the State; but it is wholly and completely impossible to administer this fund adequately without expert assistance. Many of those counties have cut off their child welfare workers, many of them have eliminated their farm bureau agent; they are in a desperate situation in so far as supplying funds is concerned. For these reasons it would seriously handicap Alabama in the administration of the fund if this amendment should be adopted in its present form. I wanted to give the facts which she has just given me.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. President, I know it is perfectly natural for the governor of any State to endeavor to save all the money he can under present conditions. I would not expect the governor of my State, for instance, to do otherwise than to say that the administrative cost ought not to be put on the States; but I want to ask the Senators here what possible check have we upon the expenditure of this fund? I have full confidence in the governors, I wish to make plain. What restrictions have we got when we consider that this fund is now becoming a bounty, a direct bounty, to the States, as for all practical purposes it is, and we are passing the money out and we are saying to the States, "You are not even called upon to spend a dime to administer it and there is no restriction whatever placed upon the expenditure of this money "?

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. President, does not the Senator think that, after a skillful and careful survey by capable people, the money will be spent better than it will be just to spend it loosely on hearsay information when somebody applies for it?

Mr. GEORGE. I think so, perhaps-

Mr. BANKHEAD. That is our situation and our choice. Mr. GEORGE. I think so, but I think the States ought to bear that cost or else provide for it in some other way.

Mr. BLACK. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. GEORGE. I am glad to yield to the Senator from

Mr. BLACK. I will say that I am in thorough sympathy with the idea that there should be some kind of administrative supervision; and they have such supervision in Alabama. I do not know whether they have it in other States or not.

Mr. GEORGE. I am not speaking of any particular

Mr. BLACK. I understand that.

Mr. GEORGE. But I am speaking of the possibility of abuse, abuse amounting to scandal, in any State, if the officers of that State were so disposed to administer this fund.

Mr. BLACK. I think that is absolutely correct.

Mr. GEORGE. It is unquestionably true. We ought not, because our State governors ask the Federal Government to give them some money, to send it down and let them pay the cost of administering it out of the fund itself, and turn it loose in that way.

Mr. BLACK. If the Senator will yield further, I will state that I have not heard anything from the Governor of Alabama in connection with it, but I should like to suggest that some kind of an amendment be adopted limiting the amount that might be spent for administrative purposes, which I think would be an excellent thing and one that should be done; but I do believe that, with conditions as they are throughout the country, to provide now that no part of this fund could be used for administrative assistance would seriously handicap the proper distribution of the fund.

Mr. GEORGE. I am firm in my conviction-and my conviction grows out of experience—that the real way to distribute this fund in an agricultural State is to distribute it through the local taxing authorities and let them get it into the hands of those who are entitled to it without tolling it 10 per cent. I think it would be nothing more nor less than a scandal that money intended to help the poor and suffering should actually bear a charge of 10 per cent or even 5 per cent at the hands of the State authorities in whose State it is to be administered.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President-

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Georgia yield to the Senator from Kentucky?

Mr. GEORGE. I yield.

Mr. BARKLEY. The suggestion made by the Senator from Georgia, I suppose, would result in turning the money over to the county officers or some one or more of them. The taxing authority is different in each State. I have felt, and I am satisfied the governors of the States have felt, inasmuch as this money was loaned to the States on their application and they were held responsible to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for its administration, that the method of administration that would result in the least criticism and the least waste and the least possibility of scandal would be to set up in each county small expert organizations trained in this sort of work, who would have no desire to use the money for their advancement or for any political reason or in contemplation of any political campaign that might be approaching. All of these things, of course, are a part of human nature, as we understand.

There is no one man in any State who can possibly audit the expenditure of all these funds in the different counties. I imagine that if the Reconstruction Finance Corporation desired to send an agent into each county to audit the expenditure of these funds, there is nothing in the law that

will prevent it, though I do not understand they have done it so far.

It might be that we could arrive at a fair average of the amount that would be needed in most of the States for administration; we might limit it to a certain percentage; but in view of the fact that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation not only has the power to limit it, but is doing it, it would seem to me that we are acting blindly-

Mr. RUSSELL. Mr. President-

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Georgia yield to his colleague?

Mr. GEORGE. I will yield to my colleague when the Senator from Kentucky has finished.

Mr. BARKLEY. We would be acting blindly here, without more information than we have, to set up a percentage beyond which they could not go in the administration of these funds. The conditions vary in the different States, and it may not be possible to fix an average percentage.

Mr. RUSSELL. Mr. President— Mr. GEORGE. I yield to my colleague.

Mr. RUSSELL. The Senator from Kentucky has said on three or four occasions that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation is now limiting the amount each county may expend for administrative expenses. I should like to know what that amount is?

Mr. BARKLEY. It is not a uniform amount. They make an allotment for administrative purposes when they make the award or loan to the State.

Mr. RUSSELL. I think the Senator must be in error about that.

Mr. BARKLEY. They are seeking to control it; it may not be an exact figure in each case but they have taken the position that they have the right—and I think they have the right under the law-to say that not more than a certain percentage of the award or allotment, or whatever it may be called, to any State may be used for administrative purposes. They certainly have some supervision over the

In my State the governor appointed first an administrator and then he appointed an advisory committee. They served, I think, without compensation. That director of relief, whatever he may be called, is in touch with the agencies set up in all the counties. These agencies may or may not be composed of officers of the county.

I happen to know that in the city where I live, the city of Paducah, of thirty-five or forty thousand people, they have opened an office, and they have put in charge of it one or two competent people at a salary of \$50 a month. That certainly is not any evidence of waste on the part of the governor or his relief director. If this language is put in this bill they must discharge forthwith, or whenever this fund begins to operate and the amount already appropriated is exhausted, every one of those employees in the different counties working at that very modest compensation whose duty it is to investigate all the separate cases where application is made for relief. I am only speaking of my State, because I do not know what the situation is in other States, but I imagine that on the average conditions are about the same. I happen to know that in my county there is no law under which the county officers can employ anybody to do the work that is being done by these \$50-a-month employees, and they have either got to stop the relief or they have got to go about it in a haphazard way and, without sufficient investigation, turn over to anybody who applies either cash or its equivalent in supplies; and I think that that would be subject to greater criticism and would lay the foundation for greater waste and dissipation of the funds than is possible under the present plan.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Georgia has the floor. Does he yield to the Senator from Maryland?

Mr. GEORGE. I yield to the Senator from Maryland.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, in administering the credits of the agricultural credit corporation, which I have been looking into somewhat to-day, I find that the manager in

my State, Maryland, receives \$8,500 a year. I do not want to be critical; perhaps there is a good reason for that, but from the very brief investigation which I have made it seems to me that that was a rather high salary to pay for assisting farmers in getting seed loans at a time like this. It is nearly as much money as a United States Senator gets; and it strikes me that a salary of five or six thousand dollars a year would be very bountiful. I think there is a great deal, transferring that same idea to the matter in hand, in what the Senator from Kentucky says. However, may I point out to him that in many of the States the county authorities have already existing agencies?

For example, in Harford County, Md., we not only have a demonstration agent but we have a county nurse, we have a county health officer, and various individuals in similar categories, who are in intimate touch with the needs of the people there. It strikes me that there might be combined in an amendment what is in the bill as it is now written and what the Senator from Kentucky has in mind by providing for the case of States or counties or subdivisions where there is no provision for people to administer this fund; but I would be opposed to expending any money in my State for administrative purposes. I want to see the unemployed get every dollar of this money that it is possible for them to get and not have it used for salary purposes. I will concede, however, that there are States where, perhaps, that would not be a very good rule.

Mr. BARKLEY. It may be that in the Senator's State they have taken more forward steps in organizing social welfare workers than they have in some other States, and I have no doubt that some of the counties of the State of Maryland are qualified and equipped to distribute this money or its equivalent. I understand it is not the policy in most States to pay actual cash, but the relief is paid in kind, so that there can be no criticism that men who obtain the money have used it for purposes wholly without the purview of the law. But, unfortunately, most of the States are not equipped with that sort of an organization.

Mr. TYDINGS. That is true. Mr. BARKLEY. They have no such set-up, and their legislatures, in this situation, would not be willing, I imagine, to pass a law incurring that additional expense at this time. In my State-

Mr. TYDINGS. If I may interrupt the Senator, I concede that there is very good ground for the position he is taking; but I was seeking to safeguard this money for the unemployed wherever it could be done and to make an exception only where the exception was necessary.

Mr. BARKLEY. As a matter of fact, where it is operating, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation is doing that now in States that are equipped to distribute it; they are not making any allowance for administration; but I am not quite sure that I am correct, but I believe that in eight States, if I am not misinformed-six or eight States-because of more advanced legislation, setting up these agencies, they are incurring no expense out of the fund for

Mr. FLETCHER. May I ask the Senator, in order to make headway and see if we can come to some agreement about the matter, if he would be willing to strike out the words "no part" and insert "not exceeding 10 per cent," so that instead of saying that no part of these amounts shall be used for the payment of administrative expenses it would read that not exceeding 10 per cent of the amount paid shall be used for those purposes? I do not know whether that would be agreeable to the Senator from Kentucky or not.

Mr. BARKLEY. If there is going to be any limit, I prefer to leave it to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. because I think they are working it out satisfactorily.

Mr. FLETCHER. I agree with the Senator; I think it ought to come out; but in case we can not do that, I was thinking that we could limit the amount to 10 per cent.

Mr. BARKLEY. I do not know what the sentiment of the Senate is on the subject. I should like at least to have an expression of the Senate on the question of eliminating it altogether.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. President, I want to say that, in my judgment, the Reconstruction Finance Corporation has no authority to limit the amount of money that is to be expended for purposes of administration. It has the power to superimpose its will upon the State authorities, because it has the power to make or not to make the loan. Therefore, as a condition on which it would make a loan to any State, it might prescribe a certain limitation upon the amount that could be used for administrative purposes.

As long as this appropriation was in the nature of a loan, and a loan at least chargeable to the State, there was some restraint and restriction on the expenditure of the money. I have already said, however, that it has become now practically a bounty to the State, and the expenditure will be without any restriction or any accountability; and certainly the Congress ought not to turn over its money without providing that the administrative cost shall be borne by the States receiving the benefit of the bounty, or else imposing some definite and fixed administrative charge that the State would be compelled to observe if it received any part of the money. I have no lack of confidence in the governors of the several States. I am insisting upon a principle.

Mr. McNARY obtained the floor.

Mr. COSTIGAN. Mr. President—
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Oregon yield to the Senator from Colorado?

Mr. McNARY. I do.

Mr. COSTIGAN. Before the Senator from Oregon makes his customary motion may I say a word? I shall not detain the Senate.

The subject before us is important, and my judgment concurs with the views expressed by the Senators from Kentucky, Florida, and Alabama. Both State and locally administered relief, to be effective and economical, requires the expenditure of reasonable amounts of money for administrative purposes.

At this time I wish merely to introduce in the RECORD a memorandum containing pertinent and valuable information on the subject under discussion. It deals with the cost of relief administration in the different parts of the country. The memorandum was prepared for my use this afternoon and is offered in the hope that it may be helpful to the Senate in reaching a final decision in the event the discussion continues until to-morrow.

The memorandum is accompanied by extracts from a statement recently made by Mr. Walter S. Ufford, the devoted and efficient general secretary of the Associated Charities in Washington, D. C. This statement also should appear in the RECORD.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, the matter referred to by the Senator from Colorado will be printed in the RECORD.

The matter referred to is as follows:

COST OF RELIEF ADMINISTRATION

The report of the committee on administration of relief of the Chicago Conference on Maintenance of Welfare Standards, held November 18–20, 1932, under the auspices of the American Public Welfare Association with the cooperation of the Public Administra-tion Clearing House and the School of Social Service Administra-tion of the University of Chicago, made the following recommenda-

'An effective State administrative unit should be established for the direction and supervision of the expenditure of all State and Federal funds appropriated or borrowed for relief purposes.

"In any appropriation for relief a definite allocation of funds for administrative purposes should be included in order to insure proper standards of administration.

"Public welfare administration is a technical function of govern-

ment and includes types of services which require properly pre-pared, qualified personnel."

STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

Expenditures for State administration of relief are necessary for the following purposes: (1) To enable the State to determine where local resources are inadequate and the amount of relief from State and Federal resources required; (2) to assist localities in setting up local relief administrations that will insure economical and effective administration of relief funds; (3) to obtain reports as to the manner in which relief appropriations have been

expended.

The State administrations set up under State laws (see inclosed chart) and also under funds made available by the Reconstruction

Finance Corporation have found it necessary to expend funds for

these purposes, as the following examples show:

New York: Appropriations for the temporary emergency relief New York: Appropriations for the temporary emergency relief administration provided by legislation of 1931 and 1932 covered \$439,000 available for State administration, plus \$100,000 available after approval of the creation of a State debt at the November, 1932, general election. The report of the administration for the period ending September 15, 1932, published October 15, 1932 (p. 13), showed that between November 1, 1931, and September 15, 1932, a total of \$239,461.84, or somewhat less than 1 per cent, was 1932, a total of \$239,461.84, or somewhat less than 1 per cent, was expended or allocated for State administrative purposes. In the hearings on S. 5125, January 3 to 17, 1933 (p. 86), the chairman of the New York Temporary Emergency Relief Administration, Mr. Harry Hopkins, stated, "The foundation of the whole organization is on the basis of trained service. On top of it is much voluntary service." In reply to a question by Senator Costican as to whether it would be economical to endeavor to get along without trained assistance, Mr. Hopkins stated, "You could not do it. You are defeated on all fronts if you tried that." He further stated that they had a budget of about \$30,000 a month for State administration, and that, in his opinion, the amount provided for Federal administration in the Costigan-La Follette relief bill, S. 5125, was very small. was very small.

was very small.

New Jersey: The report of the New Jersey Emergency Relief Administration, dated January, 1932, showed a total of \$40,707.87 expended for State administration from October 13, 1931, to January 1, 1932. Attention was called to the fact that this item was extraordinarily small, due to the fact that there were no charges for rent or office equipment and that department heads and principal assistants were obtained from corporations at their expense. The report contained the following comment (p. 22): "The developments of recent weeks have shown that it will not be possible to continue at the present level of expense. In spite of the attempt to build at once a strong organization, it is evident that it is not yet adequate more particularly because of the breakdown is not yet adequate, more particularly because of the breakdown of local facilities, in many places chiefly due to recent financial

of local facilities, in many places chiefly due to recent financial difficulties."

Wisconsin: The report entitled "Unemployment Relief in Wisconsin, 1932-33," published by the Industrial Commission of Wisconsin, February, 1933, shows that the \$10,000 appropriated from the general fund of the State for State administration of unemployment relief proved insufficient and the commission was compelled to use \$3,756 from a different appropriation and \$5,385.62 from Reconstruction Finance Corporation funds to administer Federal aid from September 1 to January 1. The entire cost of State administration during 1932 was \$19,142, or two one-hundredths of 1 per cent of the amount distributed to local communities (p. 25).

Illinois: The bulletin entitled "Fourth Interim Report Illinois Emergency Relief Commission, Third Interim Report Illinois Emergency Relief Commission (Federal)," January 20, 1933, showed expenditures of \$189,498.32 disbursed for salaries and expenses of the Chicago office. This came from State funds and from Reconstruction Finance Corporation funds (p. 12).

Pennsylvania: Laws of 1931 and 1932 provided a total of \$55,400 for various State officials for the administration of Federal relief funds from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation.

Rhode Island: Laws passed in 1931 and 1932 provide \$35,000 for State administration by the Unemployment Relief Commission and \$5,000 for use by the State board of tax commissioners.

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION

Expenses for local administration are absolutely essential for the following reasons: (1) To eliminate cases not needing relief; (2) to provide against the duplication of relief by several relief agencies through a central relief clearing house; (3) to provide a means for determining how much relief is needed; (4) to provide service that will help the family to become self-supporting at the earliest possible moment.

the earliest possible moment.

The inclosed news bulletin on social statistics in child welfare and related fields, published by the Children's Bureau in September, 1932, contains a chart entitled "Percentage Expended for Relief and for Administrative Costs by Certain Types of Agencies During 1930 and 1931." Twenty-three departments giving general relief in eight metropolitan areas expended 10 per cent of their total disbursements in 1930 for administration, and 7.5 per cent in 1931 for the restriction of Welfare S. Herced for the control of the control o total disbursements in 1930 for administration, and 7.5 per cent in 1931. An excerpt from the testimony of Walter S. Ufford, general secretary, Associated Charities, Washington, D. C., at the hearing before the subcommittee of the House Committee on Appropriations on the first deficiency appropriation bill for 1933, is inclosed herewith. This testimony called attention to experience in a neighboring city where from \$300,000 to \$400,000 per month is spent for relief. An experiment was undertaken for the purpose of determining whether or not reductions in the average number of relief cases per worker would result in a decrease of relief expenditures. A certain district of the city was chosen, and 18 visitors and 3 supervisors were added to the district staff.

relief expenditures. A certain district of the city was chosen, and 18 visitors and 3 supervisors were added to the district staff, which before the experiment included only 12 visitors. At the end of seven weeks a total of \$11,600 had been saved in relief at an additional cost of administration of \$2,600, or a net saving in seven weeks of \$9,000.

New York State: The report of the New York State Temporary Emergency Relief Administration referred to above shows that up to September 15, 1932, \$565,674.12 had been paid out or allocated for reimbursement to cities and counties for salaries of employees in home relief and emergency work bureaus. The report sets out the systems of accounting and social records found to be necessary, the local central indexes that were set up, and the

trained and experienced relief investigators that were required. "In several districts," the report states, "an immediate result of the employment of workers trained for this service was the elimi-

the employment of workers trained for this service was the elimination from the poor-relief lists of many welfare divisions of considerable numbers of long-time beneficiaries, with a consequent saving of funds for the relief of families whose needs were real and urgent" (p. 19).

Wisconsin: The report on unemployment in Wisconsin, published February, 1933, shows administration costs of 8 per cent, based on costs in 30 relief agencies in Wisconsin for the months of September to December, 1932 (p. 24).

Illinois: The Fourth Interim Report and the Third Interim Report of the Illinois Emergency Relief Commission, published January 20, 1933, show costs of administration from State and Reconstruction Finance Corporation funds of 8.46 per cent in Cook County, 6.59 per cent in other counties, or 8.68 per cent in all counties (p. 12).

STATEMENT OF MR. WALTER S. UFFORD, GENERAL SECRETARY ASSOCIATED CHARITIES, WASHINGTON, D. C., AT HEARING BEFORE THE SUBCOMMITTEE OF HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS OF THE FIRST DEFICIENCY APPROPRIATION BILL FOR 1933 (Pp. 78–79)

May I just add that in a neighboring city, where they are spending between \$300,000 and \$400,000 per month and where much of the money is from public appropriations, but is given to the private welfare agencies of that city to administer, they recently made an experiment which throws some light on this question of the so-called overhead. That is a question that we would like to consider in terms of actual service, and, with your permission, I would like to read you this letter that I received this morning from that city. I would like to read it because I know you are deeply interested in that part of the estimate. I read from the letter, as follows: letter, as follows:

letter, as follows:

"The experiment was undertaken for the purpose of seeing whether or not reductions in average case load per worker would result in a decrease in relief expenditures. We chose the southwestern district where the average case load per worker was 188 families. The number of visitors in that district was 12. We decided to put in enough visitors to reduce the average case load to 75 families per worker, and to put in enough supervisors so that no supervisor would be required to supervise more than 10 workers. Actually we added 18 visitors and 3 supervisors to the district staff.

"At the end of the seventh week we had spent in additional

"At the end of the seventh week we had spent in additional salaries for workers about \$2,600 and had made a gross saving of approximately \$11,600 in relief. The net saving we figured was, therefore, in round numbers, \$9,000 for the seven weeks.

Since the months of October and November, during which the experiment was conducted, represented a period during which the experiment was conducted, represented a period during which there is normally an increase in relief cases, relief per capita, and total relief, it was obviously unsatisfactory to calculate savings on the basis of actual previous expenditures of the district. We figured our savings, therefore, by comparing per cent change in six districts where there was no increase in the staff with the experience in southwest district.

"Of course, in some families relief was actually increased, when through a more intimate knowledge, undiscovered relief needs were found. The actual savings seem to have been brought about chiefly through the following: A number of families were found to have some person employed whose employment had not been reported to the district. Other families had reported to the worker a larger number of members in the household than actually existed. In a number of instances, through contact with previous employers and other persons, jobs were found for clients. Budgets were readjusted in some families. Some hitherto unknown resources, such as relatives, churches, etc., were discovered. It was possible by closer supervision for the worker to adhere more strictly to the relief policies of the association, and work orders were adjusted to conform more exactly to policies. A number of families withdrew their applications for relief or did not return to the office when they were put on a lower relief budget."

We have reason to believe that in the administration of public relief here in the District of Columbia everything possible is "Of course, in some families relief was actually increased, when

We have reason to believe that in the administration of public relief here in the District of Columbia everything possible is being done to save money, and to give help only where it is absolutely necessary. We question whether or not enough is being given. We do believe from experiences such as we have cited here, and from our own experience in social work, that the best money, you pay is for trained service, and that you save that money many times over by being able to do a constructive piece of work instead of handing relief out over the counter.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. President, I offer an amendment to the pending amendment offered by the Senator from New York [Mr. WAGNER]. I ask that it may lie on the table and be printed.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The amendment will be received, printed, and lie upon the table.

ADDRESS BY HON. LOUIS LACHMUND ON PROHIBITION AND ASSOCI-ATED PROBLEMS

Mr. McNARY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to include in the RECORD an address delivered before the Oregon State Legislature by Hon. Louis Lachmund, of Salem, Oreg., on the subject of prohibition and associated problems.

There being no objection, the address was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

AN ARGUMENT FOR A CHANGE IN OUR EXISTING PROHIBITION LAWS, WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO THE PROMOTION OF TEMPERANCE AND THE UPBUILDING OF OUR STATE AND NATION FROM AN ECONOMIC, MORAL, AND REVENUE STANDPOINT

The argument herein presented is limited to a discussion of a bill which has been introduced in our legislature having for its purpose the manufacture, sale, distribution of a malt beverage, its regulation, and revenue derived therefrom. Before discussing the question from a purely Oregon standpoint, it might be well to briefly touch the subject from a national standpoint.

Mr. Hoover made it very clear, as food administrator, that the manufacture of beer was of so much greater importance as a temperance and moral measure than the amount of material consumed in its manufacture, that he refused to yield to the

temperance and moral measure than the amount of material consumed in its manufacture, that he refused to yield to the pressure of organized drys.

What are the facts as to these raw materials? Coal, for example? The Anti-Saloon League, in its official organ, published in 1918 a statement attributed to coal administration that the brewing industry from 1916 to 1917, which was before prohibition, consumed 3,220,000 tons of coal. It stated that it would require 180,000 freight cars, or 3,700 freight trains, to haul this coal from the mines to the brewing plants. It further stated that the brewing industry consumed 69,000 cords of wood, 527,000 barrels of oil and gasoline, and over 3,649,000,000 cubic feet of gas for heat and fuel. The coal-mining industry of the country is to-day paralyzed. The railroads have been hit hard, and it is estimated that nearly 1,000,000 railroad employees are idle. Would it be an economic benefit to the country to put the coal operators and miners to work to-morrow morning mining 3,220,000 tons of coal for the brewing industry? Would it be an economic benefit to the country to start 180,000 freight cars rolling to-morrow hauling this coal from the mines to the brewing plants?

Bear in mind that the cars used in coal transportation for the brewing industry were only a part of the whole. It probably required more than ten times 180,000 cars to transport all the raw materials and finished products to and from the brewing plants.

Now, what are the facts as to grain?

Statistics issued by the Prohibition Bureau showed that in 1917, which was before prohibition, the 1,217 brewing plants consumed 3,924,585,831 pounds of grain and other farm products. In 1929, or 12 years later, the 303 cereal-beverage plants consumed 3,924,585,831 pounds of grain and other farm products.

1917, which was before prohibition, the 1,217 brewing plants consumed 3,924,585,831 pounds of grain and other farm products. In 1929, or 12 years later, the 303 cereal-beverage plants consumed 162,000,000 pounds of farm products, or about 4 per cent of the quantity used before prohibition. Prohibition of beer, therefore, deprived the American farmer of 3,761,895,269 pounds of farm products, of which barley was the largest item. This amounts to 80,000,000 bushels, in round numbers, of grain annually. Putting it another way, allowing 1,000 bushels per grower, it would require 80,000 growers to produce the grain used by brewers prior to prohibition. The barley grower went to wheat, with the result of further increasing the surplus of this commodity. In 1917 farmers received \$2.05; in 1918, \$2.06; in 1919, \$2.18. In 1921 after a year of prohibition they received \$1.04, while to-day it ranges all the way from 10 to 30 cents, according to location and distance from market.

Let us touch the question from an unemployment standpoint.

Let us touch the question from an unemployment standpoint. The relegalization of beer would provide employment for 1,250,-000 persons in the brewing and dependent industries. The Federal Government is faced to-day with a Treasury deficit of a billion dollars. Until 1914 beer was taxed at \$1 per barrel and in 1919 was increased to \$6 per barrel which, on a production of 66,000,000 barrels, brought in a revenue of almost \$400,000,000

annually.

annually.

We have had 13 years of prohibition, with the resulting loss of governmental revenue of \$5,200,000,000, which is based on a thenexisting population of 100,000,000 people. It is, therefore, safe to say that the Government has lost revenue in beer alone of more than \$7,000,000,000 under our present population. Then add to this the crime-cost burden and the figures would easily reach the stupendous sum of \$20,000,000,000. In addition to an era of lawlessness and flouting of law we have an economic situation that almost amounts to stagnation. The reopening of breweries, many of which are in a state of delapidation, would set a new industry to work that would absorb 1,250,000 workers, consume 10 per cent of our surplus grain, and result in economic benefits to more than a hundred separate industries.

Let us bring the subject to our own State of Oregon. The hop

Let us bring the subject to our own State of Oregon. The hop crop has been one of our most important agricultural products. Before prohibition we produced as high as 165,000 bales, or the equivalent of 33,000,000 pounds. To-day we produce about 13,-000,000 pounds. But even with this reduced production it employs over 50,000 people to harvest the hopes alone, and spends in excess of \$650,000 in a period of little more than two weeks—a tidy sum in these days of distress. An additional sum of \$900,000 is expended for general production of the crop—insurance, burlap, twine, etc. The price of hops during the past few years has ruled around the cost of production, but with legalized mait beer, increase in acreage would become necessary to balance consumption. But in the meantime the market value of the crop would greatly enhance in value. With the opening of breweries every bale of unsold hops of all ages would be required, and we would go into the coming season with an empty storehouse. The existing acreage in Oregon alone is capable of producing 20,000,000 pounds. It is not unreasonable to anticipate a 50-cent market or a \$10,000,000 hop crop in the Willamette Valley. Let us bring the subject to our own State of Oregon.

Visualize the effect of \$10,000,000 spread through 10 counties in the valley. It would require \$2,500,000 alone to produce the crop, the bulk of which would pass into the pockets of the needy class of people. This would leave \$7,500,000 for the grower to expend. It would enable him to pay his back taxes, lift his mortgages, liquidate his obligations to the banks and merchants and bring about an era of prosperity. Another important feature which must not be overlooked is the fact that 98 per cent of all our hops are sold without the State and not within the State; in other words, this vast sum of money would come from the brewers in New York, Illinois, Wisconsin, Maryland, Massachusetts, and other large consuming centers, and from London, where a part of our hops are annually marketed and for which there is a demand on account of their high quality.

There is an abundance of additional data available for your committee which the trade can and is ready to furnish, but sufficient information is furnished in this brief in the support of the contemplated legislation. The regulatory and revenue features of the bill under consideration may require some changes, but on the whole appears to be satisfactory. I understand that many of its features have been taken from the Quebec law, which to-day is recognized as a model of its kind. No perfect law on this or any other subject can be drawn, but after a fair trial its strength and weakness will soon manifest itself.

ADDRESS BY ARTHUR KROCK ON WORK OF WASHINGTON NEWSPAPER

ADDRESS BY ARTHUR KROCK ON WORK OF WASHINGTON NEWSPAPER CORRESPONDENTS

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD a very learned and enlightening speech delivered by the distinguished editor, Arthur Krock, at the luncheon of the Columbia Alumni Federation on February 13, 1933.

There being no objection, the address was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

President Butler, members of the Columbia alumni, and guests, my pleasure in being asked to participate in the program of such an occasion is, I admit, tinged with surprise that a newspaper man of my classification should be asked to speak. Primarily, the function of the Washington correspondent is to sift information from tion of the Washington correspondent is to sitt information from the heap of governmental activity and present it to the readers of his newspaper without comment. Under the personal responsibility fixed by his signature over his articles he may analyze and interpret the news and reveal the atmosphere in which it is made without committing his newspaper to his analysis or interpretation. But that is as far as the correspondent may go and not transgress the implicit contract between the reputable newspaper and its readers. This contract is that nothing shall be found in the news columns which even by the subtle methods known to and its readers. This contract is that nothing shall be found in the news columns which, even by the subtle methods known to experienced journalists, misrepresents an opinion as a fact. If the correspondent expresses opinions, they must be the opinions of others. And if he inserts his own opinion under the representa-tion that it is the view of the community which the article con-cerns, he has forsaken his pledge and that of his newspaper to its

Because of this severe regulation, the correspondent yields public attention and fame, with their pleasing usufruct, to the signed commentator, the personal editorial writer, and to the editor whose identity becomes generally known. While he digs in the stubborn soil of capitals for his few nuggets of fact, beset by the harshest sort of obstruction and competition, the signed editorial writer and the editor in their peaceful studies await the delivery of these nuggets to the surface, and then leisurely and noncompetitively separate the gold from the dross while thousands cheer. It is notable that the Senate Finance Committee, in seeking the views of those who may know how to save the country, did not ask any correspondent or reporter to come before them. This may serve to explain why I feel especially flattered to-day. I have been both editor and reporter, and I understand their relative status, You of Columbia and at Columbia are used to the unlimited

You of Columbia and at Columbia are used to the unlimited scope of your sages and seers. Their telescopes survey the world at a glance and sweep easily through the solar system and across the sun. At Washington we sit peering into a microscope. On the narrow field beneath our tiny lens the political infusoria dart

the narrow field beneath our tiny lens the political infusoria dart about. Our range is so close that frequently, and properly, we are accused of falling to envisage the broad aspects of problems. But on that narrow field are fought and won and lost some of the most important battles of the world. So it is well, perhaps, that we should sit at our microscopes, bringing daily to the Olympians at their telescopes the news of the political conflict.

At the moment, Washington is the troubled Capital of a troubled Nation and a melancholy world. The fault, I think, is not lack of capacity or good faith on the part of legislators and members of the administration. Under the workings of our political system those responsible for government had half their power shorn in the elections of 1930, when the House became Democratic, and the rest of it was taken by the voters in 1932 when the Senate and the Presidency were also put into the hands when the Senate and the Presidency were also put into the hands of the other party. Since 1930 there has been a partial interregnum, punctuated by an occasional enactment forced by the economic crisis. Since last November the interregnum has be-

come complete, and neither party, the President, nor the President elect is responsible for it.

It was perfectly evident to observers of our system that if the administration and the control of the Senate were changed in the last national elections, the existing situation would result. I

think the change was worth the cost. But, whether it was or not, it seems manifestly wise to stop worrying about the lost motion inevitable up to noon on March 4 and to begin concentrating on how best to set the wheels turning again after that date.

The wheels will turn, and will continue to turn, and will manufacture the energy required to pull the world up to the surface again only if Franklin D. Roosevelt makes a success of the Presidency. His failure would produce conditions which would make the last three years seem like the Golden Age. I do not believe that there is serious disagreement in this presence with

that view.

But these questions immediately arise after the expression of that opinion:

Has Franklin D. Roosevelt the moral and intellectual qualities plus the will and the spirit to make a success?

How can those within the sound of my voice, in common with

American citizens everywhere, help him to that success?

Of the qualifications of the President elect I am confident. He is experienced, earnest, clear in purpose, and possessed of greater public prestige and party strength than any Chief Executive in recent times. But unless he is supported and followed, these qualifications will be insufficient. Opposing him are the personal ambitions of men in office, the natural partisanship of minorities, and a confusion of counsel which must be evident to you in the jangled utterances of your favorite economists and public advisers

How can you help to level these obstacles? I venture to submit some definite suggestions:

Against the powerful and selfish lobbies which control legisla-Against the powerful and selfish lobbies which control legislation because they are organized, each community and group should set up public lobbies and be as ruthless as they are. If they threaten Members of Congress in the name of extravagance, why during this emergency should not public groups of greater size threaten them in the name of economy? I should like to see the National Economy League and that veterans' association which opposes the bonus make political organizations as ruthless for the right as these others are ruthless for the wrong. We have enough commissions and committees already. But there is one enough commissions and committees already. But there is one more which would be worth all the others—a nonpartisan group of national leaders, whose names are known and respected, who will pledge themselves for a year to watch closely what goes on in Washington, and unhesitatingly and publicly denounce, in words which will come to them, all evidences of political sniping or legislative delaying beyond the point where a man has the right to state his opposition.

Behind this national committee should be the body of citizens,

Behind this national committee should be the body of citizens, emphasizing the denunciation by expressing themselves similarly in the newspapers and in letters to their legislators. You can not possibly realize the effect of a widespread attention to this public duty, usually neglected in the United States.

A real opportunity to apply the birch will come when Mr. Roosevelt, as I feel certain that he will, asks Congress to approve changes in the laws affecting veterans' compensation, changes which will reduce the cost of Government by hundreds of millions. Congress would be glad to give him a grant of power in advance, but it has been objected that this is constitutionally impossible. If that objection holds, he must ask for a specific enactment; and the opposition to it, engendered by fear of the lobbies, will be a powerful adversary even to his prestige and political strength. The moment that this comes, if the American people will make themselves heard, it can be won. If they take out their feelings in talk on the street corners, it may be lost.

There are two other instruments necessary to the new President's success—the party caucus and a liberalization of the Senate rules. However much they may dislike the system, the American people must now stand behind the caucus. If the President can not bind his party to his program in advance so that individual legislators, beset by lobbles, may excuse their vote on the ground of party regularity, essential items in his program must fail. If a few Members of the Senate, even with its large Democratic majority, avail themselves of the powers of delay which are inherent in the rules, these should be tightened beyond the point of the closure regulation which is now so reluctantly applied. Demand closure if there is undue delay, and new rules if that remedy proves insufficient. The Senate will hear and heed the demand if it comes from the mass of the citizens.

When Congress is given an unmistakable impression of the people's will, it usually responds. Much of its weakness arises from the fact that on controversial or emergent issues the public permits itself to be split up by propaganda or sentimental emotion, while the lobbles stand solid and firm.

I realize that I am speaking to this group as if it were the whole

I realize that I am speaking to this group as if it were the whole American people. But it is an influential and representative group, and, multiplied as it can be, determined as it should be, with telegraph wires, the mails, and the newspapers wide open to its views, it can enable Franklin D. Roosevelt to effect his program. Whatever that program is, we must support it in its essentials. There is no other pathway to recovery. Every other direction is down direction is down.

ADDRESS BY DR. GEORGE W. TRUETT ON "LIQUOR AND ITS CURE"

Mr. SHEPPARD. Mr. President, I submit for publication in the RECORD an address on Liquor and Its Cure by Dr. George W. Truett, pastor of the First Baptist Church, Dallas, Tex., at the state-wide convention of dry forces at Dallas, Tex., January 2, 1933.

There being no objection, the address was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

printed in the Record, as follows:

Mr. Chairman and all you, my friends and fellow temperance workers, as a citizen of Dallas, I join with my good neighbor, Dr. W. C. Martin, pastor of this great church, in a most cordial welcome to this group who have come to take counsel with each other regarding this great temperance cause. From El Paso to Texarkana, from Brownsville to Denison, from Beaumont to Amarillo, this whole great State is well represented in this conference to-night. I would take a moment also to felicitate the State of Texas that these great leaders, Dr. C. C. Selecman, of Southern Methodist University, as our president; Dr. W. R. White, of Fort Worth, our executive secretary; and that stalwart Christian business man, Arthur A. Everts, our treasurer; Bishops John M. Moore and H. A. Boaz, of the Methodist Church; and many others of like prominence are willing and glad to give their time and energies as leaders in this great cause. Likewise we rejoice that so many of our pastors and educators, doing their noble work in their several fields, are showing their warm sympathy with this movement, so that our hearts are stirred indeed by such a mobilization of strength from all over Texas.

We are of our mind that we are feeled, one of the most record.

zation of strength from all over Texas.

We are of one mind that we are facing one of the most responsible hours ever faced in the life of our country. For more than a hundred years the moral forces of our Nation have been working for temperance and good government. Some of the most vital chapters in our national life have been written in connection with the effort to have a sober, thinking nation, and the highest expression of civilization and government. The eighteenth amendment has been written into the Constitution of the United States. The finest sentiment is behind such enactment by our people. We want to remind ourselves that 9 States were already dry, and that in 1914, 23 more wheeled into the dry column, making a total of 32, before the eighteenth amendment was passed. Then the eight-eenth amendment was written into our Constitution, the greatest eenth amendment was written into our Constitution, the greatest gift of the Nation to the home, and the greatest contribution to our self-government yet made. Soon the 46 States through their legislatures gave full approval to the enactment of this amendment. It has been written into the Constitution of the United States with the greatest backing ever given any Federal law in the life of this country. You want to remember that, for you hear in many directions how that amendment was folsted on the life of the people. Thoughtfully, carefully, prayerfully it was written, and in the same manner adopted.

But now conditions have arisen that compel a represent of this

But now conditions have arisen that compel a reopening of this whole question. Two great parties have made decisions that force us to a reexamination and a reagitation of the matters we thought settled. This is a moral question, and the moral forces of the settled. This is a moral question, and the moral forces of the Nation can not, dare not, and will not be silent about it. If you think the preachers are going to be silent about this principle, that goes to the foundation of the home and the social, moral, religious, and economic life of our people, then you have misread the spirit and the temper of the preachers of the United States. They will not be silent, they can not be silent, when the very stones of the street cry out for action and for leadership. The whole question is now before us for reexamination and reagitation, and in every city, in every town, in every village, and in the whole question is now before us for reexamination and reagitation, and in every city, in every town, in every village, and in the farthest country places, we must bring it before the different estates of our people for their renewed judgment in this great cause. The issue must go back to the tribunal of our people, the message carried by every pulpit and every religious and moral leader in the community, whether in one party or another. If the matter were political, this group would not be here at all. the matter were political, this group would not be here at all. The question is bigger and deeper and broader than that. Our Government is involved, the business of the country is involved, the home is involved, the religion of Christ is involved, the little children and the helpless women are involved, and no true prophet of God in this whole land is being silent to-day. We certify all the estates of our people that this question is now going to have the attention of this Nation wherever we can command that attention. The preachers must speak the truth faithfully and in the right spirit. We have a great message and a great mission; we must now declare that message and fulfill that mission. The awful responsibility of this task is in our hands, and we must not fall. we must not fail.

The press also will help in this fight. I join with Bishop Moore in gratitude that in Dallas our four papers speak earnestly on this subject, and in a way to cheer our hearts. The secular press is needed in this great battle, and we are happy to find that some of our papers are writing some of the most wholesome editorials on which our eyes have fallen. How powerful is the press of our land and how great is its responsibility! It can betray and desert a great democracy or it can sound such clarks notes that that great democracy or it can sound such clarion notes that that democracy may be safe in time of storm, with its feet placed on a high mountain. We will call the press to our aid in this great struggle.

The laity, of course-those noble laymen of every group who are everywhere the bulwark of the social order where it is built on the right foundation—must stand together with us in this crusade. The fine lawyers, the fine educators, the fine business men, other fine professional leaders, the fine physicians—what would we do without the medical profession's clear-voiced stand on prohibition? Every great medical society over the country has taken its stand in favor of prohibition. Many individual professional men have passed on this question in a great way. I have here a report on the Dangers of Moderate Drinking, by Richard Cabot, for many years chief of staff of the Massachusetts General Hospital and professor of medicine in Harvard University. Hear

what he says:

"Forty years ago I was almost as ignorant about alcohol as most wets appear to be to-day. I supposed it would stimulate the circulation and the brain, and so help to pull a man through pneumonia or typhoid. It took years of watching its effects in those and other germ diseases to teach me, as experience has now taught almost all intelligent physicians, that alcohol does harm and not good in infectious diseases. Forty years ago almost all the physi-cians at the Massachusetts General Hospital believed that alcohol stimulated the heart and the powers of resistance in fevers. Now I don't know one who believes that. The medical use of alcohol as a stimulant has been given up in the better hospitals because to-day we know that it is not a stimulant, but a narcotic. We used to think that moderate drinking—'temperance,' as the wets call it—did no harm. Now we know it is responsible for our enorcall it—did no harm. Now we know it is responsible for our enormous loss of life through automobile accidents on our streets. One cocktail is enough to impair the dexterity and quickness of hand and foot, to spoil the man's judgment about speeds and distance, on which safe driving depends. Most of the drunken drivers arrested every week on our streets are not drunk in the ordinary sense. They can walk and talk well enough; they have imbilied the product a smouth of liquor. They are temperate driphers only a moderate amount of liquor. They are temperate drinkers, but they are the most dangerous of all drinkers in this fast-movbut they are the most dangerous of all drinkers in this fast-moving age. The man who is really drunk, the excessive drinker, rarely tries to drive a motor car. It is the moderate drinkers who do most harm. They killed in 1931 more people in the United States than typhoid fever, diphtheria, and influenza put together. "The effects of alcohol in killing people when much of it is taken within a short time, are usually laid to 'bad liquor.' This is very rarely proved. Almost all deaths from drinking are due to too much 'good liquor.'
"Prohibition has not stopped 'moderate drinking' on the part

"Prohibition has not stopped 'moderate drinking' on the part of many persons. Hence we have still to fight the enormous evils of 'temperance' and 'moderation.' The evidence of excessive drinking shown in cirrhosis of the liver and the alcoholic insanity following repeated attacks of delirium tremens are also with us, but have decreased since prohibition, even when enforced as little as the water permit we now to enforce it. forced as little as the wets permit us now to enforce it.

"The wets complain of bootlegging, of riches and power in the hands of criminals, of the bribing of officials and the corruption of those who ought to enforce the law. But who corrupts them? Who gives the criminals power to corrupt them? Of course, it's the wets. They shoot holes in prohibition, and then complain that it isn't bullet-proof. They pay bootleggers to bribe policemen and then they complain that there is much corruption in

"Surely this is a new trick in the public discussion of a serious matter. I never knew people themselves to cause an evil with their own hands, their own money, their own throats, and then go on the stump and complain of it. I never knew a man to pull up a railroad track, burn the ties, and twist the rails, and then complain because his train wouldn't run smoothly over the tracks. I never knew a man to pour water on all fires in his house and then complain 'that they had all gone out.' I never knew a citizen to bribe a policeman to stay off his beat, and then complain that the policeman was not on it.

"That's the wet side of this case, and the only argument they have got is that things are just as bad as they have made them. wets! Their logic is almost as bad as their habits!"

This is not a preacher, friends, it's an honored professor of Harvard University, a staff member of the Massachusetts General Hospital. And he is not alone. Business men like Ford, economists like Fisher, of Yale, join us in the statement that this movement should have the support of every man and woman who loves humanity throughout this great country.

And the women—that mighty group! Whose heart does not stir when good women come to the side of a great cause! Mrs. Henry Peabody told the Congressmen: "Ten millions of the

And the women—that mighty group! Whose heart does not stir when good women come to the side of a great cause! Mrs. Henry Peabody told the Congressmen: "Ten millions of the women of America are now enlisted by name in the battle to keep this country dry. Gentlemen, you will have a lively race to get beyond those women, who are highly resolved that the eighteenth amendment shall not be repealed."

Then the young people, led by such stalwarts as T. C. Gardner, when they hear of this high cause of prohibition, when they are informed as we mean to inform them, they will enlist in myriads under the temperance banner. Young men have won the day in the early American colonies; in the great days of Texas independence; in the French Revolution; at Marathon and Thermopylæ. In the World War, the greatest holocaust the world has ever known, again it was the young men who won the day; and the young men, fortified and encouraged by the young women, are coming to enlist in this war by the millions; the young people of America are going to be mobilized to take care of this great job. great job.

What is to be our argument? Not invective and denunciation. What is to be our argument. Not invective and definition. Not that. When the saloons were in this city years ago I had contacts with the men who ran them. I buried their dead. I sat with them in sorrow. I have gone to their homes after a child had gone, or perhaps a loved wife and mother; and I have said to those men, "Because I am your friend and the friend

of your family I am against your business world without end, because it is wrong." We are sure of the righteousness of our cause. How shall we press it? Not with sarcasm and invective and ridicule. Not with playing politics. This question goes to the very foundation of our social order, and every right-thinking person is pledged to give us hearty cooperation. Let us go out now in this great cause and teach all the estates of our people what is involved. Teach them—tell them—show them.

To-day the people perish from lack of knowledge. It is 15 years since the amendment was voted. A new generation has come which does not know the things we know about the earlier day. It is not enough to tell them that if the eighteenth amendment is repealed we will have the saloons back again. Teach the young people about the saloons. Tell them that women hated to pass

people about the saloons. Tell them that women hated to pass those corners, particularly after the dark had come, because men reeled from those swinging doors stumbling and vomiting and swearing. If you had a woman with you, you walked fast to get by those places—and those places were everywhere. I agree with Bishop Moore that I used to see more drunken men in one Saturday night than I have seen for the last 10 years. Teach the young people about the saloon. Tell them that it was a cesspool in foul things; that in its shelter nestled the gambling house and that den of iniquity, the brothel, where women sold their bodies for money. The youth doesn't want that back again any more than we do, and they with us will dedicate their lives to the statement that it shall not come back. This is our great task—to go out and tell the young people. The saloon was the enemy of the Nation. It was the enemy of our bodies, for eyes were bleared and hands were trembling; it was the enemy of our minds, for clear thinking and high purpose were impossible under the for clear thinking and high purpose were impossible under its rule; it was the enemy of the souls of men and the power of God, and it was declared in the Good Book that no drunkard shall enter the kingdom of God; so it is written, "Cursed be he that putteth the wine cup to his brother's lips, for at the last it biteth like a serpent and stingeth like an adder.'
All these things we will tell our young people.

All the estates of our people will be advised again concerning them. We used to know these things. It used to be that never a week went by that we did not hear them from our mothers or from the pulpit that we did not hear them from our mothers or from the pulpit or from one of the temperance bands; that drink was the enemy of mankind, the source of poverty and pain, was brought home to us earnestly and often. It is still the great enemy, the enemy of decent civil government, the Gibraltar of bad politics in America. It is political harlotry for the State to go into the business of legalizing the liquor traffic. We are going to tell the young people that we are turning back to black savagery, if we allow liquor back in this country. We will find the conscience of our people because we have a conscience that can not be stilled. young people that we are turning back to black savagery, it we allow liquor back in this country. We will find the conscience of our people, because we have a conscience that can not be stilled. Paul, the greatest apostle, was grandest of all when he said, "Herein do I exercise myself, to have a conscience void of offense toward God and man." We are banded together in the great bundle of life. No man liveth to himself or dieth to himself. Lady Macbeth may wring her white hands and say she did not murder Duncan, yet is she guilty of blackest murder because she cheered on the man who put the dagger to his heart. If we are silent when it is planned to turn that black tide of ruin upon our country, then you and I are particeps criminis in this great

We will certify to the American people all these facts. We will not be silent. Too much is at stake. "I am debtor to Jew and Greek * * to all men." We are debtor to all men to-day, and to those coming after us. Here is a great moral question, going to the very taproot of our national life, and we must deal with it. To get our tax money out of legalized liquor is one of the most incongruous things one could possibly dream about. Imagine taxing crime and ruin to get money to run our schools and hospitals! Taxing iniquity to run our State is a crime against humanity. Such a moral wrong never could be right. To take such money to run our social institutions is one of the most impossible things in the history of civilization. Tax money. Revenue. There are some things right-minded men and women must nue. There are some things right-minded men and women must not dare to consider. We are to make the people see that. Into every schoolhouse, every hamlet, every wayside place we must take the message, and my humble judgment and deep conviction is that when the people see it clearly they will say, "The eighteenth amendment must be maintained." It will be treason to nullify the efforts they have made for years to make and keep this a sober Nation in the sight of God.

This is an hour of reddition to a great nurses. The gauntlet

Nation in the sight of God.

This is an hour of rededication to a great purpose. The gauntlet has been thrown with a sort of gay defiance. We will take it up, and we will never drop the fight until it is written in the annals once and for all that there shall be no legalized liquor in our country. This is a great hour we are facing, and we face it with courage. We are of one mind—that there has been a slump in moral ideals since the war to the last degree regrettable. We have clear wills field the preprive severed there in our feetile fields but the slept while the enemy sowed tares in our fertile fields, but the children must come back and get the right education on this matter. Homes, as the great educators, must come back and challenge the power of the liquor traffic; and under the directed power that flows in this week from one end of the country to the other we must speak our will to our legislative bodies. And remember that back of every political group is God. "Ride on, O King Eternal." Every man and woman who hears me to-night will go out to say, "Because we care for the highest welfare of mankind—too much is at stake; we can not be silent; we tell you what this this thin the same of the same what this thing means to you and to those who come after you,

and we ask God to bring it close home to you." If we do this worthily, earnestly, persistently, prayerfully, there is one sure outcome.

> "Our fathers to their graves have gone; Their strife is past—their triumph won; But sterner trials wait the race Which rises in their honored place-A moral warfare with the crime And folly of an evil time.

So let it be. In God's own might We gird us for the coming fight, And strong in Him whose cause is ours
In conflict with unholy powers,
We grasp the weapons He has given,
The light, the truth, and love of Heaven."

O God, lead us on!

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. McNARY. Mr. President, I move that the Senate carry out the unanimous-consent agreement and adjourn until 11 o'clock to-morrow morning.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The question is on the motion of the Senator from Oregon.

The motion was agreed to; and (at 5 o'clock and 35 minutes p. m.) the Senate, under the order previously entered, adjourned until to-morrow, Saturday, February 18, 1933, at 11 o'clock a. m.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 1933

The House met at 12 o'clock noon.

The Chaplain, Rev. James Shera Montgomery, D. D., offered the following prayer:

Lord God of hosts, be with us yet and let us be conscious of Thy holy presence. With gratitude we approach Thee. Make us altogether worthy of our high calling and touch our hearts by the breath of our Heavenly Father. As servants of the people, may we be prophets and ministers of a better day dawn. Thou who art the bread of life strengthen us in that faith that maketh not ashamed and that sees the sun behind the floating cloud. We pray, blessed Lord, for the might of that faith that rebukes selfishness and greed and that lays hold of the altar of God. We thank Thee for the Teacher of Samaria, whose beatitudes are His portrait, whose life is the light of men, and who still walks the waves of this turbulent world. Amen.

The Journal of the proceedings of yesterday was read and approved.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Craven, its principal clerk, announced that the Senate had passed, with amendments in which the concurrence of the House is requested, a bill of the House of the following title:

H. R. 14363. An act making appropriations for the Departments of State and Justice and for the judiciary, and for the Departments of Commerce and Labor, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed a joint resolution of the following title, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. J. Res. 211. Joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

The message also announced that the Senate had agreed to the amendments of the House to the concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 42) entitled "Concurrent resolution to compile, print, and bind the proceedings of Congress in connection with the exercises in memory of the late President Calvin Coolidge."

The message also announced that the Senate had passed the following resolution:

Senate Resolution 362

Resolved, That the Senate has heard with profound sorrow the announcement of the death of Hon. Godfrey G. Goodwin, late a Representative from the State of Minnesota.

Resolved, That a committee of two Senators be appointed by the Vice President to join the committee appointed on the part of the House of Representatives to attend the funeral of the deceased Representative.

Resolved, That the Secretary communicate these resolutions to the House of Representatives and transmit a copy thereof to the family of the deceased.

Resolved, That as a further mark of respect to the memory of the deceased Representative the Senate do now take a recess until 12 o'clock m. to-morrow.

The message also announced that pursuant to the foregoing resolutions the Vice President had appointed Mr. SHIPSTEAD and Mr. SCHALL members of the committee on the part of the Senate to attend the funeral of the deceased Representative.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to Mr. Kleberg, for two days, on account of important business. The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the order of the House, the Chair declares the House to be in recess.

Accordingly the House stood in recess, to meet at the call of the Speaker.

ORDER OF EXERCISES

Prelude, Sacred Selections (11.30 to 12)-

United States Army Band Orchestra Presiding Officer____The Speaker of the House of Representatives Invocation_____The Chaplain, Dr. James Shera Montgomery Male quartette—Lamp in the West (Parker)____Double quartette Scripture reading and prayer_____The Chaplain Scripture reading and prayer_ Roll of Deceased Members—

The Clerk of the House of Representatives Devotional silence.

Contralto solo-God Shall Wipe Away All Tears (Harker)-Vera Ross—Edgar T. Paul, accompanist
Hon. John W. McCormack

Representative from the State of Massachusetts

Representative from the State of New York
Baritone solo—Hosanna (Granier) _______Edwin C. Steffe
Cornet solo—Nearer My God to Thee______John J. Kahler Staff sergeant, United States Army Band

__The Chaplain

MEMORIAL SERVICES

The SPEAKER of the House of Representatives presided. The Chaplain, Doctor Montgomery:

Almighty God, unto whom all hearts are open, all desires are known, and from whom no secrets are hid, cleanse the thoughts of our hearts by the inspiration of Thy Holy Spirit, that we may perfectly love Thee and worthily magnify Thy holy name. Amen.

The double quartet sang "Lamp in the West." The Chaplain, Doctor Montgomery:

Let not your heart be troubled; believe in God; believe also in Me. In my Father's house are many mansions; if it were not so, I would have told you, for I go to prepare a place for you. And if I go and prepare a place for you, I will come again and receive you unto Myself, that where I am there ye may be also.

Consider the lilies of the field, how they grow; they toil not, neither do they spin; and yet I say unto you that even Solomon in all his glory was not arrayed like one of these. Wherefore, if God so clothe the grass of the field, which today is, and to-morrow is cast into the oven, shall He not much more clothe you, O ye of little faith?

And He showed me a pure river of the water of life, clear as crystal, proceeding out of the throne of God and of the Lamb. In the midst of the street of it, and on either side of the river was there the tree of life; and the leaves of the tree were for the healing of the nations.

And there shall be no night there; and they need no candle, neither light of the sun; for the Lord God giveth them light; and they shall reign for ever and ever.

Serene, I fold my hands and wait, Nor care for wind, or tide, or sea.

I rave no more 'gainst time or fate, For, lo! my own shall come to me.

I stay my haste, I make delays, For what avails this eager pace?

I stand amid the eternal ways. And what is mine shall know my face. Asleep, awake, by night or day,
The friends I seek are seeking me;
No wind can drive my bark astray,
Nor change the tide of destiny.

What matter if I stand alone?

I wait with joy the coming years.

My heart shall reap where it has sown,

And garner up its fruit of tears.

The stars come nightly to the skies;
The tidal wave unto the sea;
Nor time, nor space, nor deep, nor high,
Can keep my own away from me.

So I stand by my cross on the lone mountain crest,
Looking toward the ultimate sea.
In the gloom of the mountain a ship lies at rest,
And one sails away from the lea.
One spreads its white sails on a far-reaching track,
With pennant and sheet flowing free.
One lies in the shadow with sails laid back,
The ship that is waiting for me.
For lo, in the distance the clouds break away,
The gate's flowing portals I see.
And I hear from the outgoing ship in the bay
A song of the sailors in glee.
So I wait for my ship to go to the shore,
In the ship that is waiting for me.

Our Father, which art in heaven, hallowed be Thy name. Thy kingdom come. Thy will be done, on earth as it is in heaven. Give us this day our daily bread. And forgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors. And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil. For Thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, forever. Amen.

ROLL OF DECEASED MEMBERS

Mr. Patrick J. Haltigan, reading clerk of the House, read the following roll:

CHARLES W. WATERMAN, SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF COLORADO
Lawyer; teacher; practiced law in Denver; held honorary degree
of doctor of laws of the University of Vermont; taught school
in Iowa and Connecticut. Elected to the United States Senate
November 2, 1926. Died August 27, 1932.

WESLEY LIVESEY JONES, SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF WASHINGTON Lawyer; Representative at Large from the State of Washington to the Fifty-sixth and to the four succeeding Congresses. Elected to the United States Senate March 4, 1909, and reelected in 1914, 1920, and 1926. Died November 19, 1932.

EDWARD EVERETT ESLICK, SEVENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

Lawyer; farmer; presidential elector in 1896 and presidential elector for the State at large in 1900-1904; represented the Government as appeal agent during World War. Elected to the Sixtyninth, Seventieth, Seventy-first, and Seventy-second Congresses. Died June 14, 1932.

HENRY ST. GEORGE TUCKER, TENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

Lawyer; teacher; author; elected to the Fifty-first and three succeeding Congresses; dean of law school at Washington and Lee University, 1899–1902, and at George Washington University, 1903–1905: president American Bar Association, 1904–5; president Jamestown Expedition Co., 1905–1907. Member of Sixty-seventh and each succeeding Congress. Died July 23, 1932.

JOHN CHARLES LINTHICUM, FOURTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

Teacher; lawyer; elected to State senate in 1905; presidential elector in 1908. Elected to the Sixty-second and each succeeding Congress. Died October 5, 1932.

CHARLES A. KARCH, TWENTY-SECOND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

Lawyer; served three terms in lower house of Illinois General Assembly; United States attorney for eastern district of Illinois, 1914-1918. Elected to Seventy-second Congress. Died November 6, 1932.

JAMES CAMPBELL M'LAUGHLIN, NINTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Lawyer; prosecuting attorney of Muskegon County, 1887-1901; a member of the board of State tax commissioners and State board of assessors, 1901-1906. Elected to Sixtieth and each succeeding Congress. Died November 29, 1932.

DANIEL EDWARD GARRETT, EIGHTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Lawyer; member of House of Representatives of Tennessee, 1892-1896; member of the Tennessee State Senate 1902-1906. Moved to Texas and was elected from that State to the Sixty-third, Sixty-fifth, Sixty-seventh, and each succeeding Congress. Died December 13, 1932.

ROBERT REYBURN BUTLER, SECOND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF OREGON

Lawyer; presidential elector in 1908 and again in 1916; appointed circuit judge for eleventh judicial district of Oregon, 1909; elected to Oregon Senate in 1912 and served during 1913–1915 sessions and reelected in 1924 for the 1925–1927 sessions. Elected to Seventieth, Seventy-first, and Seventy-second Congresses. Died January 7, 1933.

SAMUEL AUSTIN KENDALL, TWENTY-FOURTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

Teacher; business man; superintendent of public schools at Jefferson, Iowa; returned to Pennsylvania in 1890 to engage in lumbering and mining; member of State house of representatives, 1899–1903. Elected to Sixty-sixth and each succeeding Congress. Died January 8, 1933.

GODFREY GUMMER GOODWIN, TENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Lawyer; prosecuting attorney of Isanti County, 1898–1907; again elected as prosecuting attorney of Isanti County 1913 and served until February 15, 1925, when he resigned, having been elected to Congress; president of the Cambridge Board of Education, 1914–1917; served during World War as a Government intervenor and appeal agent for the draft board of Isanti County. Elected to the Sixty-ninth and succeeding Congresses. Died February 16, 1933.

Mrs. Norton, a Representative from the State of New Jersey, standing in front of the Speaker's rostrum, placed a memorial rose in a vase as the name of each deceased Member was read by the Clerk.

Then followed one minute of devotional silence.

Vera Ross sang "God Shall Wipe Away All Tears."

Hon. John W. McCormack, a Representative from the
State of Massachusetts, delivered the following address:

ADDRESS OF HON. JOHN W. M'CORMACK, OF MASSACHUSETTS

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, in one of the most trying periods of our peace-time history we pause to pay tribute to our honored departed whose names have just been read. It is fitting and proper that we should render this official and personal tribute of honor and respect.

We meet to-day not only to pay reverence to their memory but to consecrate ourselves to the cause of liberty, of justice, of progress, and of independence which they so faithfully served. It therefore follows that this is a day not only of commemoration but of dedication.

As one who believes "that death is but the beginning and not the end," I can see our departed friends in "that beautiful land on high" speaking to us from "beyond the Great Divide."

He who liveth and believeth in Me liveth forever.

Since the memorial exercises of last year two Senators and nine Representatives in the Congress of the United States have received their last earthly summons. This occasion of necessity calls for a composite and not an individual eulogy.

When the roll was called we heard the names of departed colleagues, every one of whom in lifetime stood for the best traditions and loftiest ideals humanly attainable. Each and every one of them knew the spirit of the founders as expressed in the Constitution—knew the soul of the Nation—and that spirit became theirs. Each one of them had a profound knowledge of our country's history; the general and permanent interest and welfare of the United States was sacred to them, and they promoted and defended that interest without flinching and without fear. They gave to life and to their Government the best that was in them. Fitted by tradition, learning, and training, they rendered the high character of public service which entitled them to say at the end of life's journey, in the words of John Quincy Adams:

I have the approbation of my own conscience.

They were not of the school who believed that the world owed them a living but of the school who gave freely and voluntarily of their great abilities that mankind might progress and that Government might be improved upon for the benefit of their generation and of generations to come. The contributions of past generations of Americans to the establishment and progress of our Government, with their unwritten mandate to preserve and improve upon for the benefit of the next generation, was assumed and fulfilled by our friends who are watching and listening from beyond. They loved their country and had an abiding faith in its people, its institutions, and its future—a lesson to many Americans of to-day.

The thought entered my mind as the roll was called that collectively they constitute as fine a group of outstanding Americans for whom memorial exercises ever have been held. They did not believe that mere material development was the only or highest goal; they knew and exemplified during their lives that the true greatness of a nation was moral and intellectual, the upbuilding of character and intelligence, the preservation and the sanctity of the family life, and the recognition of the omnipotence of the Master of the universe.

Not only were they men possessed of profound knowledge and experience, and knowledge is power, but they knew the rocks on which nations have been shattered, and steered their course far away.

What greater ambition can one possess than to strive to do their best, spiritually and materially, for their fellow men; to reduce the sum of human misery; to help those less fortunate than themselves; and to preserve for future generations the constructive efforts and contributions of the generations of the past? Such were the thoughts entertained and practiced by our departed friends, thoughts and purposes which are commendable to every true American of to-day.

As the late Henry Cabot Lodge once said:

Whatever our faith, whatever our belief in progress, there can be no nobler purposes for man than thus to deal with the only earth he knows and the fragment of time awarded him for his existence here.

Or, in the words of the poet:

His gentleness, his tenderness, his fair courtesy,
Were like a ring of virtues 'bout him set,
And Godlike charity, the center where all met.

They underwent experiences common to mankind, with the joys and sorrows of life. The character and reputation that they established, together with their ability, attracted the attention of their people who sent them to powerful and honorable positions in the Congress of the United States, to contribute toward the solving of the affairs of the Nation. Wise in counsel, sound in judgment, and by reason of their capacity and ability they served on important and powerful committees of the Senate and of the House of Representatives, and died while rendering such service to the country and their people. They lived during the time allotted to them by God, and when the summons came they left behind nothing but praise, and they had before them the certainty of reward. During their years of public service they had witnessed and encountered violent political and industrial changes, shaking the purpose of the weak and vacillating; vet, in victory or defeat, in prosperity or adversity, they stood the same courageous representatives of the people, never compromising with error nor surrendering to expediency.

> He never sold the truth to serve the hour, Or palter'd with eternal God for power.

As we view their unsullied and noble purposes, as we view them as we knew them, our reverence and admiration alike grow even stronger. We turn to them in gratitude, and we commend what they did and their examples to those who come after us.

These men represented the cross current of American life. The roll shows that the United States Senators represented the sovereign States of Colorado and Washington and the Representatives districts in the sovereign States of Tennessee, Virginia, Maryland, Illinois, Michigan, Texas, Oregon, Pennsylvania, and Minnesota—North, East, South, and West.

They did not represent alone the district or State or the section of the country from which they came; they did not

consider legislation from the local or sectional viewpoint, but approached the determination of all problems from a broad, national basis. "We are one people; we have one destiny; we must rise or fall together."

Such a feeling is the courageous spirit of all persons who comprehend the true, broad-minded, patriotic functions of a citizen, and particularly those in public or official life, irrespective of the office or position they occupy.

The great lesson we can learn from the lives of our late colleagues is a constant devotion to our country and its institutions; that we must love and defend our country for our country's sake. Their intense Americanism must always encourage us, and we must faithfully and courageously discharge the duties which devolve upon us in whatever station or sphere of life we may be placed.

The Congress of the United States is the outstanding legislative body of the world. Its Members legislate for the most powerful nation that has existed in the history of time. Its Members, under normal conditions, have important duties to perform. In abnormal times, such as we are confronted with to-day, the responsibility is so great that at times one has a feeling of helplessness. New and unexpected questions, which I will not specifically mention, have recently arisen and will continue to arise. We are all conscious of our responsibility. We are seeking that sense of direction and skill to carry to a fulfillment a policy of practical emancipation from economic disturbances. We are all seeking to preserve the fundamentals and improve upon the structure of government.

As, in the concluding words of Woodrow Wilson, in his first inaugural:

We know our task to be no mere task of politics, but a task which shall search us through and through.

And, as our departed friends look down upon us, I can see their smiles of confidence in our ability and our courage to meet the present situation, to determine our problems, and to be recorded by the historians of to-morrow as a generation "who kept the faith." "An invulnerable state of states, an undefeatable country of countries, an indestructible nation of nations!"

Our heritage to preserve and transmit to generations yet unborn.

Mrs. Edgar T. Paul sang "These Are They."

Hon. FREDERICK M. DAVENPORT, a Representative from the State of New York, delivered the following address:

ADDRESS OF HON, FREDERICK M. DAVENPORT

Mr. DAVENPORT. Mr. Speaker, we do well to pay affectionate tribute to those of our colleagues who during the past year have entered upon the great adventure which we call death, an adventure which all would escape but which no one does escape. Death is the most startling and dramatic event in life, and to the end of the world friends of the fallen will gather to reflect upon their virtues, to ponder upon the meaning of death, upon the lessons of forbearance and humility one toward another which it enforces, and to renew the human sympathy and understanding which fail us often in the midst of the conflicting and competitive affairs of our everyday lives.

These colleagues who have left us were from the East and from the West, from the North and from the South. Yet they wrought for a common purpose within these Halls. When death comes and history gets a hearing, sections of our common country mean less than nothing at all. The most tragic cleavage that America ever experienced came in the years preceding and embracing and following the Civil War. Yet in the perspective of history the two greatest figures to emerge from that war are Abraham Lincoln and Robert E. Lee. Conflicting opinions and partisan passions and sectional strife can not live in the presence of death and character. Death teaches unity and tolerance and peace. In the range of the years nothing counts but character. These colleagues of ours were men of character and patriotic purpose who died in the service of their country.

Of recent years there has been much comment upon the heavy mortality in the membership of the Congress of the United States. So far as I know there has never been any attempt to compare it with the general mortality of the same age periods within the population. Such comparison might mean little, inasmuch as the membership of the Congress is a grouping of its own kind. There is usually some particular substantial physical and mental reason for the men and women who are here. At least, nearly all who achieve election to this House are not here by chance.

There are certain periods-after a great war, for examplewhen young men of reputation rapidly win their way in public affairs. But usually it is through physical and mental stress and strain that one has battled his way through the years to this eminence-often to the more or less unconscious neglect of his natural well-being. Every campaign thereafter is likely to be, and in most cases is, a gruelling struggle against difficult opposition. A seat in the Congress is a coveted prize in every community in the United States. The ambition for personal preferment, the ambition to be close to the sources of power in the country, the ambition to serve well the age in which he lives, all contribute to the highly competitive conflict which an aspirant for a seat in this Congress usually finds himself in the midst of. And there is no release from strain when he arrives. The complexity of the problems he has to face, the gravity of the decisions which he is called upon to make, the frequent pressure of selfish group interests upon his spirit, the burden of the human contacts with a quarter to a half million people in his constituency, easily undermine his vital resistance, if he is not intelligently careful. The human relation of a genuine representative with hundreds of his constituents is as intimate as that of the doctor, the lawyer, the priest, or the minister. Continuity of rest may become as impossible as continuity of work upon the real task of legislation. It is not surprising if some hitherto unsuspected physical defect appears and assumes the proportions of a physical catastrophe.

The life of a conscientious Member of Congress is a life of exacting service to a degree which is totally unappreciated by large numbers of the population in the times in which we live. There is no more dangerous symptom in the American democracy than the tendency on every hand to point the finger of scorn at the Congress of the United States. I do not say that the Congress is without blame. We are capable of doing foolish things. We are temporarily swept by selfishness and personal fears and political moods, but I have never ceased to declare, since I came to know this House, that on fundamental questions of right or wrong for America, if you give this House time and give it the facts, there is no power on earth that can buy it or cajole it or deceive it.

It is a true cross section of the American people. Its very faults and blunderings are the faults and blunderings of the American people. Its aspirations and ideals, its yearnings for a better economic and social order are the yearnings, the aspirations, and the ideals of the American people. And if American democracy is to survive, this conception of their parliamentary representatives must speedily be adopted by the American people, and the Congress of the United States must not fail to fulfill the promise of the new faith.

It is a dangerous time. We still have widely heterogeneous elements in our population, diverse sectional backgrounds and interests, a group of economic and social problems more intricate than any that have heretofore troubled America. Popular government was never so hard to manage nor in greater peril.

The American people have elected 435 men and women to this House, most of them trained in the school of practical reality, to face this time in which we live and, so far as government can do it, to point the way out of the morass into which the world has fallen, to do battle with the forces of disintegration which have attacked us, and to shape the

destiny of 120,000,000 people. In this crisis the American people ought to give to their Representatives the same backing that they would give their armies in war. Next to enlisting in war, the American people, their humorists, their editors, their critics, ought to teach the young men and women of the present generation that there is nothing more difficult nor more important than enlisting and fighting in the public service.

If the sentiment of millions at home is hostile to the army the army must collapse. Thus the Russian Army collapsed in the World War. If the sentiment of the people at home is hostile to their public servants, consciously or unconsciously, their public servants can not do their best. If the American people expected more from the Congress of the United States, they would receive more. We reap what we sow. If we sow, in our press, in our daily conversation, in our public thought, distrust and disdain of our public servants, we reap what we sow. As I see it, the Congress of the United States is tremendously handicapped at the present hour by the lack of inspiration that the confidence of a good expectancy on the part of the country would give them to do their best. If America is to remain America, if parliamentary government is to survive among us, a balanced cooperation between public opinion and the constitutional representatives of the people can not too soon be restored.

These men who are gone were Members of this elect company of Representatives of the common weal. They met the tests of their high office courageously and intelligently. But they are gone. And everyone who goes from us is dear to some one who is left behind—dear to families and friends who are here to-day.

We are forever pausing in memorial gatherings like this to ponder anew the tragic termination of life and the prospect of continuing existence and reunion. In such matters our reflection is not theological nor creedal nor denominational. It is human and what we call religious. Centuries have come and gone since this country was settled and nearly 150 years since the Nation was founded. Religion, as we call it, has been subjected to deep and powerful criticism. Some have rejected it, but not many. Each new generation has had to face the same life experiences of suffering and sorrow which have driven human beings since the primitive days toward eternal hope. Not all the governmental authority of any nation on earth can drive this hope out of the heart of man. Americans, like other people, are as instinctively religious to-day as they ever have been. Each new disclosure of science reveals more clearly a universe of purpose, into the very physics and chemistry of which is wrought the mathematical exactness of an infinite intelligence. The theory of a blind, mechanical materialism, driven by fate, comports no more reasonably than it ever did with the human development of love and sacrifice in the free soul of a mother. In spite of the vast modern advance in science, there is still no reason why the same power which produced the marvelous mystery of energy in the human frame and fitted into it the human spirit, may not also be true to the yearnings and the aspirations of the creature He has made, and in His own time and place, bring together again these dynamic centers of personality and energy into what we call immortality. There is still room for the simple faith of the race that the tangled threads of this life are all the time being woven into the tapestry of eternal justice. It is in this faith to-day that we bid our comrades hail and farewell.

It is for us to snatch the torches falling from their grasp and bear them on through the darkness of the present hour. In these days of bewilderment and despair for so many millions of our countrymen, it is for us to hold unflinchingly to the faith of our fathers, to support by deed and word a popular confidence in the institutions and ideals which have made America.

> Say not the struggle naught availeth, The labor and the wounds are vain, The enemy faints not nor faileth, And as things have been they remain.

If hopes were dupes, fears may be liars; It may be, in yon smoke concealed, Your comrades chase e'en now the fliers, And, but for you, possess the field.

For while the tired waves, vainly breaking, Seem here no painful inch to gain, Far back, through creeks and inlets making, Comes silent flooding in, the main.

And not by eastern windows only, When daylight comes, comes in the light, In front, the sun climbs slow, how slowly, But westward, look, the land is bright.

Mr. Edwin C. Steffe sang Hosanna.

John J. Kahler, staff sergeant, United States Army Band, rendered as a cornet solo Abide With Me.

The Chaplain, Rev. James Shera Montgomery, D. D., offered the following benediction:

And now under Thee who is able to keep you from falling and present you before the presence of Throne; unto Him be glory and honor, dominion and power, both now and ever.

And now may grace, mercy, and peace from God the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, abide with us and keep us always. Amen.

CHARLES W. WATERMAN

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, when Charles W. Waterman passed away on August 27, 1932, Colorado lost its seventeenth United States Senator, and one who had worthily followed a line of illustrious men whose names are still remembered for their able endeavors in the upbuilding not merely of the State of Colorado but of the entire Rocky Mountain region.

Senator Waterman was born in a Vermont village on November 2, 1861; before school days came he was crippled, and for seven years he hobbled to the little country school on crutches. Diligently pursuing his steadfast purpose to obtain an education, he overcame the difficulties caused by his frail body, and in 1885 graduated from the University of Vermont with the degree of bachelor of arts. In 1922 he was honored by his alma mater with the degree of doctor of laws. His high esteem for that university was recognized in his will by a substantial endowment. It was not until 1889 that he completed the law course of the University of Michigan, from which he graduated with the degree of bachelor of laws, and was admitted to the bar of the State of Colorado at Denver the same year. The following summer, on June 18, 1890, he married Anna R. Cook of Burlington, Vt., who throughout all the struggles of his life was ever an inspiration to his success.

When first he practiced law in Denver it was in the office of John F. Shafroth, who in later years was Governor of the State of Colorado for two terms, the sixth United States Representative for the first district of Colorado for almost 10 years, and the twelfth United States Senator for one term of six years. As a young lawyer Senator Waterman's ability soon attracted attention, and one day he was invited to become an assistant in the office of Wolcott & Vaile, of which firm the senior member, Edward O. Wolcott, was Colorado's seventh United States Senator, who served two full terms, covering a period of 12 of the most eventful years of the State's history.

After Senator Wolcott's death in 1905, Senator Waterman became a member of the firm of Wolcott, Vaile & Waterman and continued in that partnership until 1908. With such continual association with men devoting their best talents to the public welfare, covering a period of almost 20 years, it is little wonder that Senator Waterman aspired to also devote a part of his career to the welfare of his country, and to serve the people of his adopted State in the United States Senate and participate in the councils of the Nation.

It is not my purpose to detail his advancement in the practice of his chosen profession, but merely to mention his selection from time to time during the 34 years of his active practice by some of the greatest business men of the State and Nation as their legal counselor. His advice to

and advocacy of the causes of the Denver & Rio Grande Railroad; the Chicago, Burlington & Quincy; Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific; the Great Western Railway; and the Great Western Sugar Co., by whom he was retained during the last 15 years of his active practice—from 1908 to 1923—sufficiently characterize him as a man of great legal attainments.

He was a member of the American, Colorado, and Denver Bar Associations for years, and after his retirement from the practice of law in 1923 he devoted months of service to the United States, without compensation, as the general counsel of the Oil Conservation Board, to which post he was appointed by his old friend and brother Vermonter, President Calvin Coolidge. This was the only public office he ever held until his election in the campaign of 1926 as United States Senator.

Upon his arrival in the United States Senate in 1927, Senator Waterman was appointed a member of the Committees on Claims, Naval Affairs, Patents, Privileges and Elections, and Judiciary. Later he became chairman of the Committee on Patents.

During his first few months in the Senate he took no part in debate, but was very active in the work of the committees to which he had been assigned, as appears from the number of printed reports on pending bills. It was on February 20, 1928, that he first entered the Senate debate while a bill was being considered concerning the settlement of claims against Germany and disposition of property held by the Alien Property Custodian. His first question went to the heart of the whole matter. He inquired:

If this bill is passed and becomes a law, and the German Government does not pay as we now anticipate, then these American claimants must necessarily be paid out of the Public Treasury of the United States, must they not?

How much discussion we have had during the months succeeding his death of this very question, and all that was thereby so ably suggested by his prophetic vision.

His forceful presentation of the Vare election contest, support of a sufficient sugar tariff, and various matters included in bills to amend the patent and copyright laws in 1929 directed the attention of the Senate to his forensic skill, his precision in argument, and his power in debate.

By his passing Colorado lost one of the stalwart supporters of the State and its industries and the Nation one of its sound counselors and advocates. His life is another story of continued battling toward success and the overcoming of the physical misfortunes which impeded his progress. He was rapidly becoming one of the Senate's most useful Members when in the summer of 1931 his health began to fail, but the record votes of the Senate show how he stood faithful at all times during his long illness until through sheer lack of physical strength he was unable to be present and answer as his name was called.

During his more than 40 years of active life in and for Colorado and its industries Senator Waterman made many abiding friendships. His sincerity of purpose was never questioned. His promise could always be relied upon. Once his course was set he was not to be diverted by friend or enemy. He was forceful, courageous, courteous, gracious; and in his passing Colorado has lost one of her most able citizens and builders.

Mr. EATON. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks in the Record, I include a tribute by Hon. Edward P. Costigan, United States Senator from Colorado, as follows:

TRIBUTE BY SENATOR COSTIGAN

CHARLES W. WATERMAN, of Denver, a prominent Member of the United States Senate for the past six years, and a conspicuous and able practitioner at the Colorado bar for more than 40 years, died in the city of Washington in August, 1932, some three months prior to the termination of his first term as a Member of the Senate.

Senator Waterman was born in Waitsfield, Vt., where he attended a rural common school and subsequently an academy for about a year. He entered and graduated with an A. B. degree from the University of Vermont, which in 1922 conferred on him the honorary degree of LL. D.

Following his graduation from that university, he taught school for three years in the States of Connecticut and Iowa. In 1889 he graduated with the degree of LL. B. from the University of Michigan. Thereafter he commenced the practice of law in Denver, Colo., and continued in that profession until, and for correction of the offers his election in 1826 from that State to a continued in the context of the context has always and the context of the contex some time after, his election in 1926 from that State to a six-year

term in the United States Senate.

term in the United States Senate.

Senator Waterman's career as a Member of the United States
Senate was early impeded by ill health, which continued more and
more to incapacitate him until the end. Nevertheless he performed his official duties with noteworthy care and fine courage
until shortly before the close of his last session of Congress.
Perhaps his most striking contribution to Senate debates was
during the discussions of the tariff bill which finally became the
tariff act of 1930. On one occasion he delivered a detailed argument in support of the confirmation of the nomination, which the
Senate ultimately rejected of Judge Parker to membership in Senate ultimately rejected, of Judge Parker to membership in the United States Supreme Court.

Because of his physical disabilities, Senator WATERMAN in the

spring of 1932 announced that he would not seek reelection.

Tributes to Senator Waterman's life and character will undoubtedly be paid at the approaching annual meeting of the Colorado Bar Association. For the purposes of this memorial I, therefore, limit myself on this occasion to the inclusion in this testimonial of an editorial published in the Rocky Mountain News of Denver after Senator Waterman's death; and to brief references to his notable abilities as a practitioner and qualities as a man, received from a prominent member of the Colorado bar, who for years was intimately associated with Senator Waterman in general legal practice.

The following is the editorial tribute of the Rocky Mountain

"[Editorial in the Rocky Mountain News, Aug. 28, 1932]

"CHARLES W. WATERMAN

"The senatorship came to Charles W. Waterman too late for him to demonstrate the full measure of his ability.

"So far as Republican Senators are concerned, most of the ora-torical and argumentative talent lies with the Progressive group. WATERMAN, however, was an exception among the conservatives. He had the forensic power and the logical force to enable him to meet Borah on his own ground. Illness prevented him from becoming a national figure.

"In Colorado Senator Waterman was the last representative of the old school. Like Senator Wolcott he was an archeonserva-tive. Also like Wolcott, he regarded the senatorship as primarily a national rather than a State office. Foreign policies concerned him more than post offices. While he was one of the men who made possible the development of the sugar beet industry and was a consistent supporter of protection for Colorado industries, he was primarily interested in national affairs.

"Austere, conscientious, taking public office very seriously, WATERMAN was sent to the Senate because people believed in him and despite rather than because of his corporation affiliations. Neither in campaigning nor in the Senate would be truckle for votes or depart from what he regarded as sound principles of government. That course did not win him popularity and he failed of nomination three times before reaching his goal. But he won and kept the respect of his colleagues and the people of the State he represented."

Mr. Henry McAllister, Colorado attorney, commented as follows a Senator Waterman's career and personality:

on Senator Waterman's career and personality:
"I have been deeply affected by the death of Senator Charles

"I knew him intimately for over 35 years, had frequent pro-fessional and personal contact with him, and with one exception among the living, I was perhaps more closely acquainted with him than any other man.

"He rose from poverty to a distinguished position in his pro-fession and in national affairs. When I first became acquainted with him he was associated with the outstanding firm of Wolcott & With him he was associated with the outstanding firm of Wolcott & Vaile (the head of which was the eminent Senator Wolcott, the other member being one of the finest characters the West has known), of which firm he later became a partner under the name of Wolcott, Vaile & Waterman. Through native ability and concentration upon his profession of the law, he became one of the great lawyers of the West. He had a clear and legal mind which applied itself to every problem confronting him. He was not only applied itself to every problem confronting him. He was not only a wise counselor but a great advocate, a man of unbending character and integrity and utterly fearless in professional and public life.

life.

"Mr. Waterman was always desirous of rendering public service, and when he had acquired a competence, his one hope was to represent his State in the Senate of the United States. In this he was successful, and into his service as a Senator he carried the earnestness and fidelity which had characterized his practice of the law. Not long after he had achieved his great ambition his health broke but he continued the performance of his public duties with all the vigor and loyalty which his impaired strength permitted, and in his comparatively short career in the Senate he was recognized for his ability, character, and independence. If he had lived and worked in his former good health and

strength, he would, in my opinion, have acquired a high position in the councils of the Government.

"Senator Waterman's modesty and retiring disposition made him less well known than his preeminent abilities justified. But with all who knew him well he was distinguished for his talents, the courage of his convictions, and his ambition to render wise service to his State and the Nation.'

William E. Hutton's tribute, born similarly of years of

friendship and professional association, follows:

"The long illness of Senator Waterman prepared his friends for the final tragic news of his death a few months short of the expiration of his one term in the United States Senate. The prime years of his life were devoted to the legal profession, and it was only after his substantial retirement from that profession that he entered the field of politics. From a young man he was ambitious, endowed with an acute mind, great power of application, and unflagging diligence. He was a fighting kind of lawyer, and the years brought him success and that recognition which the courts and the bar and the public give to the able and aggressive. While he tried many jury cases, he surpassed in keen, incisive, and forceful argument to the court on questions of law.

"Abilities which won distinction at the bar were not designed to appeal so effectively to the electorate. It was difficult or impossible for him to pursue a course merely to win popular favor. He stood upon his merit and the merit of the cause he advocated and for these he was respected. His loyalties were constant. His severe logic, Puritan conscience, and unremitting energy made him an invaluable man in certain fields of public service not inviting great public interest or acclaim. His ideas, on the other hand, were conventional, his temper was conservative, and there was little evidence of the spirit of the modern reformer in his public

"To many he gave the impression of a severe and somewhat aloof nature, not easily approachable. He was undoubtedly slow to encourage intimacy; but, as is so often true of such men, there are those who by close contact came to discover in him a warm, friendly, and sympathetic nature.

"It is a misfortune that the public service for which he was well fitted could not have been available over a longer period."

WESLEY L. JONES

Mr. SUMMERS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, Wesley L. Jones, like Abraham Lincoln, came from the soil. He was born in a humble farm cabin in Illinois. As a barefoot boy and young man he tilled the soil for a widowed mother. The ravages of the Civil War had bereft him of a patriotic father three days before his birth.

Amid direst poverty he grew to rugged manhood. His education was acquired by great personal effort in the primitive schools then available. By day labor and a night school he equipped himself for the law.

Like Lincoln his battle for advancement developed rugged strength of purpose, the ability to see the right, and the tenacity to strive for it through any difficulties.

His long service in the House and the Senate evinced the faith of his people in his honesty, ability, and integrity.

With a wife and infant son he located at north Yakima in Washington in 1889 as that land of promise was merging into statehood. For many years life was still a struggle for the pioneer lawyer. Meanwhile he built fast and firm friendships and was often called for public addresses.

His first campaign for Representative at large from the State of Washington was memorable for his debates with the eloquent sitting Member, Hon. J. Hamilton Lewis, whom he defeated at the November election in 1898.

During the next 10 years he served his State and Nation as a Member of the House. In the House, and later in the Senate, he devoted his time and talents without stint to the many problems confronting our State and Nation. Indian reservations, reclamation, harbors, roads, forests, the Puget Sound Navy Yard, and innumerable other questions had his devoted attention for a third of a century. To no other man are we so deeply indebted for the development of our State as to our late and dearly beloved Senator Jones. He was the father of the Yakima Valley and delighted always in its service. But his effective legislative skill spread to the development of Alaska and to our merchant marine and to the District of Columbia. Senator Jones probably did as much as any other man to clean up the District of Columbia and to rout the saloons from our stately Capitol Building.

In 1908 he was elected to the United States Senate where ! he served faithfully, efficiently, and with great distinction until his death.

He was the first Chairman of the Commerce Committee from the State of Washington and rendered pioneer service in developing the merchant marine. Later he became chairman of the powerful Appropriations Committee. Thus Senator Jones twice brought to our State honors never achieved before or since.

Vigilant and vigorous though he was in the protection of the local interests of his State, he never emphasized these to the detriment of national issues. He could see beyond local lines and comprehend the problems of the whole Nation and the questions that were international. To-day our country needs such men, who not only think nationally but internationally.

Logical and forceful as a debater, Senator Jones was of the wholesome, practical type of mind, and he left the polemics to other statesmen while he studied the problems of legislation, and seldom made a lengthy address. But his decision once made on the rightness of a question could not be shaken by argument or coercion. The following telegram no doubt expresses the sentiment of all Senators:

The Hon. John W. Summers,

The House of Representatives: Senator Wesley L. Jones was loved and respected by all of his

associates. I have never known another man who was more transparent in his character and more dependable in all of his undertakings. His untimely death was a loss not only to his State but to the whole country.

ROYAL S. COPELAND, United States Senator, State of New York.

Perhaps his indomitable courage and firmness were more fully tested in the long struggle to control the liquor traffic of the country than in any other matter. For 20 stormy years, like the Rock of Gibraltar, he stood with Senator Sheppard as codefender of the faith in the Senate. Yielding neither to cajolery, abuse, or threats, he stood quietly, firmly, and courageously by his convictions and directed legislation in the interest of this great moral economic question. Never bitter, never abusive, he was a man both tolerant and liberal. He was wont to carry his heavy burdens so cheerfully and accomplish his great undertakings with such modesty that even his family and most intimate friends did not realize that he had given his very life in the service of his country. He wished that the end might be so-that he might work to the very last. He might have greatly prolonged his years, as many another has done, by avoiding the strenuous character of public life and retiring before his appointed time. But among sturdy, valorous men there is never a disposition to leave the scene of conflict.

The home life of Senator Jones was one of true devotion. The wife, son, and daughter made a trio of loyal, admiring lovers for the man who was their devoted husband and father.

For 14 years Senator Jones and I labored in closest harmony for the solution of our legislative problems. I have lost a friend. His subtle sense of humor made him a delightful companion always. His constituents have lost a devoted friend and servant. The State and Nation have lost a wise and patriotic statesman.

Mr. Speaker, as a further tribute to our friend, I here read a letter from Hon. William S. Humphrey, who served seven terms in this House and knew our Senator intimately:

MY DEAR MR. SUMMERS: I am grateful for the opportunity to pay a tribute to the life and memory of Senator Wesley L. Jones. He was my friend, my associate, and my colleague for many years. His memory I shall ever cherish. He was one of the noblest characters that ever honored me by his friendship and his

As to his early struggles and trials, his disappointments and sorrows, of his eventual triumphs over force of circumstance, I leave others to tell. It is a story of a poor, orphan boy who dreamed dreams and by his own efforts made those dreams come true. I think it will be fitting to quote a paragraph from the

eulogy I delivered in the House of Representatives on the death of

Congressman Cushman:
"The relation of Mr. Cushman, Mr. Jones, and myself was "The relation of Mr. Cushman, Mr. Jones, and myself was unusual and especially close. For six years we were the only Representatives from our State, and all were elected by the State at large, a condition without precedent in the history of the Republic. The interest of each was the interest of all. There was never the slightest misunderstanding, disagreement, doubt, or distrust among us. No three men ever worked in more perfect harmony or greater trust or with higher mutual confidence. During all that time our vote was never divided on a single important proposition. No act ever occurred to mar in the least degree the confidence that existed. Of this relation the Members of this House have often spoken in terms of appreciated praise. To the two who yet live the record of those years must ever remain a proud and precious memory, a recollection of an association as two who yet live the record of those years must ever remain a proud and precious memory, a recollection of an association as true, as close, as confidential as ever comes to men in public life. We were more than colleagues. We had tried and trusted each other in all things. Only the awful shadow could break the sacred ties of our friendship. I have always believed that the people of Washington reaped a large reward from this harmonious action. They stamped it with approval by unanimous nominations and by elections practically without opposition and by sending Mr. Jones to the Senate an honor that they would undoubtedly have conto the Senate, an honor that they would undoubtedly have conferred upon Mr. Cushman had he lived."

to the Senate, an honor that they would undoubtedly have conferred upon Mr. Cushman had he lived."

Senator Jones, as a man and as a public servant, was true in every relation in life. He performed every duty—he evaded no responsibility. He practiced no deception. Honesty was one of the outstanding qualities of his rugged character. He was intellectually honest. He was honest with himself and with others. There was about him no cant or hypocrisy. What he preached he practiced. That which his conscience dictated was done. In public and in private life, I have never known a cleaner man. His knowledge of legislation was unusual. It was not excelled by any man of his generation. He never neglected anything, and he gave to legislation the ability and the tremendous industry that bring success. In devotion to duty he gave his life and health. His industry, his ability, and his success were not surpassed by any public man of his day. This success is ever written on the pages of the history of our State and of our Nation. It will be better known and appreciated as the years go by.

Of all the public men I ever knew, he used his great achievements less for publicity, for self-aggrandizement, and self-glorification. He was not only modest but had an abiding faith that the people would know the truth and do him justice.

He was slow to believe evil of others, and was slow, almost to stubbornness, to be convinced that others believed evil of him. This unusual and admirable trait helped him to keep his poise and to act justly in many trying circumstances. He did not

This unusual and admirable trait helped him to keep his poise and to act justly in many trying circumstances. He did not escape calumny. In this respect he suffered the common experience of public men. He bore abuse with the composure born of the consciousness of his own integrity. This evil of the slander of public men will last as long as it is profitable for the sensa-

tional press to follow it—so long as demagogy is successful.

Senator Jones had the longest public service of any man that ever represented the State of Washington. He accomplished greater things and achieved greater success than any other man from our State. He had a fuller knowledge of the needs of our State, and he had more influence and gave more help in securing State, and he had more influence and gave more help in sectiving Government assistance to care for those needs than any other man. His achievements, both as to his State and his Nation, exceed those of any other man from our State. The State of Washington will not be able for at least two decades to be as ably represented as it was by Senator Jones. Even if the State could find a man equal in ability, in character, and industry, the experience of Senator Jones could not be equaled. Wesley L. Jones was the greatest man that the State of Washington has

Jones was the greatest man that the State of Washington has produced.

If there is a time and place in the great hereafter, where each man's record is spread before him and on it he is justly judged, Wesley L. Jones can look upon his record without fear and with serene certainty that the verdict will be "Well done, thou good and faithful servant," for in all the many pages written in over 30 years of industrious and active life, filled with accomplishments and duties performed, there will not be found the smallest blot of shame nor the slightest trace of disloyalty or dishonesty.

For the last three decades the history of our great parks; our extensive river and harbor improvements; our splendid public buildings; our navy yard; our matchless orchards, redeemed from sand and sagebrush, that are the pride of our State and the wonder of the world; our countless fertile farms taken from the desert; our irrigation projects; our Indian reservations; our magnificient fisheries; our shipping; and, in fact, the history of all

nificient fisheries; our shipping; and, in fact, the history of all industry and commerce of the State of Washington declare the work and influence of Senator Jones and stand as an everlasting monument of his achievements for our State. His work was not alone for his own State. To-day every American flag that flies upon American vessels on the vast ocean highways of all the world eloquently proclaims the patriotism and statesmanship of WESLEY L. JONES.

Mr. Speaker, Hon. Harry Y. Saint, for many years in public service and for a quarter of a century the intimate friend of Senator Jones, has sent me an estimate of the life and character of our friend. With this I conclude my remarks-

Whether a man has been of truly commanding stature, whether his acts have left an indelible imprint on his time, must be left to the verdict of future historians. However, we pause from time to the verdict of future historians. However, we pause from time to time to chronicle the passing of some statesman. As we review his labors, enumerate his achievements, and put an estimate upon his personality and character, we are prone to ask ourselves what constitutes true greatness, what are the attributes of mind and spirit, what the flashes of genius, what the accomplishments of indomitable will that make for enduring fame?

Great orators have graced the Halls of Congress and charmed on many occasions its crowded galleries; great debaters and expounders of the Constitution have left their impress there; men famed in war, in finance, in business, in diplomacy have passed through its portals and on into the future. The lives of some are still vivid flames of inspiration; those of others are but a memory, fast fading into obscurity. Again, I ask, What are the attributes of greatness? What the hallmarks of distinction? And I make bold to answer that they are those elements of service that will best sustain the Nation and its citizens at any particular period of their history. If a man would live in the hearts of his fellows, he must serve, and his service must be suited to the needs of his day and his people.

of his day and his people.

I humbly address myself to-day to the memory of our friend who sat as Representative and Senator for 34 years. His name is known to all—Hon. Wesley L. Jones. I know no man of his generation whose life more nearly exemplifies that real hallmark of distinction—pure, unselfish service—than did his. Senator Jones' life and achievements met the demand of his day and age. His was not a golden era of oratory, of rapierlike wit, of constitutional debate. It was a day of tremendous scientific and mechanical achievement, of social development, of expansion in material wealth. It required of its public servants unceasing care and watchfulness that the rights of the people, great and small, should be safeguarded; that their social development should not be cirbe safeguarded; that their social development should not be cir-cumscribed; and that the tremendously augmented wealth of the Nation should be the servant and not the master of its destiny. These public obligations became the ideals of his life; to them he gave his all. I believe you will agree with me when I say that no one has occupied a seat in Congress of recent years who gave of himself under every condition of life, with such unflagging devotion, as did our friend, the Senator.

did our friend, the Senator.

A brief review of his life and a reference to the great public problems to which it was devoted will not be out of place. Like a host of other national figures, Senator Jones was born on a farm, at Bethany, Ill., October 9, 1863, the son of Wesley and Phoebe McKay Jones, and graduated from Southern Illinois College in 1886, a classmate of his lifelong friend Senator Borah. He was admitted to the bar and married that same year. His wife was Minda Nelson, of Enfield, Ill. Three years thereafter he moved to the Territory of Washington and located at north Yakima and there began the practice of law. He entered politics in 1898 as a candidate for Congress, running against Hon. James in 1898 as a candidate for Congress, running against Hon. James Hamilton Lewis, now Senator from Illinois. He was successful in his campaign and entered the House of Representatives in 1899 and served there until 1909, when he was elected to the Senate as Senator chosen in the State of Washington by direct vote of the people. He served in the Senate for 23 years and until the hour of his death, at which time he was whip and third ranking Member of his party in that body.

He had many vital legislative interests upon which he left the indelible imprint of his forceful character and keen mentality. He became known as the "father of the American merchant marine." Two acts of Congress fostering a privately owned shipping industry bear his name. He was almost as well known for his advocacy and support of irrigation. Thousands of acres of orchards and meadowland, reclaimed from the desert, bear witness to his untiring efforts.

Senator Jones was always a progressive in political thought; he was not, however, a radical in governmental affairs, unless his advocacy of prohibition can be so construed. Even on this issue he was willing to bow to the will of his constituents and so stated in his final campaign. He was a strict adherent of party government and party discipline. His party's platform endorsed the entry of the United States into the World Court. He consistently advocated it. While he labored arduously for his home State, Washington, his was strictly a national viewpoint, for which he received the criticism in later years of many of his stanchest friends at home. He was ever insistent upon justice for war veterans and was deeply sympathetic to all legislation looking to the betterment of labor and of laboring conditions. He was a firm friend of the Capital City and worked unceasingly for its development and beautification.

Quiet, modest, and unassuming, Senator Jones nevertheless Quiet, modest, and unassuming, Senator Jones nevertneless commanded the respect and affection of his fellow Members of the Senate throughout his long career. He was a tireless worker, undeviating in his loyalty to principle, steadfast in his friendships, and unswayed by passion or prejudice. He won over his opponents mainly by his frankness and his simple directness, although he was possessed of a fine command of logical English

upon which he called at will. In all his campaigns Senator Jones never availed himself of a political organization. He went personally to the people and discussed with them the problems of his

sonally to the people and discussed with them the problems of his State and the Nation. They understood him and trusted him. That they did so was his greatest source of pride.

Senator Jones is gone. His labors are now become a part of the Nation's history. He fulfilled his life's greatest ambition. He served his day and his people to the full measure of his great ability unto the end.

Mr. HILL of Washington. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include a tribute by Hon. CLARENCE C. DILL, as follows:

TRIBUTE BY SENATOR CLARENCE C. DILL

When I came to Congress in 1914 and first became well acquainted with Senator Wesley L. Jones, I heard a statement concerning him which I never forgot. It illustrated his devotion to his work as a Senator. I was told it was his habit to come to work at his office so early in the morning and remain so late in the evening that he always said "Good morning" to his clerks when they arrived and "Good evening" as they left.

During our years of service together here in Congress since that time I have often recalled that statement. It was an explanation of the detailed knowledge he possessed and the persistent efforts he made in connection with every phase of legislation, and especially with legislation and appropriations relating to the State of

Washington and the Northwest.

Senator Jones was first elected to the House of Representatives in 1898. He was reelected continuously until 1908, when he was elected to the Senate. He was reelected to the Senate four times, but was defeated in the Democratic landslide in 1932.

As a politician Senator Jones was never spectacular nor did he practice what is sometimes called "playing to the gallery" or "demagoging." He was a regular Republican, yet he dared to "demagoging." He was a regular Republican, yet he dared to differ with his party leaders if their policies interfered with his settled convictions or were against what he thought were the interests of his State or the Northwest. One of his friends once said, "Senator Jones never knocks any flies. He bunts and always plays low ball. That is why he is always safe."

While there is much justification for this description, yet it must be said in fairness that he would fight vigorously for those national policies to which his convictions committed him. This was particularly true with the subject of prohibition. He was an

was particularly true with the subject of prohibition. He was an uncompromising dry. He was always in the thick of the prohibition fight in the Senate. He not only talked and voted dry,

but he practiced prohibition.

I shall not take time to enumerate his long list of achievements in the form of legislation and appropriations for the State he represented, but I want to call attention particularly to his early and enthusiastic advocacy of Government reclamation. He was most active in the creation of the policy that has done so much for the entire West and particularly for the State of Washington. The Government has expended more than \$30,000,000 on irrigation projects in the State of Washington as compared with less than \$20,000,000 in the neighboring State of Oregon. Senator Jones deserves great credit for his part in securing these appropriations.

He always considered his work in connection with reclamation with special pride, because he felt that he had rendered his State and his constituents an invaluable service by what he had done in making possible the production of various fruits and other marvelous development in our State on land that was a desert

waste before it was reclaimed.

At the time Senator Jones came to Congress the great Territory of Alaska had no Delegate, no Commissioner—in fact, no representative here at all. He soon became a champion of Alaska and had a large part in writing the legislation that did so much to bring about its development.

But he was interested not only in legislative matters affecting his State and the great Northwest. He rendered yeoman service in connection with national problems also. His activity in connection with prohibition legislation made him a national character, and the shipping legislation which bears his name will forever identify him with the maritime developments of this period of our country's history.

of our country's history.

If I may add a personal word, I desire to say that during my entire service in Congress beginning in the House in 1914, when he was in the Senate, he and I were close personal friends. He not only welcomed me as a member of the delegation but was extremely helpful in those days when I was a new Congressman. The personal friendship that began then between us continued until the hour of his death.

While we were of different political faiths, I dare say that no two Senators from any State, even of the same party, worked more harmoniously on legislative activities affecting their State.

Senator Jones had the highest respect of his Democratic colleagues, and again and again I have heard Democratic Senators speak in the highest terms of his honesty, his high purpose, his great industry, and his untiring efforts to serve those he repre-

But he was more than a good public official. He was a good citizen. He was a devoted husband and loving father and a fine

family man. He was faithful to his own ideals. He had strong convictions and stood for those convictions like a rock. He had no fear of anyone because of disagreement with him.

He longed to serve. He asked no unusual honors in recognition of his service. He found glory enough in the knowledge that he had performed this service. He cared nothing for the pomp and ceremony of public life. He was prouder of being an American citizen than of any other title he might possess.

We miss him in the Senate. We miss his sturdy figure and his earnest face; his clear, strong voice; his patriotic devotion to duty; his fine example of a plain American in high office who never lost his plainness.

who never lost his plainness.

The history of Senator Jones's life is a fine heritage for his family and will be an inspiration to the young people of his State and the Nation who learn about him. He rests at last from his labors nobly done. Honor to his memory and peace to his ashes.

Mr. HILL of Washington. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include a tribute by Hon. Homer T. Bone, as follows:

TRIBUTE BY SENATOR HOMER T. BONE

For many years my predecessor, the late Senator Wesley L. Jones, was a highly honored Member of this body. I know his absence from this assemblage must be a source of profound regret to his friends here, and I do you the honor to assume that those to his friends here, and I do you the honor to assume that those Senators who knew and served with him were his friends. He has passed on to a judgment greater than that which any body politic can render. His years of service here were many and full. He was a gentle and kindly man—and this attribute must have unconsciously cemented you to him.

The public career of Senator Jones was characterized to a large degree by absence of that spirit of personal and partisan bitterness which seems to be very often a dominant factor in political life. Those who copposed him in our own State of Washington did

life. Those who opposed him in our own State of Washington did so vigorously but without rancor or personal feeling. The State which sent him into this body as its representative is not free from partisan struggles. The acrimonious outcroppings that arise in contests between men of determination and purpose are in evi-

dence there as elsewhere.

Perhaps those of us who are privileged to live in the great evergreen empire that borders the Pacific have acquired some of the characteristics of the countryside in which we live. Our great

mountains are serene but immovable.

I know that it is a source of satisfaction to the citizens of my State that the late senatorial campaign was characterized by an almost complete absence of personal allusions—a contest rather of immovable political and economic philosophies than of political or personal stratagems. That this was so is the more gratifying, considering the unhappy conditions which were in themselves

provocative of vigorous expression.

I disagreed with the political philosophy of Senator Jones, but in this disagreement I could never bring myself to challenge his honesty of viewpoint. He sincerely believed that the principles and measures he advocated were best. Right or wrong, Senator

and measures he advocated were best. Hight or wrong, Senator Jones was himself.

His record stands, and nothing that I might or could say would change it. Many times the sovereign voters of my State conferred upon him the responsibility of representing them here. Time will not alter that record. It must be the proudest heritage of his children. He was defeated, not because the people believed him to be recreant to his duty as he saw it but because new and strange and terrible forces had come to play on the homes and lives of helpless Americans and they believed that the unof his children. He was defeated, not because the people believed him to be recreant to his duty as he saw it but because new and strange and terrible forces had come to play on the homes and lives of helpless Americans and they believed that the unchanging political philosophy of Senator Jones was inadequate to cope with changed conditions—that it did not harmonize with the change in viewpoint of the people. There was no denying the inexorable demand for change. I am happy in the thought that there was no personal bitterness in the revolt against the political and economic philosophy of Senator Jones. Rather it symbolized the impersonal demand for a new and what seemed a fresher viewpoint. It was an indictment of the unhappy past with its tragedies—its economic miseries. The hearts of the people of my State were heavy. They confronted the strange anomaly of grinding and abysmal poverty in the midst of potential possibilities for wealth production and human happiness, the like of which the world has never seen. It is no reflection on Senator Jones that he should have felt the full force of a ground-swell of popular feeling against economic forces and political philosophies that assailed every home and chilled every heart with fear. It was inevitable that men who seemed to typify the old order thould face the opposition of those who had suffered so grievously. That a public servant should leave his task in circumstances like these, yet blessed with the respect and friendship of those with whom he served so long regardless of political affiliations, is indeed a signal honor. I am happy in the thought that after the campaign and before he passed away I was able to make known to Senator Jones the sentiments I express here to-day. I speak of him to-day with his last fine friendly words of personal encouragement to me fresh in my mind.

of him to-day with his last fine friendly words of personal encour-

agement to me fresh in my mind.

Mr. HILL of Washington. Mr. Speaker, Hon. WESLEY L. JONES, United States Senator from the State of Washington, died November 19, 1932. He was my personal friend and

in his death I feel a keen personal loss that can not be adequately expressed in words.

It was my privilege to serve in the House of Congress during the last 10 years of Senator Jones' service in the United States Senate. I am a Democrat and he was a Republican, but notwithstanding this difference in party affiliations Senator Jones accorded me the same consideration in matters of legislation and personal relations that he accorded my Republican colleagues in the House from our State. He invited and encouraged me to call on him for assistance and advice in all matters pertaining to my official duties. I availed myself to the fullest extent of opportunities to consult and cooperate freely with him and always found him cordially responsive to every demand made upon him. This was typical of him. Senator Jones was everybody's friend. It may be truly said of him that he was a friend of humanity. His life was dedicated to service. To him, useful service was an obsession-a consuming passion. No duty was too onerous, no burden too heavy for him to bear. No man in public or private life worked harder or gave more of his energies and abilities in the prosecution and accomplishment of his labors than Senator Jones. Long and arduous hours, from early morning till late at night, were all in the day's work with him. He sometimes showed the strain of fatigue but was never irritable and never lost the good cheer and kindliness of his greeting. He had within him the sustaining power of faith and confidence. Each day of his life marked the realization of some hope. the accomplishment of some worthwhile objective. The assurance of success of intelligent effort gave him a poise and evenness of temperament that never deserted him. Effusive elation and depressing despair were alike foreign to him. His habits were temperate, his thoughts were pure, his life was clean. He had a dignity that expressed no autocratic attitude but rather the majesty of democracy. He was a great democrat with a small "d" and a great Republican with a big "R." Senator Jones was a great man who never lost his touch with humanity. He was a great man because he never lost the viewpoint of the common man. Senator Jones was just as democratic the day he died as he was the day he entered Congress as a Representative 34 years previous.

To few men have come the privilege and distinction of serving in the National Congress as long as he. He was first elected to the House of Congress in 1898 and served in this body for 10 years. In 1908 he was elected to the United States Senate, and had he lived until March 3, 1933, he would have completed 24 years of service in that body. He served in Congress under the administrations of seven Presidents of the United States, beginning under President McKinley and ending under President Hoover, and in that time advanced from the humble beginning of a first-termer to the chairmanship of the great Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

The history of the Congress and of national legislation can not be written without according to Senator Jones ah outstanding place in those annals. His name will endure in the galaxy of great names of his State and Nation. He has indelibly impressed the genius of his mind on the legislative record of his country. For greatness of character, statesmanship, and worthy manhood, Senator Jones will be honored throughout time. He needs no monument of stone to perpetuate his memory. There is a living monument to his memory in the hearts of all the people. No words of eulogy can add to his fame as no words can sufficiently portray his great and admirable character.

The State of Washington was proud of him, the Nation was proud of him, and all the people loved and honored him. He would crave no other monument.

Mr. OLIVER of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, the honored name of Senator Wesley L. Jones will live always in the history of his country. He was an outstanding national character, having great influence; and, like all great men, his greatness was manifested in his daily routine of life, his devotion to friends, his tender consideration and helpfulness to all with whom he came in contact, and his passion to render high service to his country.

In the 34 years of his official life at the National Capital, his influence reached to all parts of the Nation, and even beyond. Measured by the standards of morality and by the standards of accomplishment, his record of public service constitutes a worthy and lasting contribution to the historic age in which he lived.

He was truly one of God's stalwart men, who chose to live and do right and to ever despise the wrong. The constant burden of his heart was to be right and helpful in the world, and his success in life was due to the faithful and honorable bearing of that burden to the end.

His memory is an inspiration to all men, and his brotherly love anchored the hearts of his fellows to him in life and sealed them to him for eternity. His popularity was due to his broad, accurate, sympathetic understanding of men and his recognition and practical application of the old commonsense truth which Seneca long ago uttered:

If we wish to be just judges of all things, we must at least understand that no man is without fault; that no man is found who can acquit himself; and if any claim to be wholly innocent, he speaks in reference to a witness and not to his conscience.

My association with him in workshop and on playground was close and intimate. We met often in conferences to iron out differences between the Senate and House on appropriation bills, and for nearly a decade and a half, during week days, when we were in Washington, unless heavy snow or rainstorm forbade, we met at daybreak on golf links within the District, returning always to our homes in time for 8 o'clock breakfast. When Congress was in session he never played at other hours.

There were many friends from the House and Senate who occasionally joined us on the links at the break of dawn, but only Ed Halsey, recently elected Secretary of the Senate, and Jim Preston, now librarian of the Senate, from the Senate official family, can now be recalled as our regular foursome, even for a short season. There were no caddies, no wagers of any kind on the game, but simply a meeting of friends in the early morning hours for wholesome exercise. Jim was the official recorder of all that was said and done when the foursome met, and his diary will not disclose an unkind nor unclean word or thought ever uttered by our beloved companion, the Senator, whose absence has broken the foursome. By us he will always be remembered as one who strikingly exemplified that beautiful character described in the following lines from the pen of Sam Walter Foss:

There are hermit souls that live withdrawn
In the place of their self-content;
There are souls like stars, that dwell apart,
In a fellowless firmament;
There are pioneer souls that blaze their paths
Where highways never ran—
But let me live by the side of the road
And be a friend to man.

Let me live in a house by the side of the road Where the race of men go by—
The men who are good and the men who are bad, As good and as bad as I.
I would not sit in the scorner's seat Or hurl the cynic's ban—
Let me live in a house by the side of the road And be a friend to man.

I see from my house by the side of the road,
By the side of the highway of life,
The men who press with the ardor of hope,
The men who are faint with the strife;
But I turn not away from their smiles nor their tears,
Both parts of an infinite plan—
Let me live in a house by the side of the road
And be a friend to man.

I know there are brook-gladdened meadows ahead
And mountains of wearisome height;
That the road passes on through the long afternoon
And stretches away to the night.
And still I rejoice when the travelers rejoice
And weep with the strangers that moan,
Nor live in my house by the side of the road
Like a man who dwells alone.

Let me live in my house by the side of the road,
It's here the race of men go by;
They are good, they are bad, they are weak, they are strong,
Wise, foolish—so am I.
Then why should I sit in the scorner's seat,
Or hurl the cynic's ban?
Let me live in my house by the side of the road
And be a friend to man.

Mr. JOHNSON of Washington. Mr. Speaker, the ceremonies here to-day indeed impress me with the realization that this House, the Nation, and the State of Washington lost a valuable, well-known Senator in the death of Wesley L. Jones, and I would not be equal to representing my people if I did not say a few words at this time.

I have known Senator Jones for many years, and learned to admire him for his careful, painstaking, honest interest in all things pertaining to the welfare of the State of Washington, as well as the Nation. He was a man of wonderful capabilities in grasping needful situations, and was always willing and anxious to perform his share of the task in finally accomplishing the end. Men are known by their words and their works. The record of Wesley L. Jones stands for itself so clear that we might well emulate it.

In his public service he manifested genuine regard for the rights of others. His ambition was to do the right and to act justly toward all men. He was not a great orator, as the term is sometimes used, but was frank and plain in speech. He was, however, a great statesman, and had the sense of justice and fairness which is convincing in its simplicity. He was in no sense conspicuous, for he was a modest and retiring man, but his merit was the more appreciated the longer one knew him. He was one of those genial, faithful, true gentlemen whose worth is never fully known, nor his real value properly estimated, but whose service is crowned with good intentions and faithful effort and whose merit is the more recognized as the real man is studied in the light of his achievements.

I have good reason to speak in praise of this noble man. He and I were not only Members in Congress but we were intimate friends. When I came to Washington a green, raw Member, it was to him I looked for information and advice; and I found him ever ready to help and assist me. I shall miss him in the future.

He believed in the doctrine expressed by the poet who said:

How much joy and comfort we all can bestow If we scatter sunshine wherever we go.

Those of us who knew him intimately only knew too well how he spread the sunshine wherever he would go. His presence always seemed a message of cheer and good will. He had a window in his heart always open for the expression of his candor and sincerity.

His integrity was superb, but his predominant quality was courage—courage to fight wrong and injustice—yea, courage to stand loyally by a friend.

I shall content myself with calling attention to what seemed to me to be the dominant element of his life—the genial, social kindness of his nature.

It is a noble tribute to a man when it can be said that his life is marked by uniform kindness, and that the more you know of him the better you grow to like him, and this all can be said of our worthy friend, whose memory we cherish and whose life of service and brotherly kindness we admire.

I have sometimes thought that the element of kindness is the noblest element of our nature; that the best and sweetest thing in all this world is simple, common, everyday kindness. Kindness is the most beautiful flower that grows and blooms in the soil of the soul. It is the one celestial flower that blossomed over the walls of paradise and fell from the garden of the skies; its petals caught and carried the fragrance of heaven and it fills the earth with the incense of gladness.

And so I can pay my friend no finer tribute than to say that in his daily life he exemplified the spirit of kindness in his contact with his fellow men. He believed with and national affairs, and was the best to remember dates of Washington Irving that—

Dollitical incidents that I have ever known. He was greatly

A kind heart is a fountain of gladness, suffusing everything around it with pleasure and freshens everything into smiles—

and with Tennyson when he says:

How'er it be, it seems to me
'Tis only noble to be good.
Kind hearts are more than coronets,
And simple faith than Norman blood.

EDWARD E. ESLICK

Mr. McREYNOLDS. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks, I desire to say a few words relative to the character and standing of my very dear friend, the Hon. Edward E. Eslick, who passed away on the 14th day of June, 1932, on the floor of this House, advocating and fighting for that which he thought was just and right.

I realize that I am unable to express my personal feelings, as well as my great appreciation of the character and standing of this man. He died as he lived, fighting for the cause that he thought was right and just. He was not quick to make up his mind, but he always gave it deep consideration. But when he became convinced that he was right he was everlastingly sold on that proposition.

I witnessed his departure, which was one of the greatest shocks of my life; seated on the very front seat in the House of Representatives when we had the bonus bill under consideration, and Ep. as I always called him, was replying impromptu to an argument which had just been made and was delivering crushing blows, discussing at that time this matter from a financial standpoint; eloquent were his words; convincing was his argument, but then, well do I remember, in his own language and in his last words, he said: "Let us turn from the sordid affairs." At this moment he began to reel, and as I watched him closely, as he fell, I rushed to his side, trying to reach him before he fell to the floor, but I did not, and he was gone. We carried him in the Speaker's lobby and laid him on a couch, but he was dead. I will never forget this scene; nothing could have been more tragic and more shocking to me. The people's friend and my friend was gone. I realize that we will never again hear the silver-tongued words of this great man. A limited time being given Mr. ESLICK for this speech, as is customary in the House, and not having used all that time when he fell, the Speaker announced in this language: "The gentleman yields back the balance of his time." When we consider these words we now realize how true that statement was, and this incident will go down in history as one of the saddest that ever occurred in the House of Representatives

There was no man better known in the State of Tennessee than Ed Eslick. I had known him for some 30 years. He was State elector when quite a young man, and no battle for Democracy in the State of Tennessee had ever been made during this time in which you did not hear the eloquent tongue of ED ESLICK in behalf of his party; and yet, while he was always in the forefront fighting for Democracy upon every stump in the State of Tennessee, he practiced his own profession, that of a lawyer, and never asked for office until he was elected to Congress in 1924. Soon after he came to Congress his real worth was appreciated, although we might consider him a very quiet and retiring man. When he went on the floor of the House the Members expected something from him, and they were never disappointed. When a vacancy occurred on the Committee on Ways and Means, one of the largest committees of the House, he was elected as a member of that committee, and when he passed away he had reached that period where he could have been, and would have been, of great benefit to his constituents and to the people of his country.

As I knew Ed Eslick, he was a plain man; disliked dress affairs, and, in fact, dressed himself in the same character of clothes that he had worn for years. He was a great student of history, and especially of biography, and of State

and national affairs, and was the best to remember dates of political incidents that I have ever known. He was greatly interested in prominent men who had gone before, and if he had any hobby it was that of visiting and seeing for himself the last resting place of these great characters. I remember on one occasion that I drove with him to Baltimore, Md., in order to see the tomb of Edgar Allen Poe.

I knew him in his home, and no one could have been more happy and contented than he, and I might add that his good wife, who is brilliant and most gracious, was his greatest aid in accomplishing what he did. I have visited in his home, and I knew his home life, and no one could make you feel that you were more welcome than Ep ESLICK.

In his passing away the people of his congressional district have tried to show their appreciation of his services, and they did this by electing his good wife as a Member of Congress to fill out his unexpired term, not only on her worth but as evidence of the services that her great husband had rendered to his people.

It makes me sad, even unto this day, to remind myself of the tragic death of my good friend.

I merely want to state in closing that when Ed Eslick passed away, not only the people of his district and of the State of Tennessee, but the people of the Nation, lost one of their greatest advocates, who could always be found on the firing line, fighting for the masses of the people.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the most heroic death is to die in the service of one's country. "Killed in action" was the citation of greatest glory during the World War. This badge of honor was earned by our beloved colleague, Hon. Edward E. Eslick, to whom the final summons came while he was speaking in this historic Chamber.

It was fittingly appropriate that his last speech and the very last act of his life should have been advocating legislation in behalf of the World War veterans, whom he loved and whose service he was always pleased to glorify. Knowing him as I did, I am sure, if he had known that this was to have been his last address, he would have chosen the subject that he was discussing for he believed with all of his heart in doing full justice to the Nation's defenders in time of war, and he had a deep and abiding conviction that payment of the adjusted-service certificates was not only just to the veterans but also best for the Nation's welfare.

He did not reach conclusions hastily. He was not impetuous or impulsive in his advocacy of any cause. His judicial mind and temperament caused him to investigate and weigh the facts and their effects, and then, when he determined what he conceived to be right, he was fearless and invincible in the defense of his convictions. He was an able and eloquent advocate, a distinguished lawyer who achieved a marked degree of success in the active practice of his profession. Courts and juries, not only in his native State of Tennessee but elsewhere, heard his voice pleading his clients' cause. Some years ago I recall he came to Texas in behalf of a client who was a former Tennesseean, and by his eloquence and logic convinced a Texas jury that his client was right.

For nine years he served as an able and distinguished Member of this House. In recognition of his ability he was given a place upon the Ways and Means Committee, upon which important committee he was serving when he was called to lay his armor down.

His character and his private life, like his service to his country, was unsullied and untarnished. He loved deeply with a deathless devotion his wife, his friends, his country.

Greater love hath no man than this, that he lay down his life for his friends.

Mr. DAVIS. Mr. Speaker, Edward Everett Eslick was born in Giles County, Tenn., April 19, 1872; he was admitted to the bar in 1893 and commenced his law practice in Pulaski; he also engaged in banking and agricultural pursuits.

Mr. Eslick was generally recognized as an able, brilliant | lawyer, and enjoyed a splendid law practice. He was a loyal Democrat and always took an active interest in public affairs, and rendered faithful service to the Democratic Party. He was presidential elector on the Democratic ticket of Bryan and Sewell in 1896; of Bryan and Stevenson in 1900; and of Parker and Davis in 1904; as such he campaigned over the entire State of Tennessee in behalf of the Democratic ticket, and had a state-wide reputation as an eloquent, forceful, and brilliant campaign orator, and his services were in great demand.

Mr. Eslick was elected as a Democrat to the Sixty-ninth Congress, his term commencing March 4, 1925; he was renominated and reelected to the Seventieth, the Seventyfirst, and Seventy-second Congresses, serving until his

tragic death on Tuesday, June 14, 1932.

On that day he was addressing the House of Representatives in favor of a bill, then being considered, to provide for the immediate payment to veterans of the face value of their adjusted-service certificates. He was speaking in his customary forceful and eloquent manner. There was not the slightest evidence of physical or mental distress; his mind and voice were functioning perfectly, until in the midst of a sentence he was stricken and fell and was carried from the Chamber by some of his colleagues. The physicians, who reached Mr. Eslick a few minutes later, gave it as their opinion that his heart never beat after he fell. It is said that he is the only Representative who ever expired in the House of Representatives.

I am hereafter inserting the speech which Mr. ESLICK was delivering on the occasion of his death, together with the proceedings following, as they appear in the Congressional

Mr. Eslick's devoted wife was in the House Gallery when he was stricken with the fatal heart attack. We Members of the Tennessee delegation and other colleagues of Congressman Eslick were delegated by the House of Representatives to attend his funeral. We accompanied his remains and Mrs. Eslick from Washington to Pulaski, their home. We left the train at Decatur, Ala., and rode in automobiles from there to Pulaski. There were crowds gathered in every town through which we passed, even in Alabama, and the citizens stood with bared heads as we passed; in some of the towns American Legionnaires in uniform stood at attention. There was gathered at the funeral a vast concourse of citizens who had come from far and near, including numerous prominent citizens and officials. This immense crowd and the universal expression of sorrow constituted a beautiful tribute to the life and character of Representative Eslick.

While I had a general acquaintance with him for some years previous, yet from the time when he and I both were electors on the Democratic presidential ticket in 1904 until his death Mr. Eslick and I were intimate friends.

He was a man of high ideals, great strength of character, pronounced convictions, genuine courage, patriotic, and conscientious. He possessed splendid ability and unusually good common sense. He was held in the highest esteem by his colleagues on both sides of the Chamber. He never addressed the House unless he had something worth while to say, and he always commanded the attention of his colleagues when he did speak. He despised sham, pretense, hypocrisy, demagoguery, and show. He was sincere and genuine through and through. He was a true Democrat, a brilliant lawyer, a real statesman, and a genuine patriot.

PAYMENT OF ADJUSTED-SERVICE CERTIFICATES

[Speech of Hon. Edward E. Eslick, of Tennessee, in the House of Representatives, Tuesday, June 14, 1932. The House in Com-mittee of the Whole House on the state of the Union had under consideration the bill (H. R. 7226) to provide for the immediate payment to veterans of the face value of their adjusted-service certificates |

Mr. ESLICK. Mr. Chairman, as a member of the Committee on Ways and Means it was my pleasure to support the Patman bill embodying the Owen amendment. The magnificent address of my

colleague, Captain Browning, of Tennessee, presents my own views and my thought upon the financial end of this question more eloquently than I can present it. I want to tanother element and feature entering into this debate. want to talk about

I listened to my leader and chieftain the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. Crisp], with whom I seldom agree. I listened to the distinguished gentleman from New York [Mr. Fish], and he and distinguished gentleman from New York [Mr. Fish], and he and I did agree in our red chase over the country, and that is about the only agreement we have had. I looked into the face of these two men as they stood here telling of the glories of the soldier. They were willing to pay him, but Shylock's bond was not yet due. They were all ready to pay. The soldier was great, he was good, he was glorious, but the bond was not yet due, and Shylock stood there and said, "Hands off," when it came to payment. May I not say to the gentleman from Georgia and the gentleman from New York that we have had other obligations. This may mean a revision of this contract. Did we not have the French and Italian debt-settlement bond to pay us money with 5 per cent interest, and when it came to a revision of these contracts you gentlemen, shoulder to shoulder, took from the par value of cent interest, and when it came to a revision of these contracts you gentlemen, shoulder to shoulder, took from the par value of 100 cents and settled with Italy at 24 cents on the dollar, and with France at 46 cents on the dollar. You do not believe in revision now, but you revised to give away billions of the American taxpayers' money, and did you add a postscript to it in revising again? Did you know it would be necessary in 1931 to declare a moratorium and withhold from the Public Treasury \$252,000,000 of the people's money? That is not all of the people's money? That is not all.

Mr. Crisp. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. Eslick. Yes.

Mr. ESLICK. Yes.

Mr. CRISP. Does not the gentleman know that that money was

then their armies in the field, and when they refused to pay we had to make the best settlement we could; that the only way to collect it if we did not do it was to send an army over to collect it?

Mr. ESLICK. That may be true, and these boys were there to protect the flag that your Government might live.

Mr. FISH. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield for a question?

Mr. ESLICK. Oh, I can not give up all of my time. Then there is another thing. When your Government and the States granted charters to railroads and corporations of the country, did you have any provision that when bankruptcy looked over the hills you would rush into the American Congress and form a \$2,000,000,000 corporation that you might save them from insolvency? You dipped your hand into the Federal Treasury and you took \$500,000,000 from it and you based a credit with the Government, and the Treasury to-day is the sole purchaser of the obligations of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation—\$2,000,000,000 to unhold Reconstruction Finance Corporation—\$2,000,000,000 to uphold these great corporations. Gentlemen, you were willing to reform these great corporations. Gentlemen, you were willing to reform these contracts, but when the American soldier comes you were unwilling to reform his contract and pay a hungry and a needy man. Oh, you tell me how good you have been to the soldier. Yes; you worked him at a dollar a day with a \$10 civilian beside him, and when you discharged that civilian you gave him a bonus of \$240, and the soldier \$60—not enough to buy him a new suit of clothes and a clean pair of socks.

This is the history of it. I am told what other countries have done. England gave her men a bonus of \$1,427; Belgium, \$492; Canada, a private \$600 and officers \$972; France, \$249; and Uncle Sam, the richest government in all the world, gave \$60, with an I O U "that I will pay you 27 years after Armistice Day."

But, Mr. Chairman, I want to divert from the sordid. We hear nothing but dollars here. I want to go from the sordid side—

(At this point Mr. Eslick was stricken and fell and was carried from the Chamber by his colleagues.)

THE LATE REPRESENTATIVE EDWARD E. ESLICK

Mr. Davis. Mr. Speaker, I make the sad announcement that our distinguished and beloved colleague, Representative EDWARD E. ESLICK, of Tennessee, has just passed away. He was stricken at his post of duty while addressing the House in favor of the pend-

his post of duty while addressing the House in favor of the pending bill providing for the payment of adjusted-service certificates.

I have in my hand a portion of the speech which he had prepared as a conclusion, and I ask unanimous consent that his pared as a conclusion, the Record by inserting the remainder of his speech.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection?

There was no objection.
The matter referred to follows:
Mr. ESLICK. The 4,800,000 World War veterans were the flower of our manhood. They were our fighting men, picked from 24,000,000 within the draft age. They turned their faces to the east to fight upon the fields where the master warriors—Napoleon and Wellington—had battled more than a hundred years ago. They went from the fields of peace to the shambles of the bloody battlefields of modern wer. modern war.

They went to battle that free government might live, that world civilization might continue, and human happiness exist. The destiny of mankind was entrusted to them, and they did not betray that trust. They carried with them a courage that proclaimed new military tactics to the old world—that the American soldier knew how to advance, but never knew the code of retreat.

When they started over there our people blessed them and promised them a home and the best when they returned—their

places would be waiting for them. Some of them did not return. They are sleeping "over there." Many of them came back.

Mashington, the Capital City, greeted them, the great and victorious American Army, as they marched down Pennsylvania Avenue. Immortal Woodrow Wilson reviewed them.

Soon the tumult and the shouting died away, and their places were not given back to them. Their jobs were gone. They must take up the broken thread of life again. They started anew on life's unfinished road. They have passed 13 mile posts since Armistica Day.

Armistice Day.

And there was another parade on Pennsylvania Avenue. There was no President, no Commander in Chief, to review the ragged remnants of Pershing's own. As the shadows of the night fell the remnants of Persning's own. As the shadows of the night fell the shadows of the greatest American Army came, as one writer says, "The ghosts of war-time glory," paraded again. These men were among the victors in the battles of war—but defeated in the battles of civil life. They were the representation of a million of their buddles in the great army of the wholly unemployed—hungry, down and out—and another half million of their buddles employed from one to three days a week. These men come to the American Congress and say you raid everywhold else in cosh was

American Congress and say, you paid everybody else in cash—we ask no charity. Be fair! Be fair to us!

You say this is sentiment. I answer, yes; and ours is a patriotic, a sentimental people. Patriotism is born of sentiment. The monuments to the heroic dead proclaim the sentiment of our people. monuments to the heroic dead proclaim the sentiment of our people. Bread for the living when hungry is a greater sentiment than stones for the dead. The payment of the veterans' certificates, which means bread to them and their families, is worth more than all the pomp and glory and flowers by the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier.

For one, I shall vote that the veterans may have whatever comfort the payment of the balance of their certificates will bring to them.

the payment of the balance of their certificates will bring to them.

Mr. Byrns. Mr. Speaker, it is with the deepest sorrow that I announce the sudden passing of my colleague, the Hon. Edward E. Eslick, of Tennessee. His death, occurring upon the floor of the

House in the midst of a speech, came as a distinct shock to every

Member of the House.

He was beloved and esteemed by every Member of the House, just as he was beloved and esteemed by every citizen in his State.

No man was better known in Tennessee than Ed Eslick. No man was better loved in that State for his many splendid qualities of both mind and heart. For many years he has been prominent in the councils of the State, and when he came here as a Member in this House he quickly won a warm place in the hearts and in the affections of all his colleagues.

He was one of the most lovable characters I have ever known. I loved him devotedly, and this is true of every member of his delegation. He was quiet and reserved, but always sympathetic. He was a close student and a lover of the best in literature, and was one of the best informed men I knew on every public question. He was an able and faithful representative of his people, and there is not one of them who will not feel a personal loss in

his death. On behalf of the entire House, I wish to extend the sincerest sympathy to Mrs. ESLICK, his devoted and understanding wife, who was not only a comfort to him but a great help in his every

undertaking.

He died as I knew he would have preferred to die, here upon the floor of the House, the scene of his labors for a number of years

and in the active discharge of his duties.

The Congress, the Nation, his State, and his congressional district have suffered a great loss in his passing.

Mr. Speaker, I need say no more, and I offer the resolution which I send to the Clerk's desk. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

"House Resolution 265

"Resolved, That the House has heard with profound sorrow of the death of Hon. EDWARD E. ESLICK, a Representative from the State of Tennessee.

"Resolved, That a committee of 18 Members of the House, with such Members of the Senate as may be joined, be appointed to

attend the funeral.

"Resolved, That the Sergeant at Arms of the House be authorized and directed to take such steps as may be necessary for carrying out the provisions of these resolutions, and that the necessary expenses in connection therewith be paid out of the contingent

fund of the House.

"Resolved, That the Clerk communicate these resolutions to the

Senate and transmit a copy thereof to the family of the deceased."

The resolution was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will appoint the funeral committee to-morrow. The Clerk will report the balance of the resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved, That, as a further mark of respect, this House do now

The resolution was agreed to.

Mr. CRUMP. Mr. Speaker, the sudden passing of my esteemed colleague, Edward Everett Eslick, who was stricken while addressing this House on June 14, 1932, and expired a few moments later, brought to a close the career of a most most charming and attractive young lady of Tennessee, and

worthy Representative of the seventh district of Tennessee, whose record of service to his district, State, and Nation will stand as a perpetual monument to his memory.

My personal acquaintance with Mr. Eslick, though slight, extended over many years. Politically, I knew him well, as we were always on opposite sides in State politics. Nevertheless, I entertained the highest respect for his sense of fairness, honesty of purpose, and courage in championing those causes which he espoused.

After coming to Congress, my more intimate association with Mr. Eslick served to strengthen my estimation, and gave me an enlarged appreciation of the character of the man. I am sure that every Member of this body who served with him held for EDWARD EVERETT ESLICK an affectionate regard, and appraised him as a man of outstanding ability, integrity, and patriotism.

He was absolutely honest in spirit and deed, a trustworthy

friend, and a useful, upstanding American.

It was most fitting, indeed, that the good people of his district, who had known him always, gave added honor to his high and illustrious name by sending his wife, a most charming and estimable lady, to finish the work he had left

Mr. COOPER of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I am indeed grateful for this opportunity to raise my voice in brief tribute to the life, character, and public service of my warm friend and colleague, the Hon. EDWARD E. ESLICK, late a Representative from my native State of Tennessee, who passed away while serving as a Member of the House of Representatives during this Congress.

"The gentleman from Tennessee yields back the balance of his time." This solemn announcement was made by that great southern statesman, Hon. WILLIAM B. BANKHEAD, of Alabama, who was presiding as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union shortly after noon on June 14, 1932. This announcement is frequently heard in the House, indicating that the Member has finished his remarks before the expiration of the time allotted to him to speak. In this case the announcement gave utterance to the literal truth, for the gentleman from Tennessee had yielded back the balance of his time in this life. He had been stricken at his post of duty while on his feet speaking to the House. He was speaking on a subject very dear to him, for the bill then under consideration provided for the payment of the balance due on the adjusted-service certificates of the veterans of the World War. He was always a devoted friend of those patriots who had offered to give their lives upon the altar of our country, and he held the genuine affection and esteem of them.

It had been my privilege to know Mr. Eslick very pleasantly for many years, having known him prior to the beginning of my term of service as a Member of the House of Representatives. Our relations as Members of the Tennessee delegation in Congress had always been most cordial and pleasant, and it was my privilege to number him among my closest and warmest friends.

Mr. Eslick came from the finest stock of pure Americans, and his early training was of that type which produces the strong and noble character possessed by him. Since early manhood he had been a member of the legal profession and always took a great interest in public affairs. For many years prior to his election to Congress, he had been recognized as one of the outstanding members of the legal profession of Tennessee. He was a man of extensive farming interests, and during his service in Congress always took a great interest in legislation especially affecting agriculture. He was first elected to Congress in 1924 and served continuously until the time of his death. He was a prominent member of the important Committee on Ways and Means and made a great contribution of service to the important work of this great committee.

In early manhood he was married to Miss Willa Blake, a

this union formed one of the happiest and most devoted domestic lives that it has ever been my privilege to know. He is survived by Mrs. Eslick, who has been nominated and elected by the splendid citizenship of their district to succeed him as a Member of Congress. A most charming and able woman, she has served with credit and distinction as a Member of the House during this session of Congress.

Always taking a great interest in public affairs, Mr. ESLICK had always given liberally of his time, means, and ability toward the success of the Democratic Party, of which he was a lifelong and devoted member. His voice had been raised in fighting his party battles in every campaign in Tennessee for 40 years. Few men indeed have enjoyed the distinction of making more speeches in the interest of the party and success of its nominees than had Mr. Eslick. He was recognized as a great southern orator of the old school and possessed a degree of forensic ability which has seldom been equaled in the history of his State. He was a man of strong character and convictions and had always been found on the right side of every great moral issue. He possessed the highest attributes of Christian character and all of the sterling qualities of manhood. He was a man of most pleasing personality and was always a most delightful and congenial companion. He was indeed one of the strongest and ablest Members of the House of Representatives, and his passing is a distinct loss, not only to the people of his district but to the State and Nation. He was a true patriot and a most efficient public servant devoted to the interest and welfare of the people that he so ably represented. His great life, character, and public service are indeed an inspiration and may well be emulated by those who come after him.

HENRY ST. GEORGE TUCKER

Mr. WOODRUM. Mr. Speaker, in the passing of Mr. Tucker, the constituency that he served with such distinction, his beloved Virginia, and the Nation have suffered a distinct loss.

It is not an exaggeration to say that no Member of the House of Representatives was held in higher regard or looked upon with more deference than was our deceased colleague. This has always been a matter of great pride to the Virginia delegation in Congress. His unalterable devotion to the Constitution, and his unwillingness ever to compromise on any question that might infringe upon its integrity, was a bright and shining star in his legislative career. The Virginia delegation will miss him. His genial smile and his warmth of spirit drew to him among his colleagues in the House of Representatives a friendship that I know was one of the inspirations of his life. May I say that I feel a sense of deep personal loss in the passing of my friend. When I came to Congress 10 years ago I was delighted to be able to draw freely upon the vast experience and wise counsel of this distinguished man.

His was a thorough knowledge and understanding of constitutional government. Mr. Tucker impressed himself and his philosophy of government upon the House of Representatives, and though he has gone from us that impression will linger on.

Were a star quenched on high, Forever would its light Still travel downward through the sky, Fall on our mortal sight.

So when a good man dies, For years beyond our ken, The light he leaves behind him Shines upon the paths of men.

Mr. DREWRY. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks I wish to speak briefly of the life and public service of my friend the Hon. Henry St. George Tucker.

As a Virginian, I had read and heard of "HARRY" ST. GEORGE TUCKER, for many years before I met him. Of a type that was somewhat rare 50 years ago and even more rare now, from the beginning of his young manhood he had impressed those with whom he had come in contact as a

young man whose life was full of a promise that he would faithfully carry out the ideals of a people, even of a people who have been called more idealistic than practical. Maybe, in these days idealism has become a fault, but the great movements of the world have been promoted by those possessing this trait. A gentleman himself, and having in his own mind the definition of that much-abused word, he trusted other men as he expected them to trust him. Sometimes possibly such faith in the unselfishness of mankind to their fellow beings acted to his hurt, but it never soured the sweetness of his own disposition nor caused him to deviate from the path of high honor which he elected to tread.

His family background was replete with splendid memories, stories of other days in old Virginia from the earliest times. Not one generation, but every generation of his family had played their part in the history of the State. The progenitor of the family in Virginia was St. George Tucker, who came from Bermuda to study law at the college of William and Mary, in Williamsburg, Va. On the breaking out of hostilities with Great Britain, he took the part of his adopted country and gave ample proof of his courage and devotion on the field of Guilford. In the meantime, he married "the widow Randolph," thereby becoming the stepfather of that brilliant but erratic genius, John Randolph of Roanoke. The first of the line was professor of law at William and Mary College, and through the three successive generations they became a family of great lawyers. His son, Henry St. George Tucker, the grandfather of the subject of this address, was a professor of law at the University of Virginia, president of the Supreme Court of Appeals and declined the office of Attorney General tendered him by President Jackson; was the author of several law books, and served two terms in the House of Representatives. His son, John Randolph Tucker, was professor of law at Washington and Lee University, author of legal works, attorney general of Virginia, and served six terms in Congress. He was also president of the American Bar Association.

So it is not surprising, if there be such a thing as hereditary traits that Henry St. George Tucker—"Harry" Tucker, as everyone called him and as he liked to be called—the son of John Randolph Tucker and the grandson of Henry St. George Tucker, turned his attention to the legal profession. His activities in the law and in Congress did not dim the luster of the family name in either law or statecraft.

HARRY TUCKER was born in 1853 at Winchester, Va.; he graduated in law at Washington and Lee University, at Lexington, Va., in 1876; he practiced law at Staunton until 1889; and he lived and died at Lexington. The range of his vision was the beautiful Valley of the Shenandoah, which he loved with a great devotion. He drew the inspiration of his life from that historic environment and from the mountain ranges surrounding it. Only 12 years old when the War between the States ended, he as a boy went through the war and terrors of the reconstruction period. His beloved valley was devastated by the four years of conflict and held back in its progress in the years that followed by the utter poverty of the State and its people. There were no State educational facilities worthy of the name, but with a natural desire for learning he got his preliminary training at private schools and then went to the University of Washington and Lee to complete his study in his chosen profession.

He began his practice at Staunton, but after a few years he was drawn to the other inherited activity of his ancestors, politics. He served from 1889 to 1897 in the House of Representatives and then became professor of law at Washington and Lee University upon the death of his father, who had held that chair for many years. He did fine work in this position and attained high rank as a teacher of constitutional law. His reputation throughout the country in the legal profession was responsible for his being elected as president of the American Bar Association in 1905, again carrying out one of the traditions of the family.

After spending several years in writing law books, he became again interested in Virginia politics and was twice a candidate for governor. Though he did not realize his ambitions in this particular, he gained the affection and respect of the people of the State, even of those who did not agree with him politically. He became a Member of the Sixtyseventh Congress in 1922 and served as Representative from the tenth congressional district of Virginia until his death.

In a sketch necessarily brief as this must be it is not possible to go into the details of his career, though the impress which he made upon Virginia and its people make him worthy of holding a high rank among its distinguished men. It is a pity that he did not leave behind him the story of the times in which he lived, for his life's devotion was given to the State in which he was born and which he loved, and through the years of his life the State seceded from the Union, became the theater of armed conflict, saw its political freedom taken away from it by political trickery and corruption, saw the intelligence and energy of its people overcome its disasters and begin to rebuild anew the foundations which had been destroyed; then saw the development, industrially and politically, until to-day Virginia ranks again among the foremost States of the Union. "HARRY" TUCKER played his part in these affairs, and played it like the man of courage and character that he was, earning the admiration of the people of the State for his devotion to its high ideals.

I can not close this brief sketch without expressing personally my own sorrow at his passing. I had known him for many years before he and I met in the Halls of Congress and I had the highest regard for his ability and for him personally. He gave me his friendship and evidenced in many ways his affection for me. I honored him and loved him and was guided by his counsel in matters affecting legislation. No man in my legislative career has seemed to me to possess a higher degree of patriotism in the service of his country than Mr. Tucker.

The State of Virginia has lost one of its distinguished sons.

Mr. MONTAGUE. Mr. Speaker, it is difficult for me to express myself adequately on this sad occasion. I have known our departed colleague, Hon. Henry St. George Tucker, nearly 50 years and have been intimately associated with him almost from our first meeting. He was a man of rare intellect, a statesman of remarkable ability, an educator of note, a distinguished writer, a profound lawyer, and a great student of the Constitution, to which he devoted a large part of his life, and in this sphere few were his equal.

Mr. Tucker was a gentleman typical of the old South. He had a rare courage and foresight, and because of his deep interest in public affairs he early in life entered upon a public career. This was a natural calling. His father, the Hon. John Randolph Tucker, served with distinction in this House a long time, and his grandfather, the Hon. Henry St. George Tucker, after whom he was named, likewise served with great distinction in the Congress. Mr. Tucker was known and held in high esteem throughout the Commonwealth of Virginia. His unusual abilities won him an exalted place in this House and the admiration and affection of his colleagues. He was very serious, patient, and diligent in the performance of all his duties, and his views and opinions were of inestimable value and help to those who served with him on the Committee on the Judiciary, of which I have the honor to be a member.

Our colleague had a personality and character that was very unique and endeared him to a host of people. He was a close friend of President Cleveland, and this intimacy existed until the passing of that great man. Legislation of far-reaching importance on the statute books of the Nation bears his name and establishes his reputation as a legislator of renown. He was kind, generous, and considerate, and permitted no inconvenience to deter him from helping those in distress or in giving comfort to those in the trough of despair. He was a true and tried friend.

Mr. Tucker was in the eightieth year of his age. He had enjoyed very remarkable vigor for his years, and was stricken last winter with influenza while attending the sessions of Congress. Heart disease developed and under its repeated attacks his strength failed. He returned to his old home, Col Alto, in Lexington, Va., and there, with the setting of the sun and the quiet of the approach of evening, surrounded by his beloved family, his soul took flight from this earth. Thus was written the final chapter of the noble record of a pure, upright life, and one of useful, distinguished service to his district, State, and Nation. Mr. Tucker is with us no more, but his great character and beautiful life are indelibly impressed upon our memories, and in our hearts he will live forever.

Mr. BURCH. Mr. Speaker, we meet to-day to pay tribute to the memory of our beloved friend and associate, Henry St. George Tucker. In his passing the State of Virginia and the entire Union mourns the loss of an eminent and capable Representative in the Congress of the United States.

This lovable man lived a life of unusual activity and achievement. His success was rapid and continuous, and his entire career was one of outstanding distinction. From the time he was first admitted to the bar, his progress in the practice of law was steady and he became one of the greatest lawyers and jurists the Old Dominion has ever produced. He was a noted authority on constitutional and international law, having had a distinguished career as professor of such subjects in leading colleges and law schools. He was editor of numerous outstanding works on the Constitution of the United States and on constitutional law generally. While serving in the Congress of the United States, representing the tenth congressional district of his State, he was a most useful, active, and valuable member of the Judiciary Committee.

During his long term of service in this House he won the friendship of men of all parties, who were attracted to him by his worth and personal merits and paid him the respect thus commanded regardless of party affiliations. One of his most notable traits of character was a downright straightforwardness which never deviated. It was either "yes" or "no" with him, and whichever it was, the verdict was to be depended upon to the end.

He possessed a rare personal charm of manner, the natural result of a kindly and generous disposition. He enjoyed dispensing hospitality, and it gave him pleasure to make others happy. It must not be understood that this inferred any weakness of character, for he could be adamant upon occasion and stern and inflexible when circumstance required.

Another admirable trait of his nature was his demeanor of rare and punctilious courtesy toward everyone, regardless of rank or social position. Indeed, the humbler the individual, the more marked would be his consideration of that person's feelings and rights.

He was one of God's noblemen and a friend to mankind. In his death his State and country lost a worthy son and his friends a companion whose presence will be missed, but whose memory and influence will survive long afterwards.

Mr. BLAND. Mr. Speaker, Henry St. George Tucker was born in Winchester, Frederick County, Va., on April 5, 1853, and died at his home in Lexington, Va., on July 23, 1932. His parents were John Randolph Tucker and Laura (Powell) Tucker.

Mr. Tucker was descended from a family which for 300 years had held positions of first importance in the Bermuda Islands and in Virginia. His family is traced in England to William Tucker, of Thornby, County Dwin. Two of the family were much interested in the English settlement at Jamestown. One of these, George Tucker, was a member of the London Company; and the other, Daniel Tucker, after living in Virginia, became in 1616 Governor of Bermuda.

From this line came Mr. Tucker's great-grandfather, St. George Tucker, who came to Virginia about 1770. He be-

came a student at the College of William and Mary in | Williamsburg, Va., and entered later upon the practice of law. When the Revolutionary War commenced, he conducted a secret and successful expedition to Bermuda, where he captured military stores, which he brought home and which were used by General Washington at Boston.

Later he became a colonel in the American Army, distinguished himself by his courage and conduct at Guilford Courthouse, and took an active part in the siege of Yorktown. He served as one of the commissioners at the Annapolis convention which recommended the Philadelphia convention, where the Constitution of the United States was

Afterwards, St. George Tucker became professor of law in the College of William and Mary, where he succeeded the eminent chancellor and first American law teacher, George Wythe. He also served with distinction as judge of the general court of Virginia. He married Frances Bland Randolph, widow of John Randolph and mother of John Randolph, of Roanoke.

The name "St. George" in the Tucker family originated with George Tucker, who emigrated, during the civil war in England, to Bermuda, and died there about 1662. He married Frances, daughter of Sir Henry St. George, Knight of the Garter and principal king of arms, whence the name.

Henry St. George Tucker, son of St. George Tucker and grandfather of the subject of this sketch, served as a Member of the House in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Congresses from March 4, 1815, to March 3, 1819, when he retired voluntarily, becoming thereafter chancellor of the fourth judicial district of Virginia, where he served from 1824 to 1831. Then he became president of the court of appeals of Virginia. He filled this position until 1841, when he was appointed professor of law at the University of Virginia.

John Randolph Tucker, father of our late colleague, served from March 4, 1875, to March 3, 1887, as a Member of the House in the Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth, Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh, Forty-eighth, and Forty-ninth Congresses, declining to be a candidate for renomination in 1886. He was elected professor of constitutional law at Washington and Lee University in 1888, and filled that position until his death in Lexington on February 13, 1897.

Another relative of Mr. Tucker's served as a Member of the House from the State of South Carolina in the First and Second Congresses. This was Thomas Tudor Tucker, uncle of Mr. Tucker's grandfather. He was born at Port Royal, Bermuda, June 25, 1745, served as a surgeon in the Revolutionary Army, was a Member of Congress from March 4, 1789, to March 3, 1793, and was appointed United States Treasurer by Thomas Jefferson, serving from December 1, 1801, until his death, on May 2, 1828.

George Tucker, another relative, born in St. Georges, Bermuda, served in the Sixteenth, Seventeenth, and Eighteenth Congresses, from March 4, 1819, to March 3, 1825. He was appointed by Thomas Jefferson as first professor of moral philosophy at the University of Virginia, that chair then embracing finance and economics.

HENRY ST. GEORGE TUCKER, whose loss we lament, was the third in direct line to serve in the House of Representatives of the United States. Here he spent 181/3 years of his useful, busy life. His services began on March 4, 1889, in the Fiftyfirst Congress, and he continued as a Member through the Fifty-second, Fifty-third, and Fifty-fourth Congresses, retiring voluntarily on March 3, 1897. He returned to the Sixty-seventh Congress to fill the vacancy caused by the death of Hon. Henry D. Flood. He qualified on March 25, 1922, and continued as a Member to the time of his death.

Mr. Tucker came of a line of lawyers, teachers, jurists, and authors. He was the fourth in direct line in his family to serve as professor of law. His great-grandfather, St. George Tucker, was professor of law at the College of William and Mary, succeeding the eminent teacher George Wythe. His grandfather, Henry St. George Tucker, was professor of law at the University of Virginia from 1841 to 1845. His father, John Randolph Tucker, was professor of equity and public law at Washington and Lee University | in educational work, and he had canvassed Virginia as the

before he served in Congress, and was again in 1888 elected professor of constitutional law at Washington and Lee University. That position he filled until his death, in 1897.

Mr. Tucker also came of a line of authors. His grandfather, Henry St. George Tucker, was the author of Tucker's Commentaries, and Treatises on Natural Law, and on the Formation of the Constitution of the United States, which were textbooks at the University of Virginia. His father, John Randolph Tucker, was author of Tucker on the Constitution.

Mr. Tucker also came of a line of jurists. His great-grandfather, St. George Tucker, served as a judge of the general court before his appointment as professor of law in the College of William and Mary. In 1803 he was elected to succeed President Pendleton on the bench of the court of appeals of Virginia. Here he served with distinction until he resigned in 1811. In 1813 he accepted the position tendered him by President Madison as judge of the district court of the United States. This position he held for many years until ill health compelled his resignation.

Mr. Tucker's grandfather, Henry St. George Tucker, served for seven years as judge of the superior courts of chancery for the Winchester and Clarksburg districts, and in 1831 was elected president of the court of appeals of Virginia, where he remained until August, 1841, when he resigned to become professor of law at the University of Virginia.

It is not surprising that, with this background, our colleague became a teacher of law and also an author. In 1897 he was elected professor of constitutional law and equity in Washington and Lee University to succeed his father, John Randolph Tucker, and was dean of the law school of that university in 1900. After G. W. C. Lee retired from the presidency of the university, Mr. Tucker served as president for a time, but in 1902 he resigned his chair at Washington and Lee and accepted an invitation to become dean of the schools of law and diplomacy at Columbian University, now the George Washington University, Washington, D. C.

Mr. Tucker was also an author of distinction. He edited Tucker on the Constitution, of which his father was the author, and wrote Woman Suffrage by Constitutional Amendment, and Limitations on the Treaty Making Power under the Constitution, as well as many treatises and papers.

The attainments of Mr. Tucker and his father were nationally recognized. The father served as president of the American Bar Association in 1894, and Mr. Tucker was president of the same association in 1905. The University of Mississippi and Columbian University at Washington conferred upon Mr. Tucker the degrees of doctor of laws.

When Mr. Tucker first served in Congress, Thomas B. Reed, of Maine, was Speaker and among Mr. Tucker's colleagues in the House were such outstanding men in political life as William M. Springer, of Illinois; John G. Carlisle, of Kentucky; Samuel S. Cox and Amos J. Cummings, of New York City: Roger Q. Mills, of Texas; Charles F. Crisp, of Georgia; William McKinley, of Ohio; Theodore E. Burton, of Ohio; and Joseph G. Cannon, of Illinois.

In the Senate were John W. Daniel and John S. Barbour from his own State of Virginia; John T. Morgan, of Alabama; Wade Hampton, of South Carolina; Daniel W. Voorhees, of Indiana; William B. Allison, of Iowa; Arthur Pue Gorman, of Maryland; George G. Vest, of Missouri; Zebulon B. Vance, of North Carolina; John Sherman, of Ohio; and Isham G. Harris, of Tennessee. Among his colleagues in the House from Virginia were Charles T. O'Ferrall, later governor of the State; George D. Wise, William H. F. Lee, Paul C. Edmunds, and John A. Buchanan, of Abingdon.

For four years of the first eight years of Mr. Tucker's service in the House he was a member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs. This committee was then handling critical matters in our foreign relations. Upon Mr. Tucker's return in 1922, he was placed upon the Committee on Education which was the only vacancy then existing. Mr. Tucker was peculiarly qualified for this work by experience and training, for while he was out of Congress he had been engaged agent of the Southern Educational Association in behalf of an improved school system in the State. In this way Mr. Tucker contributed materially to the splendid progress made in education in Virginia during recent years.

Soon after Mr. Tucker returned to Congress in 1922, the Congress recognized the need for his experience and legal attainments and qualifications in the work of the Committee on the Judiciary. Accordingly, though the committee consisted of its full complement, the Congress adopted a resolution enlarging the committee membership for the sole purpose of creating a place on the committee for Mr. Tucker, and he served with distinction on this committee until the time of his death.

Mr. Tucker was identified with the political life of Virginia from his earliest manhood until his death. Though unsuccessful in his efforts in 1909 and again in 1921 to secure the Democratic nomination for the office of governor of that State, he numbered his friends by the thousands, and those who had once become his friends never forgot him. He radiated comradeship, good cheer, happiness, and sunshine wherever he went, and his political campaigns were always of the highest order. He disdained the wiles of the politician; and the frank, manly statement of his position on all public matters won for him friends by the thousands, who were willing always to follow where he led.

Mr. Tucker's services were so great, his life so useful, his talents so many, and his personal charm so indescribable that the limitations of a sketch such as this afford no

opportunity to touch all the high points.

If called upon to name the outstanding quality in Mr. Tucker's political life, I should answer without hesitation that it was his political courage. He never compromised a principle. He never truckled to expediency. He stood always foursquare to every wind that blew, and planted himself immovably upon the Constitution of this country.

His political courage and refusal to sacrifice principle to expediency received the acid test in 1896 when the Democratic Party espoused the cause of free silver at the ratio of 16 to 1. The nomination was Mr. Tucker's if he would accept it upon the terms of voting for free silver. Mr. Tucker refused to accept upon those terms and lost the nomination. Mr. Tucker stood by his party but refused to sacrifice his principles.

While in Congress during the last period of his service, the salaries of Members of Congress were increased to take effect at the beginning of the next fiscal year. True to his conviction that Congress should not increase the salaries of Members during the term for which they had been elected, he refused to accept this increase in salary for the remainder of the term, but returned it to the Treasury. In doing this he followed the example set in 1816 by his illustrious grandfather of the same name.

During the period of Mr. Tucker's service in Congress he was the author of the seventeenth amendment which provided for the election of United States Senators by the people, and of the bill known as the "Tucker bill," which repealed all Federal statutes which interfered with elections in the States. These laws had been enacted after the War between the States.

Mr. Tucker rendered national service of the highest order as President of the Jamestown Tercentennial Exposition, succeeding in that position Gen. Fitzhugh Lee, whose death at the critical period of preparation seriously threatened the celebration. President Roosevelt appointed Mr. Tucker as General Lee's successor, and the exposition was successfully held under his direction and supervision.

Mr. Tucker married in 1877 Miss Henrietta Preston Johnston, who died in 1900, and there survived him by this marriage three sons and three daughters—John Randolph Tucker, of Richmond; Mrs. Rosa Johnston Mason, of Lexington; Maj. Albert Sidney Johnston Tucker, of the United States Army; Mrs. Laura Powell Fletcher, wife of Prof. Forest Fletcher, of Lexington; Harry St. George Tucker, of Lexington, Ky.; and Mrs. Henrietta Preston White, wife of Dr. T. Preston White, of Charlotte, N. C.

In 1903 Mr. Tucker married Miss Martha Sharpe, of Wilkes-Barre, Pa., who died February 18, 1928, and on June 26, 1929, he married Miss Mary Jane Williams, of Culpeper, Va., who survived him.

Mr. Tucker was an orator of ability and a political speaker of peculiar force. His services were in frequent demand, and his speeches were remembered and quoted long after they had been delivered.

Mr. Tucker was one of the happiest mortals who ever lived. He was always sustained by a beautiful Christian faith. Hatred, animosity, and revenge found no place in his life.

He was always full of humor and good cheer. He loved mankind, and he was loved by his fellow men. His life was an inspiration to all who knew him. His death left a void which can not be filled.

Mr. FLANNAGAN. Mr. Speaker, the seat of Henry St. George Tucker is vacant! Who among us is so bold as to dare to fill it?

But yesterday he stood among us unraveling the perplexities of the Constitution. To-day he dwells in that land where men do not need constitutions and rules of law to circumscribe their action.

To him the transition meant few changes, because he lived among the higher altitudes of fellowship and brotherly love while here among men. I know that he is in congenial company, because he carried with him a pure heart attuned to the heart throbs of the heavenly throng.

We respect men, we admire men, but only one now and then in the teeming millions do we love. Men not only respected and admired but sincerely loved Henry St. George Tucker.

I am not given to hero worship. Most would-be heroes when contacted become idols of clay. Mr. Tucker was not my hero; he was my comrade. I was drawn to him not by adoration but by that indefinable human element he possessed that told me that I was a welcome guest into his fellowship and comradeship. He drew men to him because they found him to be a lover of men. He had a heart as well as a mind.

A great statesman, yes; but there was something about him bigger than statecraft. A great orator, yes; but there was something about him more persuasive than eloquence. A great constitutionalist, yes; but there was something about him more human than legal canons. A great lawyer, yes; but there was something about him more sympathetic than man-made rules of action. A great scholar, yes; but there was something about him more elightening than erudition. A great teacher, yes; but there was something about him more instructive than pedagogy. A great conversationalist, yes; but there was something about him more charming than mere talk. A great host, yes; but there was something about him more elegant than manners. That something, my colleagues, was his love for his fellow men.

When in his presence I never thought of him as a great statesman, or a great orator, or a great lawyer, or a great teacher, or a great scholar, because he had none of the egotist or braggart about him to remind me of such things. I only thought of him as a lovable man, deeply interested in my welfare, eager to serve me in every way, ever ready to lend a helping hand.

Virginia has produced many men in the past of whom she is proud: Statesmen who climbed to the highest heights; orators who swayed the multitude by the enchantment of their words; judges whose decisions have become a part of our legal lore; lawyers whose reasoning and logic commanded the respect of our highest tribunals; doctors who healed as if by the magic of their touch; scholars whose learning astounded the learned; teachers who instilled into the minds of the young that it takes more than erudition to make men; preachers who inspired men to lead higher, nobler lives; but on the scroll of her immortals the name of Henry St. George Tucker leads the list of those who loved their fellow men.

Mr. LANKFORD of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, words are inadequate to properly express the affection and regard of Members of Congress and those who knew him, of the life, character, and achievements of Henry St. George Tucker.

His kindly smile and hearty greeting inspired confidence and affection for him and always left one with the distinct impression of peace and admiration, such as is inspired by the view of a snow-capped mountain.

Never did the Members of Congress hear from him on the floor of the House biased or partisan utterances, but his great ability was reserved for the discussion of national questions of importance, to which he contributed invaluable information and thought.

The Constitution of the United States was his shield and buckler, and his flashing eye and vigorous delivery even in his advancing years reminded one of the effect of the bugle call on the aged hunter when the Constitution was in danger.

It is inspiring to contemplate the fact that Virginia and the country still produces men like Henry St. George Tucker. The safety and happiness of our State and our country lies in the vision, loyalty, patriotism, and character of men like him. In a commercial age, when so many are swept away by fleeting affairs of the moment and lose their perspective in frivolous and unessential things, Henry St. George Tucker stood out among his fellow men like a mighty oak in the forest.

His life and character, like the ruins of the majestic Acropolis, should be a constant reminder to us he has left behind of the grandeur that was Athens and the glory that was Rome.

J. CHARLES LINTHICUM

Mr. McREYNOLDS. Mr. Speaker, under the privilege granted of extending my remarks, I desire to pay my respects in a few words to my friend and associate the Hon. J. Charles Linthicum.

Mr. Linthicum was chairman of the Foreign Affairs Committee of the House at the time of his death, having been a member of that committee for many years. For the past eight years I have served with him on that committee and I had an opportunity to see and know his interest in public affairs and his conscientious duty in trying to perform those duties. Being ranking member of this committee for many years he was responsible to a great extent for much of the important legislation that came from that committee. He was responsible for the bill, which came out of that committee and was finally passed, for the reclassification of consuls and vice consuls, and defining their duties. He was greatly responsible for the building program of embassies throughout the world. Being ranking Democrat of this committee, he was a member of the Buildings Commission and visited many of the countries where these buildings were to be located and aided in the purchase of the different sites, and many of these buildings throughout the world stand to-day as a monument to his aid in securing the same. After he became chairman of the committee he was more than interested in his work; was kind and considerate to all members of the committee, and on account of this had, to a great extent, the cooperation of his committee.

He was a man of intelligence, sober, industrious, and honest, and did his best toward passing that character of legislation that he thought was in the interest of the people of this country.

He was very much opposed to the eighteenth amendment, and during last session of Congress had submitted to that Congress what is known as the Beck-Linthicum resolution, which had for its purpose repeal of the eighteenth amendment. At that time it failed by a large vote, but I have often thought how happy he would have been could he have witnessed the passage of a similar resolution during this session of Congress, which he had for so many years advocated. While Mr. Linthicum advocated from principle the repeal of the eighteenth amendment, yet he told me that he never took a drink.

I admired Mr. Linthicum very much; his ability, his courtesy, and his great effort to accomplish those things that he thought were in the interest of his people.

I was very much shocked at his death, not knowing at the time that he was in any wise seriously afflicted.

In his passing many hearts were saddened and the people lost a most splendid Representative.

Mr. GOLDSBOROUGH. Mr. Speaker, J. CHARLES LINTHICUM was for many years the dean of the Maryland delegation in the House of Representatives, and since the beginning of the Seventy-second Congress was chairman of the very important Foreign Affairs Committee of the House of Representatives.

The first time I came into intimate contact with Charley Linthicum was during the war, when on two occasions he came down on the Eastern Shore of Maryland for the purpose of assisting in raising funds for various war services.

I had met him before when he served in the Maryland senate with my brother, but I became intimate with him during the war, and ever since have looked upon him as a very near and dear friend.

Although for many years Charley was afflicted with a physical malady which prevented his taking very much nourishing food, two things were to be noted about him: First, he never spoke about anybody except in the kindest way; and, second, I have never seen him irritated or impatient.

As the Baltimore Sun said about him in a beautiful editorial, written shortly after his death, "He had a way of life."

Mr. Linthicum had perfectly legitimate ambitions to be Governor of Maryland and to be United States Senator from Maryland, and although conditions were never such that he was able to achieve his ambition, he was never known to accuse anyone of unfairness or double dealing.

He worked hard, he worked conscientiously, he did everything in his power to secure legitimate ends, but if his efforts did not turn out exactly as he would have liked them, he never lost his poise or serenity, and was never made bitter.

CHARLEY LINTHICUM had a fine sense of values in his estimate of legislation and was able to reach a conclusion about his own attitude on legislation with a remarkable facility.

His domestic life was ideal, and his devotion to his wife and the members of his family was apparent to all of his associates.

It is not given to us to understand the meaning of our birth, the meaning of our life, and the meaning of our death, but when I think of Charley Linthicum now, I see him as he was at his desk in the committee room of Foreign Affairs, serene, courtly, modest, and tactful—truly a fine figure.

Mr. GAMBRILL. Mr. Speaker, the life of the Hon. John Charles Linthicum, long a Representative from the State of Maryland in Congress, was one well spent in public and private efforts. Two centuries ago his progenitors settled in Anne Arundel County, where they took an early and conspicuous part in the development of the agricultural and cultured life of that county. From these ancestors he inherited those charming traits of gentleness and consideration for others which are the unfailing characteristics of those whom nature has set aside as cast in a different mold.

Born within a few years after the unfortunate conflict within the Union, that conflict left its mark upon the fortunes of many families in the South and the border States, and his own family did not escape some of these adversities. Thus his early life was marked by self-denial and sacrifice, which gave him a strength of character and self-reliance which rarely come to those whom circumstances have placed in a different rôle. He knew privation, if not want; he was early to realize that in the main he would be compelled to be the architect of his own fortune.

During an apprenticeship spent in selling produce from door to door to help out the family fortunes, he attended the public schools in Baltimore, and afterwards the State normal school, from which he graduated in 1886 at the age of 19. For a short time he taught in the public schools of Anne Arundel County, and afterwards served as principal of the Braddock Heights School in Frederick County, in his State of Maryland.

His ambition was to become a lawyer and to broaden his knowledge by study and application. He found the opportunity during his vocation as a teacher to read law, and at the same time enrolled as a special student in political economy and history at the Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore. He graduated from the University of Maryland Law School in 1890, and from then until the time of his death he engaged in the practice of law in Baltimore and in extensive real-estate developments in Anne Arundel County. Perhaps his chief pride and interest, aside from his happy married life and political achievements, were in the development of the very beautiful township in Anne Arundel County, known as Linthicum Heights, where in the decades long since past his family had tilled the soil and which land to him was a heritage to be nourished and cared for so that the honored name of his family would not perish.

But political life was one which appealed to him most, and in which he found an outlet for his ability and fine qualities of heart and mind. After a term in the House of Delegates of Maryland, he served two terms—1905–1910—in the State Senate of Maryland before being elected to the Sixty-second Congress of the United States, where he had a continuous service from March 4, 1911, to the date of his untimely death on October 5, 1932, a period of more than 21 years.

It was in the Congress of the United States that he showed real statesmanship and an untiring devotion to his public duties unexcelled by any of his contemporaries. Perhaps the chief satisfaction he had from his long and honorable career as a Congressman was when he succeeded to the chairmanship of the influential and important Foreign Affairs Committee, of which he had been an outstanding and conspicuous member for many years. In that committee he found his most congenial work, and a work for which he was eminently fitted by training, adaptability, and study. No one in or out of Congress worked more earnestly, assiduously, and successfully for the establishment of suitable homes in foreign countries for our ambassadors and ministers than did Congressman Linthicum, and through his efforts as a member of that committee, and through the social contacts of his wife and himself with the representatives of foreign governments in Washington, he did much to preserve for this country the friendship of and cordial relations with the nations of the world. He and his devoted wife were extensive travelers, and found the opportunity, in a very busy life, to frequently visit the capitals of Europe and Asia, but perhaps one of his most delightful trips was that made by him and his wife but a few weeks before his death to the Dominican Republic, where he was the honored guest of the President of that Republic.

There was a time when he cherished an ambition to be mayor of Baltimore and later to be Governor of the State of Maryland, and it was only through the clipping of the threads by fate that he was not called on to occupy the executive mansion at Annapolis. For a period of 8 or 10 years there was never a time when he was not frequently mentioned as being among the few men available by training and experience for the governorship of his State. If he ever felt any disappointment over the fact that the exigencies of a complicated political situation deprived him of this honor. he never showed it in speech or action, and always accepted the decision of his party with the calmness, resignation, and equanimity which were some of his outstanding traits. Whatever may have been his inner disappointment in not becoming governor of his State, that disappointment must have quickly vanished in the knowledge that his congressional life was one which brought him great distinction and the respect and friendship of his colleagues during his long and honorable service.

One would not be justified, it seems, in saying he was endowed with a brilliant mind. A truer picture would be to remember him as he was, a man with a clear, concise, and well-balanced mind, and one who used his admirable qualities in devoted service to his State and Nation, and whose reward came in the recognition that he was a man to be admired and respected and emulated.

As he lived, so he died—in peace and harmony with his Maker and his fellow men, and his memory will long be remembered by those who were privileged to know him.

The poet says:

Fate oft tears the bosom cords
That finest nature strung;
Omnipotence alone can heal the wound that came;
Can point the grief-worn eyes
To scenes beyond the pale.

And so it is with our friend and colleague, we shall look beyond the pale.

Mr. BLOOM. Mr. Speaker, gone is our friend, our comrade, our faithful and competent public servant, who, having performed his part upon life's stage, moves on.

It is indeed with a heart filled with sadness that I add my tribute of affection and respect to those similar expressions of my colleagues, called forth in honor of the memory of Hon. J. Charles Linthicum, with whom I was associated for so many years on the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

The passing of any valued public man is a misfortune. The loss of J. Charles Linthicum to his country is a tragedy.

My personal relations with him were of a peculiarly intimate, and friendly nature. Not alone as a colleague in the House of Representatives, not alone as the chairman of the great Committee on Foreign Affairs do I mourn his loss.

The close intimacies of the common effort of Members of Congress make for friendships based upon respect and recognition of the finest elements of human character.

By every test of such friendships Mr. Linthicum measured to the full stature of a man.

It is therefore with an unusual depth of feeling that I here express my humble tribute to this great and good man. He will always live in the hearts of those with whom he was associated. To them he needs no other monument. The influence which he exerted out of his wide experience, sound judgment, and inflexible character will live on in the structure of our Government. His personality is the heritage which will be ever treasured in the memory of his friends and associates.

Mr. COLE of Maryland. Mr. Speaker, John Charles Linthicum, familiarly known to his many friends as "Charley," and especially so to his colleagues in Congress, was born at Linthicum Heights, Anne Arundell County, November 26, 1867, and died October 5, 1932. He received his early education in the public schools and in 1886 graduated from the State Normal School. Immediately thereafter he took up his chosen profession and became principal of Braddock School, in Frederick County, and later taught in his native county, Anne Arundell.

Mr. Linthicum returned to Baltimore City, where he attended Johns Hopkins University and finally entered the University of Maryland School of Law, from which he obtained his degree of LL.B. in 1890 and since that time has practiced law in the city of Baltimore. Serving in the House of Delegates and in the State Senate of the Maryland Legislature, needless to say with credit to himself and the people of Baltimore whom he represented, he was then selected by one of the leading congressional districts of the country to serve in the Sixty-second Congress, and from that time till the date of his death he was returned to each succeeding Congress, presenting as it did one of the longest uninterrupted terms of service enjoyed by those elected to the popular branch of the Congress.

The beautiful eulogies presented by Congressman McCormack and Congressman Davenport at the memorial exercises held by the House on February 17, 1932, could easily be accepted as an individual tribute to Mr. Linthicum, for each word they uttered was fully applicable to him.

Few men have represented Maryland in any capacity, certainly as a public servant, in a more faithful and helpful way than did our good friend Mr. LINTHICUM. From the very beginning of his service in the National Congress he took an active interest in international and national problems along with those local subjects which were for solution by the Federal Government. His devotion to the high position this country should occupy throughout the world caused his early assignment to the Foreign Affairs Committee, and after years of outstanding service on that committee, became its chairman, in which capacity he was serving at the time of his death. In many foreign countries, where our foreign relations are established through ambassadors or other representatives, housing facilities in keeping with the dignity of the station one expects this Government to maintain, in many, many instances stand as a monument to the initiative, determination, and decision of Mr. Linthicum, for it was his privilege to represent the United States in this important work.

Thirteen years ago, when the eighteenth amendment became part of the organic law of our country, it was not a popular thing for Senators and Representatives to advocate its repeal. Congressman Linthicum, sensing not only the feeling of the intelligent constituency he had, but following the dictates of his own conscience, helped to organize and became one of the small group which started almost immediately the movement to repeal this law and to restore the Constitution of the United States to those limitations and fundamentals of government it was at all times intended.

Shortly before his death—that is, in the spring of 1932—the large vote in the House upon his resolution to repeal the eighteenth amendment showed the tremendous good which had been done during the intervening years, and should also show the very telling effect of the helpful, appealing campaign Mr. Linthicum and others had presented to the country. It is too bad he could not have lived to have seen the victory which came to us a few weeks ago, and for which he, ahead of almost any man in Congress to-day, would have received the plaudits and congratulations of the people for bringing about.

No one can think of Mr. Linthicum without connecting in the same thought the effort and tireless energy he displayed in behalf of Maryland, especially Baltimore city. It was short of an obsession with him that Baltimore Harbor should be second to none in the country, and he labored day in and day out to obtain appropriations and decisions helpful to that purpose. The new post office in Baltimore, the new marine hospital, the new appraiser's store about to be constructed, the protection of Fort McHenry, and numerous other matters, all found Mr. Linthicum in the lead with the Maryland delegation to persuade and convince Congress as to the necessity for these additions to the beauty and upbuilding of Baltimore. It was a happy day in his life, when after many years of effort, the Congress by resolution recognized the Star-Spangled Banner as the national anthem of our country.

Mrs. Linthicum's devotion to him was a matter of knowledge to all of his friends in the House. Their home was open to all of us, and the hospitality of that home which most of us enjoyed will live in our memories for all time.

If I could continue, as I could for a long time, to eulogize Mr. Linthicum, I could do, as I have said, nothing better than to repeat the beautiful language to be found in the memorial addresses referred to. All I care, therefore, to say further is that we miss Charley Linthicum more than mere words can express, and my own great State has lost a faithful, true, and able Representative in the National Congress.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. Speaker, to eulogize on the life of a man who was so greatly loved, and so highly revered, as the late Congressman J. Charles Linthicum, is indeed a

difficult task—in that his many good deeds, his untiring effort in behalf of those whom he represented, and his deep understanding of the human heart would, in the telling, fall far short of conveying the true definition and magnanimity of his ideals and standards. Words would be mere symbols in the description of his many and untiring efforts, to accomplish the favorable results, which he attained.

However, I wish to say, briefly, that it was my great pleasure to have served the same legislative district in the Senate of the State of Maryland, which had been previously represented by the late Congressman; and I am further honored to be serving at this time the same Congressional district of Maryland which he so ably represented in Congress for more than 20 years. His high ideals and principles have always borne out the traditions of the State of Maryland and will serve as an inspiration to those Representatives who may follow in his footsteps.

Mr. Linthicum's life was one of steady step and development. He was the son of the late Sweetser Linthicum, and his mother, before her marriage, was Laura E. Smith. Until the time Mr. Linthicum was 12 years of age he lived on the parental farm at Linthicum Heights, Md.: he came to Baltimore in the year of 1879, where he attended the public schools of that city. At a very early age he became a wage earner, but, aspiring to become a teacher, he entered the State normal school and was graduated in 1886, at the age of 19 years. He taught for a while and later, in 1887, became principal of the Braddock School in Frederick County, later entering Johns Hopkins University to major in a course of political economy and history. In 1890 he was graduated from the Maryland Law School and admitted to the Maryland State bar in April of the same year. He was then elected to the House of Delegates of the State of Maryland and thereafter to the State Senate.

Based on the high tributes of his great knowledge and human understanding, he was then elected to the Sixtysecond Congress, and through his unfaltering effort, his fidelity, and sincere devotion to the interests of his constituents, he represented Maryland in Congress longer than any other man in the history of the State.

Though Charles Linthicum has left us, his high traditions and loyalty to the ideals in which he so sincerely believed are left as standards by which we who follow may be guided.

He was truly beloved and served Congress and his people long and well.

CHARLES A. KARCH

Mr. KELLER. Mr. Speaker, it was my privilege to know Charles A. Karch intimately for more than 20 years. During that time he was my friend, and I his. No greater thing can come to any man in this world than friendship. It is the only treasure worth coveting.

We started our public careers together as members of the house and senate in the Illinois Legislature. The public service that Charley rendered in that body was so progressive that the most progressive of all Presidents recognized him and honored him by appointment as district attorney for the eastern district of Illinois. Charles A. Karch reflected honor upon that appointment as he did upon every public office that he held. When it became apparent to him that justice was not prevailing in the Federal court of his jurisdiction he boldly attacked the sitting judge and with such telling effect that the House of Representatives voted an impeachment. The judge subsequently resigned to avoid trial.

In 1930 the people of the twenty-second congressional district of Illinois sent him to represent them in the Congress. His service here was a repetition of all of his other public service, progressive and in the interest of the poor. He was fortunate in his opportunity to serve his people in both his State and his Nation during critical times. It is probable that he regarded his labor in the Seventy-second Congress as his most important public work. The work of that Congress was months of labor, without a day of recreation and

without an hour of leisure. The Record will show to future traditions and ideals always made him carry on in the ingenerations the wisdom with which he approached the questions of his time.

Throughout his long public career he exhibited a character replete with that strong moral fiber that makes men great among their fellows.

The sense of personal grief which oppresses those of us who knew him well is overshadowed by the conviction that his untimely death was a public calamity, the extent of which can not now be measured by words of eulogy. For what he has done for his fellow man his place in our hearts is secure; he has the respect and affection of us all.

Mr. ARNOLD. Mr. Speaker, I take this opportunity to pay a short tribute of respect to our departed friend, the Hon. Charles A. Karch, of the twenty-second Illinois district, and to comment briefly on his life, character, and public service.

He was of a pioneer family, residents of St. Clair County, Ill., for almost a hundred years. Born and reared on a farm near Fayettesville, Ill., educated in the public schools, the State Normal University, and the Wesleyan Law College at Bloomington, Ill., he was well prepared and equipped for the useful and active life he led. He taught school for several years and became actively engaged in the practice of law. He was an able lawyer and enjoyed an extensive and lucrative practice.

He was elected to the lower house of the State legislature, and served with distinction in the forty-fourth, forty-seventh, and forty-eighth sessions of the General Assembly of Illinois. He was appointed United States district attorney by President Wilson, and served from 1914 to 1918. His training and experience peculiarly fitted him for the duties and responsibilities devolving upon Members of this body. He was nominated by the Democratic Party of his district and elected to the Seventy-second Congress in November, 1930. He was renominated by his party in April, 1932, and his reelection to the Seventy-third Congress was assured. He passed away just two days before the general election in November, 1932.

By his death the country has been deprived of an able legislator and his community of a leading spirit in civic and community affairs. It can be truly said of him that those who knew him best loved him most. All with whom he came in contact held him in the highest esteem. The news of his untimely death on November 6, 1932, came as a distinct shock to the people of his community and to his colleagues in this House.

While here but a short time, he had demonstrated his usefulness and ability as a legislator and as a true friend to the people of his district and the country. Of pleasing personality and address, with a background of training and experience, energetic and industrious, endowed with a splendid intellect, he was destined to become a leader in this body. We all mourn his passing, and I desire to record these few words in the permanent records of this House as a slight token of my affection and respect for him and of his life, character, and worth as a public servant.

Mr. CHAVEZ. Mr. Speaker, it is with something more than a feeling of perfunctory interest that I rise to add a brief tribute of respect to the memory of Charles A. Karch, for he was not only an honored colleague but a warm personal friend for whom I entertained great affection.

Charles A. Karch was born in Engelmann Township, St. Clair County, in Illinois on March 17, 1875. He attended the public schools of his immediate vicinity and later the Northern Illinois Normal University, where he prepared himself for the profession of teaching. After having taught school among his neighbors for several years he enrolled in a course of law at Wesleyan College in Bloomington, Ill., from which he was later graduated. Immediately upon his graduation he began the practice of law and continued to do so until the time of his death, occupying a position of preeminence in the Illinois bar. His love of country and its

terest of the underprivileged. He served for three terms as a member of the lower house of the Illinois Legislature and was United States District Attorney for the eastern district of Illinois during the national administration of Woodrow Wilson. It was during the time he occupied this position that he became opponent of Judge English of that Federal district and is given the credit by people acquainted with the facts with being responsible for the ousting of the said Federal judge after impeachment proceedings had been proposed. In 1926 he became attorney for the United Mine Workers of America and represented this organization up to the time of his death. He was elected to the Seventysecond Congress by the citizens of the twenty-second district of Illinois and in 1932 again received the renomination to the Seventy-third Congress by the largest majority ever given any congressional candidate in that district, but it had to be otherwise and he died at his home in East St. Louis on the 6th day of December, 1932, two days prior to his general election, leaving to mourn his death Mrs. Karch and one daughter, Miss Marguerite.

He was a man of the highest intelligence and sound judgment, with a large amount of energy that he would use in the interest of the people of his district and the Nation. He rarely spoke before the House of Representatives, but when he did it was useful and intelligent information that he would give his colleagues.

God bless the one whose day is done; God save us all, till we May have it said when we are dead We lived as well as he.

Mr. PARSONS. Mr. Speaker, putting our thoughts in language that will properly express our feelings toward departed friends is, indeed, a difficult task. To pay fitting tribute to our departed colleague and statesman, Charles A. Karch, is doubly difficult, because his passing is a loss not only to his family and friends but is a loss to his district, to the State of Illinois, and to the Nation.

It was not my pleasure to be long associated with him personally, but I knew Charlie Karch by the record he had made in the General Assembly of Illinois and as a prosecutor as district attorney for the United States Eastern District. Having served three terms in the Illinois Legislature, the latter term under the administration of Governor Edward F. Dunne, where he was chairman of the committee on judiciary and floor leader of the Democratic Party, he came to the National Congress endowed with rare ability and experience to represent the twenty-second congressional district of Illinois. As a practicing attorney he was long identified with the labor movement and gave much of his time and energies gratis to helping build labor organization.

By environment and training Charle Karch was essentially a progressive. His public service not only in the Congress, but for a quarter of a century prior to his election to this body, was of a progressive nature. Although his service here was of short duration, he took an active part in the debates and introduced several bills touching labor and prohibition, which showed the liberal views he held.

No Congressman worked harder or more diligently for the benefit of his constituency than did Charlie Karch. No doubt he regarded his labor in this Congress the most important of his public service. No Congress in history had more important decisions to make and held longer months of labor, without recreation and leisure, than the Congress of which he was a Member. It can, probably truthfully, be said that his long and continuous labor had its effect on his health and no doubt shortened his days among us.

As a friend and colleague he was delightful, warm-hearted, responsive, loyal, and generous. He was one of those rare men blessed with the qualities of both heart and intellect which make men both love and admire them. In a long public career he exhibited a character filled with

strong moral stamina which can not be expressed by words | of eulogy. Such a life well spent in the service of his country endears him in our affections. Peace to his memory be.

Mr. SABATH. Mr. Speaker, it was my good fortune to know the late Hon. CHARLES A. KARCH for many years prior to his election to Congress, when he was serving as the floor leader of his party in the Illinois Assembly. Then, as later, his sincerity, sense of fair play, perseverance, and cheerfulness, and his espousel of the cause of the "plain, common man" endeared him to all who knew him.

He was a man of great legal attainments, but a greater public servant; loyal, fearless, and true to the great trust placed in him by the people of his district and State.

During his brief term in Congress he served his district, State, and Nation unselfishly and nobly. Those who had the privilege to work shoulder to shoulder with him shall always cherish the memory of his scholarly attainments and the charm of his simple, unaffected manner.

His knowledge of history and his broad background made him an important member of the Foreign Affairs Committee, on which he served with distinction.

Though the loss to his family is great, the loss to the Nation is irreparable, for in him it has lost not only a valued citizen and an honorable man, but an eminent legislator and a great humanitarian. It is with profound regret and sorrow that I bid my friend Godspeed on the long journey to his Maker.

The following is the address of Hon. HENRY T. RAINEY, at the funeral of Hon. CHARLES A. KARCH, deceased, Evangelical Church, East St. Louis, Ill., November 9, 1932:

A farm boy, a printer, a school-teacher, secretary to a Member of Congress, a practicing attorney, floor leader of his party in the Illinois House of Representatives, United States district attorney for the eastern district of Illinois, attorney for railroad shopmen, chief counsel for the United Mine Workers of America, a Member of Congress; this is a brief outline of the busy, useful life of Hon. Charles A. Karch, whose body lies here to-day.

A short time ago his colleagues in the House of Representatives bade him adieu and good luck, and we all expected to-day to be sending him letters congratulating him upon his reelection to Congress yesterday, but we are now here standing at his bier.

Throughout his useful life of service he sympathized always with the toilers and those in the humbler positions of life. Absolute fairness characterized always his treatment in his legislative career of all ranks of life.

The progress of nations depends upon the men who walk in

The progress of nations depends upon the men who walk in cool furrows amid the rustling corn, upon the man whose face is glowing with the blazing fires of great furnaces, upon the men who delve in mines, upon the writers and brave thinkers, upon the man who fills the wintry air with the musical ringing of his ax. I have enumerated the classes with whom he sympathized and worked.

and worked.

There is no death. The stars go down, but they rise on another shore. The leaves may wither and fall and the flowers fade, but they only await the warm breath of spring. In his garden of sleep he merely waits for the living.

He could always win or lose a race with perfect self-control. He was always a man in a world of men.

I like to think of life always as a journey over a broad highway. We start out when the road is wet with last night's rain, accompanied by the young, always walking and struggling and fighting for the crest which is above us, but when we reach it there comes the call of another crest, and when we reach that there is still the call of another and a higher crest. The road is narrowing now. The companions of our journey are fewer than they were when we started, but the race goes always to those who have the faculty of remaining young.

they were when we started, but the race goes always to those who have the faculty of remaining young.

As we journey along there are cool places where the tall ferns grow, places of rest, and Charle Karch knew when to rest and how to rest. And then there is always a song somewhere if you can hear it. There is the song of the lark when the skies are clear, and he always heard it. There is the song of the thrush when the skies are gray, and he always listened for it and he always heard it. His was a bright, cheerful disposition always.

Along the road there are meadows where dreams come true. He found them often. My colleagues from the Senate and the House of Representatives who are here have found them, and so have I, and so have all of you. Charlie Karch found them many

have I, and so have all of you. Charlie Karch found them many

And there are fields where the 4-leafed clovers grow. They are the prizes of this life. Charle Karch found them often and my colleagues who are here have found them. I have found them and so have all of you, but you must wait and you must watch and you must work.

Finally there comes the call of the last crest of all; it is the call which comes from beyond the stars. It comes to some early in

It comes to others, as in the case of Charlie Karch, while the sun is still high in the heavens, but it always comes, and we slip our anchors and sail away over unknown seas to "the beautiful Isle of Somewhere" where anchored lie the craft of those of our friends who have gone before.

If all for whom Charlie Karch rendered a kindly act during his journey through this life should to-night drop a flower upon his grave he would sleep beneath a wilderness of roses.

May the winds of winter blow soft where he lies. snows of winter lie light on his grave, and over his last resting place may the birds sing their sweetest songs during the long, sunny, summer days to come.

Good night, old friend, good night.

JAMES C. M'LAUGHLIN

Mr. MAPES. Mr. Speaker, the biographical sketch of our late colleague, James C. McLaughlin, as it appears in the Biographical Directory of the American Congress, is as follows:

James Campbell McLaughlin, a Representative from Michigan; born in Beardstown, Cass County, Ill., January 26, 1858; moved to Muskegon, Mich., in 1864; attended the public schools of Muskegon and the academic department of the University of Michigan at Ann Arbor; was graduated from the law department of this institution in 1883; was admitted to the bar, and commenced practice at Muskegon, Mich., in 1883; prosecuting attorney of Muskegon County 1887-1901; in 1901 appointed by the Governor of Michigan as a member of the board of State tax commissioners and State board of assessors, in the latter capacity taking part in the first assessment of railroad property of the State for taxation, and served until 1906; elected as a Republican to the Sixtieth and to the nine succeeding Congresses (March 4, 1907-March 3, 1927). Reelected to the Seventieth Congress. [Since 1907-March 3, 1927). Reelected to the Seventieth Congress. [Since the publication of this directory he was reelected to the Seventyand Seventy-second Congresses.]

He died, suddenly, in his room in a hotel in Marion, Va., on the morning of November 29, 1932, while he was on his way from his home in Muskegon, Mich., to Washington to attend the opening session of Congress in December. Had he lived until the expiration of his term March 3, 1933, he would have served continuously in this body for 13 terms, or a period of 26 years, a service longer than any other one has ever had in the House of Representatives from the State of Michigan since it was admitted to the Union and surpassed or equalled by comparatively few from any State in the history of the Government. He was a Republican, but during the major part of this time he was elected by his district without opposition, either in his own or the Democratic Party. At the time of his death he was, and for a number of years had been, dean of the Michigan delegation in the House.

I first became acquainted with Mr. McLaughlin about 30 years ago, when he was a member of the State board of assessors and engaged in the work of assessing the railroad property of the State. He won my admiration for the courage, fidelity to duty, and ability which he displayed in that work under trying circumstances. He had a high sense of duty and the courage to act as his sense of duty and his judgment dictated. My respect and admiration for him increased with the years as our association and acquaintance became more intimate.

For many years he was a prominent member of the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives and came to be recognized as an authority on agricultural questions. Upon the retirement from Congress of Joseph W. Fordney, Mr. McLaughlin succeeded him as the Michigan member of the great Committee on Ways and Means of the House.

Mr. McLaughlin was a man of the highest character and integrity, a careful student of legislation, and an influential Member of the House. He commanded the respect and admiration and had the confidence of his colleagues as well as of everyone with whom he came in contact. He was faithful and conscientious in the discharge of every duty and devoted himself to the best interests of his district and of his country. Independent and able, the controlling motive of his public service was to do what was right and for the public good. After he had satisfied himself on these points, nothing could swerve him from his purpose to act accordingly. He exemplified the highest type of public servant.

Mr. McLEOD. Mr. Speaker, I wish to join in paying tribute to the memory of my late colleague and fellow Member from Michigan, the Hon. James C. McLaughlin. Throughout his long and distinguished career of public service, he gave himself unstintingly in the performance of his many and arduous duties and his sterling character and outstanding ability won for him the esteem and respect of all with whom he came in contact.

Mr. McLaughlin was born in Beardstown, Ill., January 26, 1858, moving to Muskegon, Mich., six years later, where he received his education in the public schools, later attending the University of Michigan at Ann Arbor, where he studied law. After devoting several years to private practice as an attorney, Mr. McLaughlin launched into his public career as prosecuting attorney for Muskegon County in 1887. He served in various other public positions until his election to the Sixtieth Congress, serving continuously until his demise during the last session of the Seventy-second Congress.

It has been my privilege to associate and work with him during nearly half of the quarter century he spent within the Halls of Congress. His sudden death came as a great shock to his own people and to his host of friends throughout the State and the Nation. His life was marked by his worthy achievements and his name will long be remembered as that of one who wrought much and well for the district in which he lived and the State and Nation to whom he devoted his life.

Mr. HOOPER. Mr. Speaker, the death of Hon. James C. McLaughlin, for 26 years Representative from the ninth district of Michigan, takes from public life and service one of the best men Michigan has sent to this House. His long span of service, in which he served under Presidents Taft, Wilson, Harding, Coolidge, and Hoover, is a record of faithful service to country and constituents. Of sturdy Scotch descent, he combined with his ancestral virtues an unbounded admiration for and belief in American institutions.

Mr. McLaughlin had served his locality and State in various capacities before coming to Congress. He was recognized as a lawyer of exceptional ability in Michigan. When he came to Congress, however, he abandoned all clients but his constituency and gave unsparingly of his time and ability to the service of the country. His unfailing kindness to younger Members is one of the outstanding traits which will be long remembered by many of the present Members of the House.

During the whole course of his life I doubt whether the integrity of any act or thought of Mr. McLaughlin's was seriously questioned. Rugged honesty was of the very fiber of his being. He had the confidence and respect of Democrat and Republican alike, and he returned this feeling in full.

He was a stern and unbending Republican of the old school. He believed in party government and party discipline. His long service on the Ways and Means Committee was of exceptional value to the country.

His manly, kindly face will be missed in the House, and the cloakrooms and corridors will miss the brightness of his magnetic smile. But though he be dead, the sunny influence which his presence lent will be always with us, while the kindness of his generous heart and gallant nature can never be forgotten. Hundreds of loving friends who in the sunlight of his genial presence had learned to admire and love him—to love him for his manly characteristics, his nobleness of nature, his purity of heart, his deep affection—are overcome with that grief which silence, not language, can alone express.

Mr. WOODRUFF. Mr. Speaker, in the death of the Hon. James C. McLaughlin this House lost one of its most respected and best beloved Members. For nearly 26 years he had represented his district in this body. There are but four of our Members whose service here exceeded his. This long, uninterrupted service was a testimonial of the affection

for and confidence in him of the people whom he represented. That this feeling was amply justified is known to every Member to whom had been given the privilege of knowing and working with him.

For years Mr. McLaughlin had been the dean of the Michigan delegation in the House. We younger Members are under lasting obligations to our deceased colleague and friend for the always courteous, kindly advice and counsel given readily and freely. Out of his years of service here there grew a clear judgment of public questions and of men, rare even among those of long service in the Congress. It was this that contributed so largely to the value of his advice.

He was in every sense a national legislator. With him the welfare of the Nation as a whole outweighed the temporary welfare of any section or class. He was deliberate in reaching decisions, which once reached were immutable. He looked askance at anything even approaching a new order of things and was never so happy as when precedent could point the way.

He was a serious-minded, courteous gentleman of the old school. His standard of ethics was of the highest. His integrity and ability were unquestioned. In his death this House lost a valuable Member, and the State of Michigan lost a splendid citizen.

We are to-day writing the last chapter in the life of our distinguished colleague, Mr. McLaughlin. The record we are making will soon be filed in the archives of the Nation as a public document to be reviewed by those who come after you and I are gone. Those who read the beautiful tributes that have been paid to his memory should be inspired by the thought that here was a man who always faithfully kept every trust imposed in him; one who always conducted himself in such a way as to merit the confidence and respect of all who knew him; and one who always followed the Golden Rule: "Do unto others as you would have them do unto you."

DANIEL E. GARRETT

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the passing of Daniel E. Garrett brought sorrow to the entire membership of the House. For 16 years he served with honor and distinction as a member of this body.

Endowed by nature with a handsome and prepossessing personal appearance, a keen intellect, and a great heart, he built a character and a personality that left its imprint upon all who knew him.

The old-fashioned virtues of honesty and integrity were a part of the warp and woof of his very life. The principles of the Christian religion he loved and lived. He abhorred hypocrisy and deceit; and loyalty to his friends was one of his cardinal virtues. He loved humanity, and was never happier than when fighting for the cause of the poor and oppressed. The record of his votes cast upon measures in the House during his long service is abundant proof of this statement.

He was earnest, eloquent, and convincing in debate. Possessing a good stock of sound common sense, a fine judge of human nature, he could weigh measures and appraise men at their real worth.

Having a finely developed sense of humor, and being a charming conversationalist and a story-teller unexcelled, together with his spirit of optimism and hope, he dispelled gloom and brought joy into the hearts of the downcast and oppressed.

The world is brighter and better and happier because he lived. God bless and revere his memory.

Mr. THOMASON. Mr. Speaker, the death of Daniel E. Garrett removed from this House a man who served his people, his State, and his Nation well and faithfully for many

Mr. Garrett was first sent to this House in 1912 as a Representative at large from Texas. He was returned here in 1916, and he represented the eighth Texas district from that time until his death.

He was closely associated with the late President Woodrow Wilson, and that great leader leaned heavily upon his counsel and assistance during the trying years of the war period. He was a member of the Committee on Military Affairs in the war Congress and served as chairman of the subcommittee which handled recommendations for appropriations for Army supplies. On the recommendations of that committee billions of dollars were appropriated for use during the war.

To that arduous work, as to all other tasks which were assigned him during his long and honorable service here, Mr. GARRETT applied his keen intellect, his devotion to duty, and his abiding patriotism.

The record of his votes here discloses his friendship for the veteran, the laborer, and the farmer. His entire life was spent in championing the cause of the oppressed, and he was a firm believer in the high principles upon which his political party was founded. In his congressional service, however, Mr. GARRETT did not attempt to single out one class of constituents for special consideration. His ideal was to render service to all, without discrimination, and his friends and colleagues all know how well he realized that ideal.

His death occasioned great sorrow not only in this House but throughout the State of Texas. The citizens of that State, and particularly of his district, have lost an able and conscientious public servant; they will forever be grateful for his achievements and honor his memory.

Mr. SANDERS. Mr. Speaker, after the passing of our beloved colleague and friend, the Hon. DANIEL E. GARRETT, the Houston, Tex., bar, of which he was a member, passed the following resolutions:

Whereas an all-wise Providence has removed from our midst our beloved friend and fellow citizen, Daniel E. Garrett, lawyer, statesman, and United States Congressman, representing our

eighth congressional district: Therefore be it

Resolved, That in the death of Congressman Garrett, Texas lost one of its most loyal and capable public servants and Houston an outstanding, patriotic, and faithful citizen, ever diligent to promote the best interests of his adopted city and to champion the cause of good government.

PUBLIC SERVICE

That by his long life of public service, devotion to duty, and loyalty to his constituents, he earned for himself the well-merited respect, friendship, and affectionate esteem, not only of his intimate associates but of a host of admiring friends, whom he numbered by the thousands. In representing the people of his district, he served them all impartially and faithfully, regardless of their religion, political faith, or political friendship. He took the keenest interest in all legislation for the benefit of ex-service men, particularly the disabled, and for the men and women who toil. Up to the very last he aggressively fought the battles of the men who had been disabled in the service of their country, and his legislative record in behalf of laboring men and women is without a blemish.

That as a lawyer he was respected and revered by his fellow lawyers for his fidelity to his clients, his courtesy to bench and bar, and his zeal as an officer of the court in advocating substantial justice based upon common sense and right.

DEVOTED TO FAMILY

That in his home life he was a devoted husband and loving father. Among his family and friends one instantly realized the humanness and gentleness of the man and felt the charming hospitality and culture of the old South. Those very close to him never heard him make an unclean utterance in the presence of his household nor tell the most slightly suggestive story in the presence of a lady. He was a sincerely religious man, and in his last illness he expressed profound faith in immortality.

That as a man and citizen he was noble in life, always actuated That as a man and citizen he was noble in life, always actuated by the highest and most honorable motives, true to his convictions and every trust imposed upon him, uncompromising in what he believed to be right, a staunch advocate and champion of democracy, a Christian gentleman of the highest type, whose heart went out to the masses and who, despite his high position, never lost the common touch nor let the cry of distress or need go unheeded. While he understood the frailties and weaknesses of his fellows, he did not criticize nor condemn; tolerant in his views, he understood and encouraged.

SERVICE WILL BE MISSED

That in his going a great heart and a noble soul has crossed the river. The people of his district will miss his loyal, able, and unselfish service, his colleagues will miss his mature and reliable counsel and advice, and those within the charmed circle of his personal friendship will miss the warm and wholesome influence of his gentle nature, and through the years will never forget that human and characteristic chuckle as he told or listened to a good story. story.

The words of the immortal poet so aptly apply to him:

"His life was gentle; and the elements
So mixed in him that Nature might stand up And say to all the world, 'This was a man!

Mr. Garrett passed away here in this city December 13, 1931, and when it was learned of his passing the entire membership of the House was deeply affected. He was loved not only by his home people, as disclosed by resolutions of the Houston bar, but by all of his colleagues here. He was an able statesman and a faithful servant of the people. He was conscientious and kind-hearted, and his good deeds will live on and on.

He was elected to the House of Representatives of Tennessee in 1892 and reelected in 1894. In 1902 he was elected to the State Senate of Tennessee and reelected in 1904.

Mr. Garrett moved to Houston in 1905 and in 1912 he was elected to the Sixty-third Congress from the State at large. He was elected to the Sixty-fifth Congress from the State at large in 1916.

He served in the National House from the eighth congressional district of Texas in the Sixty-seventh, Sixty-eighth, Sixty-ninth, Seventieth, Seventy-first, and Seventy-second Congresses. At the last general election he was elected as Congressman from the same district to the Seventy-third Congress, which would open its sessions next spring.

During the Sixty-third and Sixty-fifth Congresses he was actively associated with President Woodrow Wilson. During this second term of his office-the famous war Congress-he was a member of the Military Affairs Committee, and served as chairman of the subcommittee to recommend appropriations for supplies for the Army.

It was on the recommendation of this committee that billions of dollars were appropriated for the World War.

"I shall always be glad that I was permitted to play my humble part of helpfulness in those days and times," the way he modestly referred to his services during the Sixty-third and Sixty-fifth Congresses.

During the latter session Congressman GARRETT had gotten to be one of President Wilson's right-hand men. He was a profound admirer of the war President.

Under the matchless leadership of President Wilson-

He said in an address in July, 1932-

the Sixty-third and Sixty-fifth Congresses enacted a forward-looking, progressive, and constructive legislative program in the interest of all the people that stands without a parallel in the history of the Republic.

Daniel Garrett has represented Port Houston before the War Department and before Congress for 12 years, and a large share of the credit for securing Federal aid in the development of the Houston Ship Channel belongs to him.

FRIEND OF LABOR

He was always an active friend of labor, the veteran, and the farmer, having lent active support to all farm-relief measures and handled compensation claims for more than 2,000 ex-service men before Federal bureaus.

It was largely through his influence that Camp Logan and Ellington Field were both located in Harris County. He was famed among Texans in Washington for his ability to get through appropriations for his congressional district.

Congressman Garrett also piloted through a Republican Congress the bill appropriating \$50,000 for the riot sufferers-widows and orphans of men shot down by negro soldiers during the 1917 mutiny-and he was very active in having the War Department move the negro soldiers from Camp Logan.

During his last campaign Congressman GARRETT's prohibition views were made an issue by his opponents.

PROHIBITION STAND

Prohibition is a great moral and economic question that belongs to the people themselves—

he said during the campaign.

It should never become a political question to be used as a yardstick to measure men for public service.

He called attention to the local vote on prohibition resubmission and declared that he would abide by the decision of the voters of his district.

"My stand on the prohibition question is well known," he declared. "For more than 25 years I have earnestly and consistently fought for the prohibition of the manufacture and sale of alcoholic liquors and the abolition of the saloon."

He pledged that he would be governed by the voters' choice, which he termed "yielding to the people's mandate without surrendering the convictions of a lifetime." The democratic principle of government is more important "than my beliefs," he declared.

HELD IMPORTANT POST

Speaking of his tenure of the office as a Representative of the eighth district, he said:

It has been my one purpose to serve all the people in every walk of life, without regard to class or creed, devoting myself wholeheartedly to the service of all.

Mr. Garrett pioneered for woman suffrage, he helped create the Federal reserve system, strengthen the antitrust laws, give new guarantees to labor, levy taxes where there was the most ability to pay, foster the welfare of women and children by Federal effort.

At the time of his death he was a member of the powerful Rules Committee of the House, one of the most important assignments which can come to any Congressman.

As we see our colleagues constantly passing we are reminded of that great poem by that great Mason, Albert Pike:

Life is a count of losses,
Every year;
For the weak are heavier crosses,
Every year;
Lost springs with sobs replying
Unto weary autumn's sighing,
While those we love are dying,
Every year.

It is growing darker, colder,
Every year;
As the heart and soul grow older,
Every year;
I care not now for dancing,
Or for eyes with passion glancing,
Love is less and less entrancing
Every year.

The days have less of gladness, Every year;
The nights more weight of sadness, Every year;
Fair springs no longer charm us, The winds and weather harm us, The threats of death alarm us, Every year.

There comes new cares and sorrows,
Every year;
Dark days and darker morrows,
Every year;
The ghosts of dead loves haunt us,
The ghosts of changed friends taunt us,
And disappointments daunt us,
Every year.

Of the loves and sorrows blended, Every year; Of the charms of friendships ended, Every year; Of the ties that still might bind me Until time to death resigns me, My infirmities remind me, Every year.

Ah, how sad to look before us
Every year;
While the cloud grows darker over us,
Every year;
When we see the blossoms faded
That to bloom we might have aided
And immortal garlands braided,
Every year.

To the past go more dead faces,
Every year;
As the loved leave vacant places,
Every year;
Everywhere the sad eyes meet us,
In the evening's dusk they greet us,
And to come to them entreat us,
Every year.

"You are growing old," they tell us,
Every year;
"You are more alone," they tell us,
Every year;
"You can win no new affection,
You have only recollection,
Deeper sorrow and dejection,"
Every year.

Too true life's shores are shifting,
Every year;
And we are seaward drifting,
Every year;
Old places, changing, fret us,
The living more forget us,
There are fewer to regret us,
Every year.

But the truer life draws nigher,
Every year;
And its morning star climbs higher,
Every year;
Earth's hold on us grows slighter,
And the heavy burden lighter,
And the dawn immortal brighter,
Every year.

ROBERT REYBURN BUTLER

Mr. PITTENGER. Mr. Speaker, I count it a privilege to join with the many friends of Robert Reyburn Butler in a brief tribute to his memory. I met him when I entered Congress in 1929. I saw him frequently in his committee work, and in this Congress served with him on one of the House committees. We became friends. "Judge" Butler, as we called him, was a fine type of citizen. He was fearless and able, and in his death the House of Representatives lost one of its outstanding Members.

His was a record of service. He loved his fellow man. He took his responsibility as a Member of this House seriously, and the welfare of his fellows, the average man, was the test he applied to legislative proposals. Quick to champion their cause, he was equally fearless in opposition to legislation of an unwise or improper kind.

The first session of the Seventy-second Congress faced many trying situations. Its membership, for the most part, regardless of party, met the difficulties with courage and devotion to the public welfare. Congressman Butler was one who reasoned clearly and acted patriotically. When the motives of Congress were being questioned, and the enemies of the Republic were directing a flood of unfounded hatred and abuse upon our membership, Congressman Butler came to the defense of his colleagues. He inserted in the Congressional Record for May 10, 1932, copy of a letter he had written on this subject. It might well have been a speech, for Judge Butler gave a frank and powerful message on the problems with which Congress was concerned. It was brief. It was unequivocal. It was sound.

Said he, in part:

I have your letter of April 27, and, while contrary to popular belief, we are extremely busy and crowded for time, yet I feel that you are entitled to a more extended communication than a mere acknowledgment, because I think you are laboring under misinformation which has been industriously disseminated by interests apparently seeking to discredit the Congress and divert the attention of the rank and file of the American people away from some of the real causes of national distress.

While the Congress of the United States is doing its best to protect the business of the country and maintain the confidence of the people in our business institutions, these people are constantly inveighing against the Congress and against the Government, and if capitalism in this country is abolished and the Nation takes up the bloody road to Moscow it will not be through organized "reds" and communists but it will be due in a large measure to those with swollen fortunes who have joined hands with the "reds" in an effort to destroy the confidence of our people in their Government.

Such was our friend and neighbor, Congressman Butler. He was a man of few words. He never dodged the opposition, but welcomed the combat. He was a worthy champion and a worthy foe.

Others who knew him more intimately will discuss his long record of public service in the State of Oregon. As one of

his colleagues who knew him and appreciated his good qualities I offer this sentiment:

> Green be the turf above thee, Friend of my better days. None knew thee but to love thee, Nor named thee but to praise.

When hearts whose truth was proven, Like thine, are laid in earth, There should a wreath be woven To tell the world their worth.

We miss our friend. The Grim Reaper has taken his toll. We will hear his kindly voice no more. His friendly face is but a memory. But his soul still lives, and in that unseen world death is not the victor.

What is this Death, ye deep read sophists, say?—Death is no more than one unceasing change;
New forms arise, while other forms decay,
Yet all is Life throughout creation's range.

The towering Alps, the haughty Appennine, The Andes, wrapt in everlasting snow, The Appalachian and the Ararat Sooner or later must to ruin go.

Hills sink to plains, and man returns to dust,
That dust supports a reptile or a flower;
Each changeful atom by some other nurs'd
Takes some new form to perish in an hour.

Too nearly join'd to sickness, toils, and pains, (Perhaps for former crimes, imprison'd here)
True to itself the immortal soul remains,
And seeks new mansions in the starry sphere.

When Nature bids thee from the world retire, With joy thy lodging leave, a fated guest; In Paradise, the land of thy desire, Existing always, always to be blest.

Mr. COLTON. Mr. Speaker, one of the saddest things in official life is to see a colleague stricken by death while in the prime of life. Such was the untimely death of Congressman ROBERT R. BUTLER.

Judge Butler came to Congress well prepared to serve his district, State, and Nation. His previous experience in the State senate, his service on the bench in Oregon, and his fine legal training gave him at once a standing among the Members of the House enjoyed by only a few. He had a brilliant and keen legal mind, and as soon as he was appointed on the Public Lands Committee, of which I was chairman, I recognized that he was a real asset to the work of that great committee.

Judge BUTLER was splendidly informed upon the problems of the West and experienced in unusual degree to assume the large responsibilities that fall upon a Member of the legislative body which has so much to do with the determining of the policies of our great Nation. He carried on with the intense earnestness that marks the activities of men who are impelled with the desire to render good service.

While Judge Butler was devoted to his country and to the welfare of the entire Nation, his chief concern, naturally, was to represent his beloved State and the people of the great western region. Actuated with this thought, he acquainted himself with the problems of that part of the United States which he represented. He made it his business to advance the welfare of that section before the committees of Congress and upon the floor of the House, and his labors were marked by high efficiency and thorough knowledge of the problems with which he was confronted.

He was a man of direct action, straightforward in his conversation and speech, and sought always to uphold the dignity of the State and Nation he represented.

Death is not to be feared; it is the next step after life and is a part of the great plan and scheme of the Creator of the Universe under which we all have our being. It is not how or when we die that counts, but rather our attitude toward life and how much we accomplish while living. Judged by that standard my friend lived a complete life. Death is the inevitable course of life, but when it comes so early it always seems saddest. It is not the death that causes our sorrow; it is the cutting short of a life of such

potential possibilities. Could the Angel of Death have spared him longer I am sure the people he loved and served so well would have been even more greatly benefited and enriched by the service of his mature years. The death of my friend Judge Butler brought sadness to his colleagues and was a distinct loss to the House of Representatives, of which he was a conspicuous and distinguished Member.

I recall the words of Webster:

If we work upon marble, it will perish; if we work upon brass, time will efface it; if we rear temples, they will crumble to the dust. But if we work on men's immortal minds, if we imbue them with high principles, with the just fear of God and love of their fellow men, we engrave on those tablets something which not time can efface and which will brighten to all eternity.

Viewed in this light, life is a holy and sacred thing; "It is a spiritual drama, not a biological episode." In that drama Judge Butler played his part well.

Pale sorrow leads us closer to our kind, And in the serious hours of life we find Depths in the souls of men which lend new worth And majesty to this brief span of earth.

Mr. SWING. Mr. Speaker, our friend and colleague, Robert Reyburn Butler, has passed from this life. Weary with honest toil, he laid himself down to rest and soon entered the sleep that knows no waking.

Those who were privileged to know Judge Butler loved him. A man of few words, and those soft-spoken except when aroused, he did not attempt to force himself upon others as is the custom of some in public life. He was no back-slapper, but he would go halfway to meet the friendly advances of any man. For those who held his confidence there burned a true and steady flame of affection and a warmth of regard that made him a real friend in every sense of the word.

I served with him on the Committee on Irrigation and Reclamation, and our acquaintance there begun grew to something more in the years that followed. On that same committee I had also served with his distinguished predecessor, the late Nicholas J. Sinnott. There was much that was akin in spirit and character between these two men, as if the great Northwest from whence they came had put its imprint indelibly upon them. Coming from the land of high mountains and mighty rivers, there certainly was nothing small or mean in the lives of these men. Lofty in purpose as they were tall in statue, they looked out over life oblivious of its pettiness.

Judge Butler loved humanity. He understood his people. He thought of his constituents as his friends and neighbors. His sympathy went out to them through all this period of trial and tribulation. He fought for them earnestly and courageously, although unavailingly, because the economic and financial ills of the country had their root far deeper than political remedies could reach. He forgave his people that they could not understand this. He forgave them when they blamed Congress for distressing conditions created not by Congress but by racketeers in industry and finance. He forgave them when in their despair and suffering they sought a new leadership. In his defeat he felt no tinge of bitterness. Philosophically he remarked to me that public office belonged to the people—it was theirs to give and it was theirs to take away.

And now his spirit is free to return to the land he loved the land of which he was so much a part—the West, of which the poet sang:

Out where the handclasp's a little stronger,
Out where the smile dwells a little longer,
That's where the West begins;
Out where the sun is a little brighter,
Where the snows that fall are a trifle whiter,
Where the bonds of home are a wee bit tighter,
That's where the West begins.

Out where the skies are a trifle bluer,
Out where friendship's a little truer,
That's where the West begins;
Out where a fresher breeze is blowing,
Where there's laughter in every streamlet flowing,
Where there's more of reaping and less of sowing,
That's where the West begins.

Out where the world is in the making,
Where fewer hearts in despair are aching,
That's where the West begins;
Where there's more of singing and less of sighing,
Where there's more of giving and less of buying,
And a man makes friends without half trying—
That's where the West begins.

Mr. MARTIN of Oregon. Mr. Speaker, my colleagues, under the privilege of extending my remarks I want to take this opportunity to pay tribute to my late colleague from Oregon, the Hon. ROBERT R. BUTLER.

ROBERT REYBURN BUTLER, Representative of the second congressional district of Oregon, who died January 7, 1933, in Washington, D. C., played a prominent rôle in the life of his adopted State for a quarter of a century. Orator, scholar, a judicial mind, and deep sympathy with the views and problems of the common man, Congressman Butler possessed many fine qualities.

Of the 51 years of his span of life 26 were spent as an adopted son of Oregon.

It was in the little hamlet of Butler, Tenn., named for his forefathers, on September 24, 1881, that Judge Butler first saw the light of day. His early education was received in the hill schools of his native State, and after being admitted to the bar at the age of 22 years he practiced his profession in Tennessee for three years. Then he moved to Oregon where he became one of the best known men of the judiciary.

In the little town of Condon he established himself in 1906. Scarcely had the young Tennesseean hung out his shingle than the tall, soft-spoken young lawyer, with the politeness of the southern gentleman, began to attract attention due to his brilliancy of mind and his natural oratory.

During the political campaign of 1908 the future Congressman stumped the State and became a Republican presidential elector, and when in the following year he was appointed to the circuit bench by the then governor, the Hon. George Earle Chamberlain,, who later distinguished himself in the United States Senate, our late colleague became one of the youngest circuit judges in the history of Oregon.

After three years of distinguished service on the bench he resigned and went to The Dalles, Oreg., to live. But the desire to serve again overcame him, and in 1911 he was elected State senator from Wasco and Hood River Counties, serving four years in the Oregon Legislature.

His activities continued, and again he was named as a presidential elector in 1916, touring the State in the interest of the Hon. Charles Evans Hughes.

After that campaign, until 1925 when he was elected once more to the State legislature, his private practice demanded his full attention. A movement was started to have Judge Butler become a candidate for the Republican nomination for United States Senator in 1926, but at the same time friends of the Hon. Frederick Steiner, who also came from the eastern Oregon district, started a boom. Realizing that the party would suffer if two candidates from the same section were in the field, Judge Butler eliminated himself by withdrawing.

With the resignation of the beloved Nick Sinnott as Representative of the second congressional district to accept appointment on the Court of Claims, Judge Butler was the choice of his party for the unexpired term of Judge Sinnott. He defeated the Democratic opponent and in 1930 was elected to Congress to succeed himself.

In Congress the duties of Judge BUTLER were many, augmented by the fact that his district is one of the largest in the United States and required constant attention.

Reclamation gave him much concern for the great Owyhee project is in the second congressional district of Oregon. He spent much time over the problems of the national forests, grazing lands, highways in public lands, and Indian affairs, as well as agriculture, for each was of particular interest to his constituents.

The development of the Columbia River was one of his hopes and desires and he was untiring in his efforts to bring

attention to the possibilities of that famous river for irrigation, navigation, and power. He sponsored several bills having these objects.

He advocated open-river navigation for the Columbia, pending the wait for Federal construction of dams to create still water and power.

Always the polished gentleman, our late colleague was one of the most scholarly men Oregon ever sent to the Congress. Retiring and of a sensitive nature, he was said to prefer sitting with a friend or two discussing literature, the law, or public questions to mixing miscellaneously with strangers. The keynotes of his library were the Bible, Shakespeare, and Byron, whether he was in the Nation's Capital or in The Dalles.

His memory was uncanny for instantly he could quote from the Bible, an act from Shakespeare, an essential part of a Government report, or a poem of many stanzas. In this respect he was likened to his old friend, Nicholas J. Sinnott, his predecessor in the Congress.

It was said of him he had few equals in Oregon as an orator, and each address was carefully thought out and prepared. Politically he always was a Republican with progressive leanings, and one of his most noted political characteristics was that he could not be stampeded.

Judge Butler is survived by his 74-year-old mother, who still resides in Butler, Tenn., and a daughter, Elizabeth Anabelle Butler, who is attending the University of Oregon.

SAMUEL A. KENDALL

Mr. DARROW. Mr. Speaker, no words of mine can adequately and fully describe the high esteem in which our departed colleague, Samuel A. Kendall, was held by all who knew him. It is said the richest possession a person may acquire is the confidence, respect, and esteem of our friends and acquaintances. He had all of these, and his memory is enshrined in the hearts of the people of his district, by his former colleagues in this House, and his host of friends in Washington and throughout the country.

We mourn the loss of a man of fine character, of a friendly, jovial nature, a man of broad human sympathy, whose heart was full of love and charity for his fellow men.

Sam Kendall was an ardent champion of whatever cause he espoused. He was straightforward, courageous, and honest in his views. While not an eloquent orator, his direct and forceful presentation of any subject carried conviction and always commanded the respect and attention of the House.

In the 14 years of his service in Washington Mr. Kendall rendered conspicuous and noteworthy service, not alone to the interests of his constituency, to whom he was always faithful and loyal, but in a much wider field of endeavor. Due to his membership on the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads for many years, he was especially interested in postal legislation and the welfare of postal employees and was the author and sponsor of legislation in their interest.

SAM KENDALL loved his native State. He succeeded me as president of the Pennsylvania Society of Washington, D. C., in which capacity he served with distinction during the year 1932.

Our association during the 14 years we served together in Congress was most pleasant, and I deeply mourn the loss of a good friend with whom I was happy to serve.

Mr. BOLAND. Mr. Speaker, although I have been a Member of this House for only two years, in that time I have learned to know and respect a great number of my colleagues from all parts of the United States. Sad to say, a great many of them have passed on since I first made their acquaintance.

One of these, and one from my own State of Pennsylvania, though from an opposite corner of that State and registered under a different party, was becoming a real close friend, when he, too, was but recently called away.

This man, Samuel Austin Kendall, whom I am honored to have called my good friend, was born on a farm in Somerset County, Pa., and although when reaching maturity he left the State for college and spent a number of years teaching in the West, he returned to his native State in 1890 to engage in lumbering and mining, and was elected to the State legislature to represent his home county of Somerset. He was elected to this House for the Sixty-sixth Congress, where he served until his recent sad and untimely passing on, so soon after that of his loving and faithful wife.

The name of Sam Kendall was legion throughout the State of Pennsylvania for the wonderful aid and encouragement he gave to the laboring man, the miner, the farmer, and the everyday worker; especially was he a staunch champion of the postal workers of the State and the Nation.

It is one of the sad experiences of public life that one's close intercourse with a colleague is cut so short just as one is becoming to know him and to know the true man back of the casual meeting with him as a legislator. Such was my case with Sam Kendall. This was brought more keenly to my attention on my visit to Mr. Kendall's home as one of the funeral committee of the House. There I had the opportunity of seeing just how much my good friend Sam Kendall was loved and missed in his own home, and we all know how he has and will be missed here.

The House and the country can ill spare such a conscientious, earnest public servant. We are all saddened at his sudden departure.

Mr. HAINES. Mr. Speaker, it was my pleasure to have served in the Seventy-second Congress in the House of Representatives with my very dear friend and colleague the Hon. Samuel A. Kendall.

I never knew Mr. Kendall until I met him one day, in the summer of 1931, when I made a day's visit to the office I had assigned to me in the House Office Building. He came to the office, having heard that I was down for the day, and offered to be of service to me in the event that I might need some help from one experienced.

I was so impressed with his sincerity and kindness that from that day on a friendship sprang up between us that lasted until the day of his death. I was fortunate in being assigned to the Post Office and Post Roads Committee, of which he was an honored member, and it was a pleasure to be associated with him in the work of that committee. While we differed politically, no differences ever seemed to change our respect for one another and in his passing I felt a great loss. He was deeply concerned about his country and always manifested a spirit that should characterize a truly great citizen. While our associations were for but a short period of time, I learned to love and respect him. At no time did he ever say an unkind word concerning anyone, and his love for his colleagues seemed to be limited only to the number with whom he served on both sides of the aisle. In his departure, Pennsylvania lost a fine legislator, of unusual ability, and the Nation lost a valuable servant. Death left unfinished the contribution he might have made in the last days of the session of Congress, but I feel sure that the materials he sent in advance of his going to that land beyond, will erect for him a glorious mansion. I hope we shall meet again in that spirit world.

Mr. WATSON. Mr. Speaker, the death of Samuel Austin Kendall is the loss of an honest type of public servant. His record in Congress placed him among the able Representatives of his time. His early training as teacher in public schools and as professor in the higher branches of scholastic studies qualified him to be an able legislator. His successful business career in industries and railroads, also as a member of the State legislature, gave him a wide scurce of information and ability which enabled him conscientiously to represent his constituency in Congress. He was a student of economic questions, familiar with polite literature of the ages, and enjoyed an acute knowledge of the affairs of his State. Simplicity always prevails in a

noble nature, and this trait of character formed his policies. He had high regard for public virtue. His record in Congress tended to weld the principles of our Government, thereby leaving a public heritage to the American people.

Mr. MEAD. Mr. Speaker, I wish to speak briefly of the life and public service of my friend and colleague, the Hon. Samuel A. Kendall, of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Kendall and I were elected to the House of Representatives at the same time, he from Pennsylvania, and I from New York. In the following Congress I joined him on the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads; and from that time on, until his death 12 years later, we served together on that committee, divided politically but working unitedly in the interests of the Postal Service.

He started out as a school-teacher and rose to the post of superintendent and director of schools. He progressed to the lumber and mining trades; then he broadened his activities by engaging in the banking and railroad fields. He became a member of the City Council of Meyersdale, Pa., and then of the Pennsylvania State Legislature. This wide experience and training were of direct benefit in fitting him for the work of the Post Office Committee, where he was, particularly in his later years, associated with the committee's biggest and most important undertakings.

Mr. Kendall soon became "Sam" to all who knew him. Those who served with him can bear witness to his high qualities of mind and heart and to his charming personality, which drew around him at all times a band of faithful and admiring friends and which endeared him to all his associates. Possessed of great mental vigor and physical strength, he used to the best advantage in his service in the State assembly and in the House of Representatives his intellectual powers in the highest interests of the State and of the Nation.

We can not lose sight of such a man, the work he performed, or the influence he exerted upon the interests and activities of his Commonwealth. He had a wide knowledge of the affairs of state. He was interested in its financial, commercial, and educational welfare, was always active in promoting all measures which had for their object the uplift of the people, and throughout his legislative career was a faithful public servant.

He was an energetic worker, noted for his kindliness and even temper. It was a great pleasure to be permitted to associate with him, and I regret that I shall no longer enjoy his wise counsel, his able assistance, or his encouragement at the committee table. I miss him; every member of the committee misses him; and his vacant chair is a sad reminder of our friend who has made the last pilgrimage to the Great Beyond.

Mr. RICH. Mr. Speaker, we mourn the loss of our colleague, Hon. Samuel A. Kendall, a man who was much interested in the welfare of his country, his State, and his constituents; who was untiring in his efforts, with full devotion to duty; and who always used his best judgment to mark his course in the various subjects which confronted him from day to day.

Sam Kendall was a friendly man, enjoying the sociability of his colleagues. I voice the sentiment of all those who knew him best when I say we will miss him from our midst.

As president of the Pennsylvania Society he was interested greatly in its welfare. He was desirous of making the meetings instructive and entertaining, and his first thought was to accomplish something worth while for the assistance of our great State of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Kendall was a sincere friend, a devoted patriot, a faithful public servant in and out of the Congress, wide sympathies for the struggling masses at home and abroad, he will not soon be forgotten, and somewhere and somehow the influence exerted by him will continue for the good of his friends and for the promotion of the best interests of the people. Busy as he was performing his congressional duties and looking after his business interests when he

could, he never forgot those whom he knew well and associated with before success and prominence came to him.

He has gone to that better land where sorrows and cares are unknown to meet those who have gone before him. Our lives will all be better and greatly enriched because we were privileged to know him and to have associated with him.

Not a charm that we know ere the boundary was crossed,
And we stood in the Valley alone;
Not a trait that we praised in our dear one is lost—
They have fairer and lovelier grown.
As the lilies burst forth when the shadows of night
Into bondage at daybreak are led,
So they bask in the glow by the pillar of light
In the land of the beautiful dead.

-Longfellow.

Mr. STRONG of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I wish to join with my colleagues in paying tribute to the life, work, and character of our late colleague, the Hon. SAMUEL AUSTIN KENDALL.

When Mr. Kendall came to the Sixty-sixth Congress, I met him for the first time. We soon became close friends, and this friendship continued to the day of his death. Three days before he died, Mr. Kendall visited my office and we had quite a long talk. He was in good spirits, and when I expressed my regret that he would not be in the Seventy-third Congress, and that I should miss him, he said he expected to reside in Washington practically all the time and we would continue to meet frequently. He was always cheerful and optimistic; always had a pleasant greeting and a smile for every friend he met.

He was one of those rare individuals with whom friendship and affection were not matters of slow growth, and from our first meeting I felt toward him as though he had been a lifelong friend. He was generous and courteous to those with whom he came in contact, and I think it can be truly said of him that no man ever came to injury through a transaction he had with Samuel A. Kendall.

He was one of those endowed by nature with a warm sympathy for and a broad understanding of humanity, despite its frailties and imperfections. I never heard him say a harsh word to a human being, and nature seemed to have endowed him with a knowledge of the great truth, one of the greatest of all truths, that "to understand all is to forgive all."

Taking him all in all, I never met a man in the course of my long association here with men from every section of our great land who did more to make me feel that there was something about our people, our institutions, our Government, our national spirit that was calculated to make this Nation lasting and secure.

Mr. Kendall possessed an unusually clear mind, and when discussing legislative or business matters he showed a ready grasp and understanding of detail. He was greatly interested in his committee work, and believed it to be the duty of a Member of Congress to familiarize himself with all pending legislation, but particularly to specialize in subjects over which his committee had jurisdiction. His death was a real loss to the country, to his State, and to the district which he so ably represented.

Mr. SWICK. Mr. Speaker, coming as I do from the section of the State from which Mr. Samuel A. Kendall was a Representative in Congress, I feel it is my duty, because of my great admiration of this man, to place in the Record a few words concerning his life.

It was my privilege to attend the funeral of Mr. Kendall at Meyersdale, Pa. It was a cold, wet, dreary day, but in spite of all this the church in which the services were held was crowded to capacity by friends and neighbors who came to pay their last respects to a leading fellow townsman.

After all, it is our friends and neighbors who measure us most accurately—those with whom we live and deal.

Mr. Kendall was loved and respected for his untiring efforts in behalf of the common people. His passing will be mourned by all of us.

As a citizen his time and talents were ever used in the upbuilding of his section and State and the uplift and betterment of society.

Mr. Speaker, a nobler man never lived. Hospitable, gentle, and lovable, a gentleman of honor, in manners and in innate refinement he was everything that a man could be to be respected and loved. He revered the teachings and traditions of our fathers. He measured his actions by the organic law of this land, and the plain people of the Nation lost a consistent and ardent champion when he died. In his family relations he was a most devoted husband and loving father, whose constant delight was to do some act that would bring pleasure to his wife or his children. His death was a distinct loss not only to his State but to the Nation, and while to-day we are bowed in sadness, we can console ourselves with the thought that by his illustrious career and his incomparable honesty, he has left a heritage more enduring and more to be treasured than all the riches of the world.

It is not gold, but only men
Can make a people great and strong,
Men who for truth and honor's sake
Stand fast and suffer long.
Brave men who work while others sleep,
Who dare while others fly,
These build a nation's pillars deep
And lift them to the sky.

Mr. DOUTRICH. Mr. Speaker, I should feel remiss in my obligations were I not to say a few words about my departed colleague, Samuel A. Kendall.

He came to Congress eight years before I entered it. But when I came here, I had the good fortune to be placed upon the same committee on which he so efficiently served, the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads. During our mutual service on this committee I found that he was always desirous of aiding and passing good legislation, and was particularly interested in the welfare of the postal workers.

Mr. Kendall's geniality and kindness endeared him to all of us. His splendid abilities were seasoned by a kindly humor which lent sunshine and warmth to all his words and deeds. His service for others was outstanding, and we who have survived his departure will do well to keep vivid in our minds and hearts the story of his unselfish service.

His physical vision has departed, his face may melt away from view and mind, but his service here will live after him. Let it be said to his credit that no person ever heard him refer to his home people in other than the most affectionate terms. His love for his people and for his State approached a passion. If this trait was equaled by any other, it was his zeal and candor, supported by his persistent determination, to promote the welfare of his State and Nation by protecting, as he believed, every inherent right that belonged to the individual in the face of great opposition sometimes directed at him by those whose schemes he interfered with.

Mr. Speaker, it will always be a matter of pleasure to me that I had the opportunity to become acquainted with such a man, one whose passion was the love of his fellow men, whose eloquence was the inspiration of justice, whose hope was human freedom, and whose logic fixed its steady gaze upon the star of truth; one who did right with compelling insistence and who was not afraid that he might do wrong—a malady none too seldom found among those who are not sure of their approaches, who distrust themselves and stand with reluctant feet, holding action in subjection, on the very threshold of duty; one who, amid all the trials and tribulations, the vexations and vanities of a long life, "had kept the whiteness of his soul."

GODFREY G. GOODWIN

Mr. PITTENGER. Mr. Speaker, when I entered the House of Representatives four years ago and met my colleagues from Minnesota, I was a stranger in the group. As time passed, I learned to know and appreciate them, and there were none in the distinguished list of Congressmen

from Minnesota for whom I held a higher regard than I did for Godfrey G. Goodwin. His recent death has taken from me a personal friend, and the people of the State of Minnesota have lost a legislator of ability and high standing. He was experienced and of sound judgment. I was always glad to consult Godfrey on many questions coming before Congress.

He served his county as prosecuting attorney for many years before entering Congress. As a lawyer, and as a citizen, he stood for the best interests of his community and his country. He traveled Life's highway without hypocrisy or cant. His duties he took seriously, and his obligations he discharged faithfully.

The finest words that can be spoken of him tell of devotion to his family. They were always uppermost in his thoughts, and he gave them a full measure of service.

Our friend has gone to that "undiscovered land from whose bourne no traveler returns." But some place, somewhere, in the hereafter, he lives and moves and has his being. Death is not the end and the grave is not the goal.

Death, be not proud, though some have called thee Mighty and dreadful, for thou art not so:
For those whom thou think'st thou dost overthrow Die not, poor Death; nor yet canst thou kill me.
From rest and sleep, which but thy picture be, Much pleasure; then from thee much more must flow; And soonest our best men with thee do go—Rest of their bones, and souls' delivery!
Thou art slave to fate, chance, kings, and desperate men, And dost with poison, war, and sickness dwell; And poppy or charms can make us sleep as well, And better than thy stroke. Why swell'st thou then? One short sleep past, we wake eternally, And Death shall be no more; Death, thou shalt die.

We bid our colleague farewell, but not forever. On the pages of memory, his good deeds are written. We knew him, and we think of him as a kindly and sincere man, who went about us, doing good. I close with this tribute:

And thou art gone, most loved, most honored friend!
No, never more thy gentle voice shall blend
With air of earth its pure ideal tones,
Binding in one, as with harmonious zones,
The heart and intellect. And I no more
Shall with thee gaze on that unfathomed deep,
The human soul—as when, pushed off the shore,
Thy mystic bark would through the darkness sweep,
Itself the while so bright! For oft we seemed
As on some starless sea—all dark above,
All dark below—yet, onward as we drove,
To plough up light that ever round us streamed.
But he who mourns is not as one bereft
Of all he loved: thy living truths are left.

Mr. KNUTSON. Mr. Speaker, I have just returned from a sad and melancholy task—that of accompanying the earthly remains of our late friend and colleague, Congressman Godfrey G. Goodwin, back to his old home in Minnesota. As I stood beside the grave where the last loving service was held over all that was mortal of our beloved friend, I reflected upon our close friendship of many years.

No one in this House thought more of him. No one liked him better; no one had a greater admiration for his abilities; and no one in Congress or out of Congress had a higher appreciation than I of his good qualities of head and heart. To-day I come to place on record my tribute of affection to his memory. I knew him well as a Member of this House, and in a social way we were intimately acquainted. He was a man of noble character, sincere in purpose, of high ideals, with a genial, sunshiny disposition, and an attractive personality. He was a true friend in the best sense of the word, and those friends he had he held with ties of affection stronger than ropes of steel.

The untimely death of Congressman Goodwin was a great shock to the Members of Congress and to his many friends in Minnesota. We will miss him more and more as the years come and go. He occupied a place in our affections which can not be filled, and we shall not soon look upon his like again. We sit in silent sadness with those who loved him; we grieve with those who were near and dear to him; and to all his relatives we extend our sincere and heartfelt sympathy. His early demise was a national loss, and those here

who knew him best fully realize this, and hence feel most keenly his ultimely death.

He was the soul of honor; he loved the truth; his word was his bond; he spared no effort to serve a friend; he never forgot; he was no ingrate; he was an industrious Representative, a worker for the people, a doer of good in the vineyard of humanity, an energetic, public-spirited citizen, who did things for the advancement of mankind; he was broad-minded and liberal in his views, charitable to a fault, a gentleman of the old school; he was a Republican through and through, who firmly believed in the fundamental principles of our great party.

Such a man was Congressman Goodwin, and such a man is not often born nor soon forgotten. He has departed for that undiscovered country, whither all must shortly journey, never to return, but he will live in his works for his fellow man and in the affectionate memory of those who knew and loved him. A grateful country will not soon forget his patriotic and self-sacrificing services; and his myriad of friends throughout the North Star State, which we so dearly love and cherish, ever remembering his kind words, his good counsel, and his noble deeds, will always keep his memory green.

Green be the turf above thee, Friend of my better days; None knew thee but to love thee, Nor named thee but to praise.

Mr. NOLAN. Mr. Speaker, Godfrey Goddwin was a man of unusually fine character, a useful public servant, a sincere friend, and a devoted father. He was modest, never disposed to force himself or his opinions on others and yet steadfast in adhering to principles in which he believed. He was long a public servant, as prosecuting attorney and Member of Congress, and during all that time he had the admiration and respect of all who knew him, or came in contact with him in the discharge of his public duties. Perhaps Mr. Goddwin's outstanding quality was kindliness. During all the years I have known him, I never knew him to say an unkind word about anyone. He was a lovable character, and in his passing I have lost a loyal friend, and shall greatly miss his council and companionship.

Mr. STEVENSON. Mr. Speaker, one of the most popular maxims in all the books is the injunction to "Speak nothing but good concerning the dead." In case of our deceased friend, Hon. Godfrey G. Goodwin, it is not difficult to comply with that rule.

It is true there is sometimes a tendency to indulge in extravagant laudation of the departed. It is fortunate that when death closes the lips and eyes and stills the heart and arm of a man all criticism, hatred, and revenge are disarmed, and humanity is willing to remember no demerits, but cherish and even magnify the good only. That tendency may be due to the conscious weakness common to our natures. Realizing our own frailty and knowing that sooner or later our own hearts must cease to pulsate and our own lips grow dumb, anticipating something of our own lot with involuntary dread for the untried terrors of death's lone passage, we instinctively feel for the dead the same consideration which we hope for our own memories from the attention of our survivors. In the case, however, of our lamented comrade it was not necessary to wait for death to disarm malice nor stifle criticism. There was in his life and character enough good for a long speech without hunting for faults. Every person who knew him in life loved him. He was intuitively considerate of his fellow man, because he loved his fellow man. It was delightful to acknowledge his merits while he lived, without awaiting his death to shower his bier with an avalanche or flowers or pronounce to other ears praises for virtues, admiration of which we had gladly accorded him in life.

For almost seven years I sat with him in the great Committee on Banking and Currency and worked with him in the House of Representatives.

During the seven years of my association with him I have no recollection of a duty he failed to perform nor an unto help, oblige, and please at every opportunity.

If "by their fruits ye shall know them," these reflections convince me that he was a good man, for "a good man out of the good treasure of his heart bringeth forth that which is good; for out of the abundance of the heart the mouth speaketh." He loved his country and he loved his home State and city, to the beauty, advancement, and prosperity of which he contributed so much.

But he loved our great Republic, and if he appeared to love his own city and State more than other parts of our common country, it was more apparent than real and due to his active devotion to the true principle of our Governmentthat its success depends on individuals and communities locally doing their duty at home.

If every individual and every community should conform to that rule of action, all individuals and all communities would be good. The whole country would be good, and the Republic, justifying the theory and expectations of its founders, would live forever in usefulness and glory.

Of his domestic life I will leave others to speak, contenting myself with the satisfaction that, being a true and noble husband, he was also a wise, tender, and provident father, loved and honored in his home by a good wife and by lovely children, who proudly realize in their bereavement that "a good man leaveth an inheritance to his children's children." They knew and rejoiced during his life that "the just man walketh in his integrity" and in his good name among men, and in their fond recollection of his benign life and example, shedding benefactions and glowing with benediction, they feel that "his children are blessed after him." I will not say that "his like we shall not soon see again," but rather express with joy the opinion that there are many of his kind.

His tragic death was a shock to all his associates, and his memory will be cherished by them as that of a gentleman in the most refined sense of that word, endeared by his gentleness to all his companions in labor.

Mr. ANDRESEN. Mr. Speaker, I can add but little to the tribute that has been paid by my fellow Members to the memory of my departed friend and colleague, Godfrey G. GOODWIN.

It would be impossible to present in more than briefest outline the principles which guided and controlled the conduct of our late comrade in both his public and private life. Mr. Speaker, the excellencies of mind and heart which characterized him in his more intimate personal relations were truly reflected in his larger relation to the body politic as a public man and servant of the people. Loyalty, not only to friend and fellow man, but to ideal and conviction, was the great directing force of his energies in whatever sphere of action they were employed. Too often we hear the complaint that the man of lofty ideals, however competent he may be to resist evil in the restricted sphere of his private dealings and relations, is unable to cope with the far more complex and potential forces which beset the man in public life and too often tempt him to sacrifice honest conviction to political expediency. On every hand we hear that good men are prone to be weak in the open advocacy of that which they truly believe to be right, and that the bold and courageous efforts of men are most frequently directed to the service of quite selfish ends. Mr. Speaker, as a complete refutation of the cynical notion, so often expressed nowadays, that the man in public life must run counter to his own truest thought and conviction, let me point to the life of our departed friend, replete as it was with good deeds performed for the public weal under conditions requiring the exercise of moral courage of the very highest order. Here was a man who never compromised the truth as he saw and believed it, and never did he enlist his splendid talents in a cause that did not command his truest thought and sym-

From early manhood his naturally combative mind had been trained in that severest of all forensic schools, the court gain the respect and love of all with whom he came in con-

kind word or act to any fellow Member, nor of any failure | room, and when he reached this Hall, he was an intellectual foeman worthy the most seasoned debater's steel. To him political virtue consisted in something more than mere subscription to the tenets of his party's faith; to him it meant action—ceaseless and dynamic action—to bring to his fellow men the actual and present realization of the blessings of good government; to him it meant the putting on of the full armor of righteousness and the uncompromising warfare on the cohorts of special favor and privilege. Public office and station represented something more to him than the mere realization of personal ambition; it represented to him opportunity to serve his fellow men, and I need not say how well that opportunity was improved.

Mr. Goodwin was truly a man free from envy, hatred, or malice. In both private life and public station he followed the injunction of the great apostle that-

Whatsoever things are true, whatsoever things are honest, whatsoever things are just, whatsoever things are pure, whatsoever things are lovely, whatsoever things are of good report; if there be any virtue, and if there be any praise, think on these things.

So did he travel through life's common way in cheerful godliness.

Cut off in the prime of life, our friend has been called from this Hall, but we are comforted by the thought that in the everlasting halls above his freed spirit awaits the final convocation of the just.

Mr. MAAS. Mr. Speaker, on this occasion, words are inadequate to eulogize one of my departed colleagues, the Hon. Godfrey G. Goodwin. No voice can give expression to the sorrow of the human heart on such an occasion. All men feel a pain which words can not paint when death has taken from them their loved ones or their friends. On such an occasion, silence is eloquence.

In this busy world men struggle, and it has ever been so from life's dawn to age's twilight. We gather wisdom, accumulate worldly goods, titles, and distinctions, and then death takes away all.

Struggles of life cease with the tomb, and for the friends of the deceased the memory of their noble aspirations and the consummation thereof remains to comfort.

Our colleague Godfrey G. Goodwin is to be judged and praised by that high standard. His life was just and right. The story of his career tells of devotion to the general good. He was charitable and gentle, and no other man in Minnesota engaged in life's stern battles has struck so few blows that have given needless pain or has left behind as many memories of kind deeds. He fought generously. Even his antagonists were not made to suffer needlessly.

He was a rare character, brave and firm in all his dealings, but he did not leave behind scars because the quality of his gentleness was always in evidence. In his march forward to his goal his feet did not crush the weak or bring needless sorrow to others. He was a good citizen, devoted to his home and to his State. His patriotism was lofty, and he was true to American ideals.

His death was a shock to us, and the public has suffered an irremedial loss, but his memory is sweet with the fragrance of nobility, kindliness, and sympathy.

Mr. CHRISTGAU. Mr. Speaker, it was my privilege to have served two terms in the House of Representatives with my friend and colleague, Hon. Godfrey G. Goodwin. Long before I became personally acquainted with him I knew of the high regard in which he was held by the people of the community in which he spent most of his life. It had not been my pleasure to know him prior to my service in Congress, but I had known of him through his many friends.

In the practice of his profession he was held in high esteem and warm remembrance by all. A few days before his untimely death he told me of his desire to return to the community where he spent so many years of his life and resume the practice of his profession. He was always spoken of in the highest terms for his ability and integrity as a lawyer. He was blessed with the good fortune to at once tact. He was genial, kind, and full of warmth for everyone. He was always easy of approach and held himself at all times on a par with the humblest of his constituents. He always seemed to me to be one of the very best-tempered men I have ever known. No difference and no opposition ever seemed to change his pleasant ways toward those who did not agree with him. His ability no one questioned and everyone recognized that he was one of the most agreeable companions and one of the pleasantest of friends. It was always happiness to meet him as he had one of the most attractive qualities, personal charm. His disposition was singularly attractive. He did not lose his temper even under great provocation. He could not be an enemy because he could not carry malice long enough to provoke resentment. He won his way to the front by a genial disposition, an excellent temper, and with determination and thorough acquaintance with the subjects that engaged his energies.

As a member of the Committee on Banking and Currency of the House he struggled with some of the most complex problems that have ever engaged the attention of the Congress of the United States. Death left unfinished his contribution to pending solutions. The Nation has lost a sincere and able public servant. His family has lost a devoted father. As we mourn his loss, we pay tribute to all that he did and all that he desired.

Mr. SELVIG. Mr. Speaker, we meet to-day according to custom to pay tribute to the memory of those of our colleagues who have passed to the great beyond since the last session of Congress. The death of our beloved colleague from Minnesota, the Hon. Godfrey G. Goodwin, came as a shock to us all. After returning from a brief trip he had been in our midst and suddenly, without warning, he was stricken in the very shadow of the Capitol where for eight years he has given unstinted service to his State and country.

I saw him but a day or two before his sudden passing away. He was not feeling well, but he was cheerful and smiling in his usual happy manner.

It was my pleasure to meet Godfrey Godfrey for the first time in 1926, following my nomination for Congress, when all the candidates met to discuss the campaign of that year. We became well acquainted from that meeting and that acquaintance ripened into a warm and sincere friendship which I shall ever cherish as one of the happy memories of my service in the National Congress.

Mr. Goodwin was a man of lovable disposition and fine character. Never did I hear him utter a word of unjust reproach or criticism directed against any fellow man. Quiet, reserved in manner, and unobtrusive, his life was like that of a deep river that flowed strongly and unceasingly on without the noise and disorder of a shallower stream.

Minnesota has lost a faithful and valued servant in the passing of Congressman Goodwin. Our Nation has lost a valued counselor, a man of integrity and character, in his sudden demise.

Mr. KVALE. Mr. Speaker, it is not my purpose to dwell at length and in detail upon the life and public services of our distinguished colleague, Godfrey G. Goodwin. That will be done better and more eloquently than I could do it. I wish, rather, only to pay to his memory the sincere and modest tribute of a friend and comrade. It is a significant and a pleasing thing that we should gather here in these historic Halls for this purpose, where so many crises in the Nation's history have been met and where the great moments of our colleague were spent.

Mr. Speaker, I am told that in a far country, in the midst of a splendid wilderness, where towering, snow-capped peaks stand like sentinels and the roar of a cataract translates itself into a murmur of music, there has been erected a beautiful temple of white marble. I am told that this temple is surmounted by a great crystal dome, beneath which is a shrine, and that those who pray at this shrine can hear their prayers answered in a language of ineffable sweetness. I wonder if the voices of those who speak in this Hall may not come back to us.

Mr. Speaker, if it is given in that other world to remember what took place in this, I know that in the deepest sanctuary of Mr. Goodwin's heart is cherished the memory of the hours he spent with his wife and family, and next to them his friendships, and after these, I am sure, his soul responds to the tense excitement of this place in times of national stress and crisis. He had courage—moral courage, political courage—that virtue which is both rare and valuable, and because he had courage he loved politics, with its strife, its battles, its defeats, and its victories.

He thought straight, and he voted as he thought. He loved those with whom he had been associated before he came to Congress. He never lost his interest in their welfare. He was never too busy to concern himself with their troubles. He had a broad sympathy with those in distress and found a pleasure and satisfaction in aiding them. He understood the common people, perhaps because he never ceased being one of them. He held some of the highest offices that they could give him and administered them with distinction. He labored earnestly and zealously for the interest of the people of his district, and represented them with ability. He had the charm of personality that begets enduring friendship and stimulates personal loyalty.

I liked to talk with him, and I spent many hours in his office, for he had a sound philosophy that inspired confidence and radiated good will. I came to respect his judgment and to admire his stanch integrity of mind and heart. He had faults, as who has not, but they only served to emphasize his many good qualities. When I think of him I like to remember that it is a great thing to be a statesman but a greater to be a man.

Left to mourn the loss of a devoted husband and a loving father are his wife, his son Alden, and three daughters, Marjorie, Lois, and Eleanore. To them must come that infinite consolation in their hours of loneliness and in their days of sadness that in this little family circle was wrapped everything that Godfrey held sweet and dear to him in this vale of tears; it was to this home, so richly endowed with the comforts and the happiness and the contentment that make life's battles seem so commonplace, to which he would always turn for relief after fulfilling his arduous duties in the workaday world—to that heaven on earth, the home, which is the sanctuary of that true Americanism which he so nobly represented in his principles and his ideals.

AFTER RECESS

At the conclusion of the recess the Speaker called the House to order, and then, pursuant to House Resolution 362, as a further mark of respect to the memories of the deceased, declared the House adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT

Accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 12 minutes p. m.) the House adjourned until to-morrow, February 18, 1933, at 12 o'clock noon.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII,

Mr. STAFFORD: Committee on Military Affairs. H. R. 13042. A bill to authorize the transfer of land from the War Department to the Territory of Hawaii; with amendment (Rept. No. 2062). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. McKEOWN: Committee on the Judiciary. H. Res. 387. A resolution respecting charges against Hon. Harold Louderback, district judge for the northern district of California; without amendment (Rept. No. 2065). Referred to the House Calendar.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII,

Mr. MARTIN of Oregon: Committee on War Claims. H. R. 8200. A bill for the relief of Ruffin Padgett; without amendment (Rept. No. 2063). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

Mr. CRUMP: Committee on Military Affairs. H. R. 10503. A bill to authorize the donation of certain land to the town of Bourne, Mass.; without amendment (Rept. No. 2064). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. DARROW: A bill (H. R. 14699) to prohibit publicity of names of individual borrowers in the reports of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. HORR: A bill (H. R. 14700) to amend subsection (a) of section 201 of the emergency relief and construction act of 1932; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. McKEOWN: A resolution (H. Res. 387) respecting charges against Hon. Harold Louderback, district judge for the northern district of California; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. CANFIELD: A bill (H. R. 14701) granting a pension to Ida Charlotte Leive; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. MOUSER: A bill (H. R. 14702) for the relief of George E. Moody; to the Committee on Claims.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

10478. By Mr. DELANEY: Petition of the Italian Chamber of Commerce in New York, urging the adoption of the Senate amendment to House bill 13827, eliminating the term "canned" in the clause enabling the Secretary of Agriculture to investigate and certify the quality and condition of fruits and vegetables whether raw, dried, or canned; to the Committee on Agriculture.

10479. Also, petition of the New York State Society of Professional Engineers, petitioning the Congress of the United States to provide appropriation to permit the completion of the topographical survey of the United States; to the Committee on Appropriations.

10480. Also, petition of Clark, Chapin & Bushnell (Inc.), importers and wholesale grocers, of Brooklyn, N. Y., favoring a substantial reduction in Government expenditures; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10481. Also, petition of the Bricklayers' Union, Local No. 9, of Brooklyn, N. Y., urging support of Senate bill 5125, the Costigan-La Follette emergency relief bill, providing for the appropriation of \$500,000,000 to be used in the different States and communities; to the Committee on Appropriations.

10482. By Mr. EVANS of California: Resolution adopted by the board of directors of the city of Pasadena, Calif., protesting against taxation of States, State agencies, and publicly owned utilities and authorizing presentation of protest to the Congress of the United States; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10483. By Mr. FRENCH: Memorial of the Idaho State Legislature, urging upon the Congress of the United States to immediately broaden the terms of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation act under which loans by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation may be made so as to include loans to irrigation districts; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

10484. By Mr. MURPHY: Petition of 32 citizens of Barnesville, Ohio, urging the passage of the stop-alien-representation amendment to the United States Constitution; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10485. By Mr. PARKER of Georgia: Memorial of the General Assembly of the State of Georgia, relative to the manufacture of cotton duck by Federal prison labor; to the Committee on Labor.

10486. By Mr. RANSLEY: Petition of members of the barber profession and the customers of the barber shops in Philadelphia, Pa., protesting against existing discriminatory and confiscatory tax on toilet goods and cosmetics; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10487. By Mr. REID of Illinois: Petition of several citizens of Cook County, Ill., protesting against the repeal of the eighteenth amendment; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10488. By Mr. SUTPHIN: Concurrent resolution of the Senate of New Jersey, praying for appropriation sufficient to carry out the provisions of the national defense act of 1920 and its accompanying legislation; to the Committee on Appropriations.

10489. By Mr. SWING: Petition of 24 residents of Hemet, Calif., in behalf of the stop-alien-representation amendment to the United States Constitution to cut out the 6,280,000 aliens in this country, and count only American citizens when making future apportionments for congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

SENATE

SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1933

The Senate met at 11 o'clock a. m.

The Chaplain, Rev. Z@Barney T. Phillips, D. D., offered the following prayer:

Most Gracious God, who hast loved us into being, who hast put into our hearts such deep desire that we can not be at peace apart from Thee, hearken to the sighing of our souls' great need, for Thou art our Father, Thou knowest whereof we are made, Thou rememberest that we art but dust. Grant to us therefore that we may not go unsatisfied because of any unrighteousness of heart, and strengthen every purpose that Thy will may be our constant choice and satisfaction. Grant to the people of the United States, and especially to the Members of the Senate, that every danger may arouse in us a challenge to the quiet performance of our duty till every wrong is righted, and the people of this Nation, no longer wavering or looking back, shall march breast forward as a mighty host in the way of Thy laws, finding therein a safe and peaceful passage to the arms of Thy great love and the blessed fellowship of the saints in life; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The Chief Clerk proceeded to read the Journal of yesterday's proceedings, when, on request of Mr. Fess and by unanimous consent, the further reading was dispensed with, and the Journal was approved.

CALL OF THE ROLL

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Ashurst
Austin
Bailey
Bankhead
Barbour
Barkley
Bingham
Black
Blaine
Borah
Bratton
Brookhart
Bulkley
Bulow
Byrnes
Capper
Caraway
Clark
Coolidge

Costigan
Couzens
Cutting
Dale
Davis
Dickinson
Dill
Fess
Fletcher
Frazier
George
Glass
Glenn
Goldsboroug
Gore
Grammer
Harrison
Hastings

Copeland

Hayden	
Hebert	
Hull	
Johnson	
Kean	
Kendrick	
Keyes	
King	
La Follette	
Lewis	
Logan	
McGill	
McKellar	
McNary	
Metcalf	
Moses	
Neely	
Norbeck	
Norris	
200	

Nye
Oddie
Patterson
Pittman
Reynolds
Robinson, Ark.
Robinson, Ind.
Russell
Schuyler
Sheppard
Shipstead
Shortridge
Smith
Smoot
Steiwer
Steiwer
Stephens
Swanson
Thomas, Idaho
Thomas, Okla.